

Multipliers of the Fourier algebra and non-commutative L^p spaces

Matthew Daws

Leeds

March 2010

Multipliers

Suppose that A is an algebra: how might we embed A into a unital algebra B ?

- Could use the unitisation: $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$.
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B .
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B : if $I \subseteq B$ is an ideal then $A \cap I \neq \{0\}$.
- For *faithful* A , this is equivalent to: if $b \in B$ and $aba' = 0$ for all $a, a' \in A$, then $b = 0$.
- Turns out there is a maximal such B , called the *multiplier algebra* of A , written $M(A)$. Maximal in the sense that if $A \trianglelefteq B$, then $B \rightarrow M(A)$. Clearly $M(A)$ is unique.

Multipliers

Suppose that A is an algebra: how might we embed A into a unital algebra B ?

- Could use the unitisation: $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$.
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B .
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B : if $I \subseteq B$ is an ideal then $A \cap I \neq \{0\}$.
- For *faithful* A , this is equivalent to: if $b \in B$ and $aba' = 0$ for all $a, a' \in A$, then $b = 0$.
- Turns out there is a maximal such B , called the *multiplier algebra* of A , written $M(A)$. Maximal in the sense that if $A \trianglelefteq B$, then $B \rightarrow M(A)$. Clearly $M(A)$ is unique.

Multipliers

Suppose that A is an algebra: how might we embed A into a unital algebra B ?

- Could use the unitisation: $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$.
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B .
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B : if $I \subseteq B$ is an ideal then $A \cap I \neq \{0\}$.
- For *faithful* A , this is equivalent to: if $b \in B$ and $aba' = 0$ for all $a, a' \in A$, then $b = 0$.
- Turns out there is a maximal such B , called the *multiplier algebra* of A , written $M(A)$. Maximal in the sense that if $A \trianglelefteq B$, then $B \rightarrow M(A)$. Clearly $M(A)$ is unique.

Multipliers

Suppose that A is an algebra: how might we embed A into a unital algebra B ?

- Could use the unitisation: $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$.
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B .
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B : if $I \subseteq B$ is an ideal then $A \cap I \neq \{0\}$.
- For *faithful* A , this is equivalent to: if $b \in B$ and $aba' = 0$ for all $a, a' \in A$, then $b = 0$.
- Turns out there is a maximal such B , called the *multiplier algebra* of A , written $M(A)$. Maximal in the sense that if $A \subseteq B$, then $B \rightarrow M(A)$. Clearly $M(A)$ is unique.

Multipliers

Suppose that A is an algebra: how might we embed A into a unital algebra B ?

- Could use the unitisation: $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$.
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B .
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B : if $I \subseteq B$ is an ideal then $A \cap I \neq \{0\}$.
- For *faithful* A , this is equivalent to: if $b \in B$ and $aba' = 0$ for all $a, a' \in A$, then $b = 0$.
- Turns out there is a maximal such B , called the *multiplier algebra* of A , written $M(A)$. Maximal in the sense that if $A \trianglelefteq B$, then $B \rightarrow M(A)$. Clearly $M(A)$ is unique.

How to build $M(A)$

We define $M(A)$ to be the collection of maps $L, R : A \rightarrow A$ with

$$L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

- If A is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- $M(A)$ is a vector space, and an algebra for the product $(L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R)$.
- Each $a \in A$ defines a pair $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$ by $L_a(b) = ab$ and $R_a(b) = ba$.
- The homomorphism $A \rightarrow M(A); a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$ identifies A with an essential ideal in $M(A)$.
- If A is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that L and R are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

How to build $M(A)$

We define $M(A)$ to be the collection of maps $L, R : A \rightarrow A$ with

$$L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

- If A is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- $M(A)$ is a vector space, and an algebra for the product $(L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R)$.
- Each $a \in A$ defines a pair $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$ by $L_a(b) = ab$ and $R_a(b) = ba$.
- The homomorphism $A \rightarrow M(A); a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$ identifies A with an essential ideal in $M(A)$.
- If A is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that L and R are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

How to build $M(A)$

We define $M(A)$ to be the collection of maps $L, R : A \rightarrow A$ with

$$L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

- If A is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- $M(A)$ is a vector space, and an algebra for the product $(L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R)$.
- Each $a \in A$ defines a pair $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$ by $L_a(b) = ab$ and $R_a(b) = ba$.
- The homomorphism $A \rightarrow M(A); a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$ identifies A with an essential ideal in $M(A)$.
- If A is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that L and R are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

How to build $M(A)$

We define $M(A)$ to be the collection of maps $L, R : A \rightarrow A$ with

$$L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

- If A is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- $M(A)$ is a vector space, and an algebra for the product $(L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R)$.
- Each $a \in A$ defines a pair $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$ by $L_a(b) = ab$ and $R_a(b) = ba$.
- The homomorphism $A \rightarrow M(A); a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$ identifies A with an essential ideal in $M(A)$.
- If A is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that L and R are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

How to build $M(A)$

We define $M(A)$ to be the collection of maps $L, R : A \rightarrow A$ with

$$L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

- If A is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- $M(A)$ is a vector space, and an algebra for the product $(L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R)$.
- Each $a \in A$ defines a pair $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$ by $L_a(b) = ab$ and $R_a(b) = ba$.
- The homomorphism $A \rightarrow M(A); a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$ identifies A with an essential ideal in $M(A)$.
- If A is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that L and R are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

How to build $M(A)$

We define $M(A)$ to be the collection of maps $L, R : A \rightarrow A$ with

$$L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

- If A is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- $M(A)$ is a vector space, and an algebra for the product $(L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R)$.
- Each $a \in A$ defines a pair $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$ by $L_a(b) = ab$ and $R_a(b) = ba$.
- The homomorphism $A \rightarrow M(A); a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$ identifies A with an essential ideal in $M(A)$.
- If A is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that L and R are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

Multipliers of C^* -algebras

Let A be a C^* -algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H . Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- Each such T does define a multiplier in the previous sense: let $L(a) = Ta$ and $R(a) = aT$.
- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ given $(L, R) \in M(A)$. Indeed, let $T = \lim L(e_\alpha)$, in the weak operator topology, say.
- If $A = C_0(X)$ then $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$, so $M(A)$ is a non-commutative Stone-Ćech compactification.

Multipliers of C^* -algebras

Let A be a C^* -algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H . Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- Each such T does define a multiplier in the previous sense: let $L(a) = Ta$ and $R(a) = aT$.
- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ given $(L, R) \in M(A)$. Indeed, let $T = \lim L(e_\alpha)$, in the weak operator topology, say.
- If $A = C_0(X)$ then $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$, so $M(A)$ is a non-commutative Stone-Ćech compactification.

Multipliers of C^* -algebras

Let A be a C^* -algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H . Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- Each such T does define a multiplier in the previous sense: let $L(a) = Ta$ and $R(a) = aT$.
- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ given $(L, R) \in M(A)$. Indeed, let $T = \lim L(e_\alpha)$, in the weak operator topology, say.
- If $A = C_0(X)$ then $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$, so $M(A)$ is a non-commutative Stone-Ćech compactification.

Multipliers of C^* -algebras

Let A be a C^* -algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H . Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- Each such T does define a multiplier in the previous sense: let $L(a) = Ta$ and $R(a) = aT$.
- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ given $(L, R) \in M(A)$. Indeed, let $T = \lim L(e_\alpha)$, in the weak operator topology, say.
- If $A = C_0(X)$ then $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$, so $M(A)$ is a non-commutative Stone-Ćech compactification.

Multipliers of C^* -algebras

Let A be a C^* -algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H . Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A (a \in A)\}.$$

- Each such T does define a multiplier in the previous sense: let $L(a) = Ta$ and $R(a) = aT$.
- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ given $(L, R) \in M(A)$. Indeed, let $T = \lim L(e_\alpha)$, in the weak operator topology, say.
- If $A = C_0(X)$ then $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$, so $M(A)$ is a non-commutative Stone-Ćech compactification.

Locally compact groups

Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line \mathbb{R} with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Locally compact groups

Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line \mathbb{R} with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Locally compact groups

Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line \mathbb{R} with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Locally compact groups

Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line \mathbb{R} with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Locally compact groups

Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line \mathbb{R} with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Group algebras

Turn $L^1(G)$ into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f * g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

- We can also convolve finite measures.
- Identify $M(G)$ with $C_0(G)^*$, then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) d\mu(s) d\lambda(t) \quad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

- [Wendel] Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G)) = M(G),$$

where for each $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$, there exists $\mu \in M(G)$,

$$L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \quad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

Group algebras

Turn $L^1(G)$ into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f * g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

- We can also convolve finite measures.
- Identify $M(G)$ with $C_0(G)^*$, then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) d\mu(s) d\lambda(t) \quad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

- [Wendel] Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G)) = M(G),$$

where for each $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$, there exists $\mu \in M(G)$,

$$L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \quad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

Group algebras

Turn $L^1(G)$ into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f * g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

- We can also convolve finite measures.
- Identify $M(G)$ with $C_0(G)^*$, then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) d\mu(s) d\lambda(t) \quad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

- [Wendel] Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G)) = M(G),$$

where for each $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$, there exists $\mu \in M(G)$,

$$L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \quad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

Group algebras

Turn $L^1(G)$ into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f * g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

- We can also convolve finite measures.
- Identify $M(G)$ with $C_0(G)^*$, then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) d\mu(s) d\lambda(t) \quad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

- [Wendel] Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G)) = M(G),$$

where for each $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$, there exists $\mu \in M(G)$,

$$L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \quad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

Representing $M(G)$

This is an idea which goes back to [Young].

- For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^1(G)$ acts by convolution on $L^p(G)$.
- We can extend this to a convolution action of $M(G)$.
- Let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let $E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G)$ (say in the ℓ^2 sense, so that E is reflexive).
- Then $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ act on E .
- Young observed that the resulting homomorphism $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ is an isometry.
- The same is true for $\theta : M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$, which is also weak*-continuous (why I want E reflexive).
- We actually get that

$$\theta(M(G)) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : T\theta(f), \theta(f)T \in \theta(L^1(G)) \text{ (} f \in L^1(G)\text{)}\}.$$

Representing $M(G)$

This is an idea which goes back to [Young].

- For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^1(G)$ acts by convolution on $L^p(G)$.
- We can extend this to a convolution action of $M(G)$.
- Let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let $E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G)$ (say in the ℓ^2 sense, so that E is reflexive).
- Then $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ act on E .
- Young observed that the resulting homomorphism $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ is an isometry.
- The same is true for $\theta : M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$, which is also weak*-continuous (why I want E reflexive).
- We actually get that

$$\theta(M(G)) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : T\theta(f), \theta(f)T \in \theta(L^1(G)) \text{ (} f \in L^1(G)\text{)}\}.$$

Representing $M(G)$

This is an idea which goes back to [Young].

- For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^1(G)$ acts by convolution on $L^p(G)$.
- We can extend this to a convolution action of $M(G)$.
- Let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let $E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G)$ (say in the ℓ^2 sense, so that E is reflexive).
- Then $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ act on E .
- Young observed that the resulting homomorphism $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ is an isometry.
- The same is true for $\theta : M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$, which is also weak*-continuous (why I want E reflexive).
- We actually get that

$$\theta(M(G)) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : T\theta(f), \theta(f)T \in \theta(L^1(G)) \text{ } (f \in L^1(G))\}.$$

Representing $M(G)$

This is an idea which goes back to [Young].

- For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^1(G)$ acts by convolution on $L^p(G)$.
- We can extend this to a convolution action of $M(G)$.
- Let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let $E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G)$ (say in the ℓ^2 sense, so that E is reflexive).
- Then $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ act on E .
- Young observed that the resulting homomorphism $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ is an isometry.
- The same is true for $\theta : M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$, which is also weak*-continuous (why I want E reflexive).
- We actually get that

$$\theta(M(G)) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : T\theta(f), \theta(f)T \in \theta(L^1(G)) \text{ } (f \in L^1(G))\}.$$

Representing $M(G)$

This is an idea which goes back to [Young].

- For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^1(G)$ acts by convolution on $L^p(G)$.
- We can extend this to a convolution action of $M(G)$.
- Let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let $E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G)$ (say in the ℓ^2 sense, so that E is reflexive).
- Then $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ act on E .
- Young observed that the resulting homomorphism $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ is an isometry.
- The same is true for $\theta : M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$, which is also weak*-continuous (why I want E reflexive).
- We actually get that

$$\theta(M(G)) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : T\theta(f), \theta(f)T \in \theta(L^1(G)) \text{ } (f \in L^1(G))\}.$$

Representing $M(G)$

This is an idea which goes back to [Young].

- For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^1(G)$ acts by convolution on $L^p(G)$.
- We can extend this to a convolution action of $M(G)$.
- Let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let $E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G)$ (say in the ℓ^2 sense, so that E is reflexive).
- Then $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ act on E .
- Young observed that the resulting homomorphism $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ is an isometry.
- The same is true for $\theta : M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$, which is also weak*-continuous (why I want E reflexive).
- We actually get that

$$\theta(M(G)) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : T\theta(f), \theta(f)T \in \theta(L^1(G)) \text{ } (f \in L^1(G))\}.$$

Representing $M(G)$

This is an idea which goes back to [Young].

- For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^1(G)$ acts by convolution on $L^p(G)$.
- We can extend this to a convolution action of $M(G)$.
- Let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let $E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G)$ (say in the ℓ^2 sense, so that E is reflexive).
- Then $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ act on E .
- Young observed that the resulting homomorphism $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ is an isometry.
- The same is true for $\theta : M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$, which is also weak*-continuous (why I want E reflexive).
- We actually get that

$$\theta(M(G)) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : T\theta(f), \theta(f)T \in \theta(L^1(G)) \text{ } (f \in L^1(G))\}.$$

The Fourier transform

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

$$\hat{G} = \{\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism}\}.$$

Also we have the Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}) \quad \text{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$$

- The image $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$ is the *Fourier algebra* $A(\hat{G})$.
- As $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$ (pointwise product) we see that $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$ (convolution).
- \mathcal{F} extends to $M(G)$, and the image is $B(\hat{G}) \subseteq C^b(G)$, the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

The Fourier transform

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

$$\hat{G} = \{\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism}\}.$$

Also we have the Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}) \quad \text{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$$

- The image $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$ is the *Fourier algebra* $A(\hat{G})$.
- As $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$ (pointwise product) we see that $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$ (convolution).
- \mathcal{F} extends to $M(G)$, and the image is $B(\hat{G}) \subseteq C^b(G)$, the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

The Fourier transform

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

$$\hat{G} = \{\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism}\}.$$

Also we have the Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}) \quad \text{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$$

- The image $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$ is the *Fourier algebra* $A(\hat{G})$.
- As $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$ (pointwise product) we see that $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$ (convolution).
- \mathcal{F} extends to $M(G)$, and the image is $B(\hat{G}) \subseteq C^b(G)$, the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

The Fourier transform

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

$$\hat{G} = \{\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism}\}.$$

Also we have the Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}) \quad \text{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$$

- The image $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$ is the *Fourier algebra* $A(\hat{G})$.
- As $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$ (pointwise product) we see that $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$ (convolution).
- \mathcal{F} extends to $M(G)$, and the image is $B(\hat{G}) \subseteq C^b(G)$, the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

The Fourier transform

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

$$\hat{G} = \{\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism}\}.$$

Also we have the Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}) \quad \text{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$$

- The image $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$ is the *Fourier algebra* $A(\hat{G})$.
- As $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$ (pointwise product) we see that $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$ (convolution).
- \mathcal{F} extends to $M(G)$, and the image is $B(\hat{G}) \subseteq C^b(G)$, the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

Operator algebras

The Fourier transform similarly sets up isomorphisms

$$C_0(G) \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}) \quad L^\infty(G) \cong VN(\hat{G}).$$

Let $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ be the *left-regular representation*,

$$\lambda(s) : f \mapsto g \quad g(t) = f(s^{-1}t) \quad (f \in L^2(G), s, t \in G).$$

Integrate this to get a homomorphism $\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

- $C_r^*(G)$ is the closure of $\lambda(L^1(G))$.
- $VN(G)$ is the WOT closure of $\lambda(L^1(G))$ (or of $\lambda(G)$).

Operator algebras

The Fourier transform similarly sets up isomorphisms

$$C_0(G) \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}) \quad L^\infty(G) \cong VN(\hat{G}).$$

Let $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ be the *left-regular representation*,

$$\lambda(s) : f \mapsto g \quad g(t) = f(s^{-1}t) \quad (f \in L^2(G), s, t \in G).$$

Integrate this to get a homomorphism $\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

- $C_r^*(G)$ is the closure of $\lambda(L^1(G))$.
- $VN(G)$ is the WOT closure of $\lambda(L^1(G))$ (or of $\lambda(G)$).

Operator algebras

The Fourier transform similarly sets up isomorphisms

$$C_0(G) \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}) \quad L^\infty(G) \cong VN(\hat{G}).$$

Let $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ be the *left-regular representation*,

$$\lambda(s) : f \mapsto g \quad g(t) = f(s^{-1}t) \quad (f \in L^2(G), s, t \in G).$$

Integrate this to get a homomorphism $\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

- $C_r^*(G)$ is the closure of $\lambda(L^1(G))$.
- $VN(G)$ is the WOT closure of $\lambda(L^1(G))$ (or of $\lambda(G)$).

The Fourier algebra

For a general G , we could hence define $A(G)$ to be:

- the predual of $VN(G)$.
- Or $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$.
- We *hope* that these agree and that $A(G)$ is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, and $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is the dual of $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$, the trace-class operators on $L^2(G)$, we have a quotient map

$$\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \twoheadrightarrow VN(G)_*.$$

The Fourier algebra

For a general G , we could hence define $A(G)$ to be:

- the predual of $VN(G)$.
- Or $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$.
- We *hope* that these agree and that $A(G)$ is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, and $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is the dual of $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$, the trace-class operators on $L^2(G)$, we have a quotient map

$$\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \rightarrow VN(G)_*.$$

The Fourier algebra

For a general G , we could hence define $A(G)$ to be:

- the predual of $VN(G)$.
- Or $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$.
- We *hope* that these agree and that $A(G)$ is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, and $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is the dual of $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$, the trace-class operators on $L^2(G)$, we have a quotient map

$$\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \rightarrow VN(G)_*.$$

The Fourier algebra

For a general G , we could hence define $A(G)$ to be:

- the predual of $VN(G)$.
- Or $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$.
- We *hope* that these agree and that $A(G)$ is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, and $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is the dual of $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$, the trace-class operators on $L^2(G)$, we have a quotient map

$$\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \rightarrow VN(G)_*.$$

The Fourier algebra

For a general G , we could hence define $A(G)$ to be:

- the predual of $VN(G)$.
- Or $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$.
- We *hope* that these agree and that $A(G)$ is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, and $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is the dual of $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$, the trace-class operators on $L^2(G)$, we have a quotient map

$$\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \rightarrow VN(G)_*.$$

The Fourier algebra

For a general G , we could hence define $A(G)$ to be:

- the predual of $VN(G)$.
- Or $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$.
- We *hope* that these agree and that $A(G)$ is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, and $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is the dual of $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$, the trace-class operators on $L^2(G)$, we have a quotient map

$$\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \twoheadrightarrow VN(G)_*.$$

What is the Fourier algebra? [Eymard]

We do have that $A(G) = VN(G)_* = L^2(G) * L^2(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$:

- (Big Machine \Rightarrow) $VN(G)$ is in *standard position*, so any normal functional ω on $VN(G)$ is of the form $\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$,

$$\langle x, \omega \rangle = (x(\xi) | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G), \xi, \eta \in L^2(G)).$$

- As $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generates $VN(G)$, for $\omega \in VN(G)_*$, if we know what $\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle$ is for all s , then we know ω .
- Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda(s), \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle &= \int_G \lambda(s)(\xi)(t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt \\ &= \int_G \overline{\eta(t)} \check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s). \end{aligned}$$

- Here $\check{\eta}(s) = \eta(s^{-1})$ (so I lied in the first line!)

What is the Fourier algebra? [Eymard]

We do have that $A(G) = VN(G)_* = L^2(G) * L^2(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$:

- (Big Machine \Rightarrow) $VN(G)$ is in *standard position*, so any normal functional ω on $VN(G)$ is of the form $\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$,

$$\langle x, \omega \rangle = (x(\xi) | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G), \xi, \eta \in L^2(G)).$$

- As $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generates $VN(G)$, for $\omega \in VN(G)_*$, if we know what $\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle$ is for all s , then we know ω .
- Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda(s), \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle &= \int_G \lambda(s)(\xi)(t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt \\ &= \int_G \overline{\eta(t)} \check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s). \end{aligned}$$

- Here $\check{\eta}(s) = \eta(s^{-1})$ (so I lied in the first line!)

What is the Fourier algebra? [Eymard]

We do have that $A(G) = VN(G)_* = L^2(G) * L^2(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$:

- (Big Machine \Rightarrow) $VN(G)$ is in *standard position*, so any normal functional ω on $VN(G)$ is of the form $\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$,

$$\langle x, \omega \rangle = (x(\xi) | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G), \xi, \eta \in L^2(G)).$$

- As $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generates $VN(G)$, for $\omega \in VN(G)_*$, if we know what $\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle$ is for all s , then we know ω .
- Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda(s), \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle &= \int_G \lambda(s)(\xi)(t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt \\ &= \int_G \overline{\eta(t)} \check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s). \end{aligned}$$

- Here $\check{\eta}(s) = \eta(s^{-1})$ (so I lied in the first line!)

What is the Fourier algebra? [Eymard]

We do have that $A(G) = VN(G)_* = L^2(G) * L^2(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$:

- (Big Machine \Rightarrow) $VN(G)$ is in *standard position*, so any normal functional ω on $VN(G)$ is of the form $\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$,

$$\langle x, \omega \rangle = (x(\xi) | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G), \xi, \eta \in L^2(G)).$$

- As $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generates $VN(G)$, for $\omega \in VN(G)_*$, if we know what $\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle$ is for all s , then we know ω .
- Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda(s), \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle &= \int_G \lambda(s)(\xi)(t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt \\ &= \int_G \overline{\eta(t)} \check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s). \end{aligned}$$

- Here $\check{\eta}(s) = \eta(s^{-1})$ (so I lied in the first line!)

Why an algebra? [Takesaki-Tatsumma]

There is a normal $*$ -homomorphism

$\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$ which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As Δ is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$.
- Turns out that Δ_* is associative, because Δ is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on $A(G)$, as for $\omega, \sigma \in A(G)$ and $s \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega\sigma)(s) &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}, s^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(s)\sigma(s).\end{aligned}$$

- Δ exists as $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for some unitary $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$; given by $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$.

Why an algebra? [Takesaki-Tatsumma]

There is a normal $*$ -homomorphism

$\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$ which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As Δ is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$.
- Turns out that Δ_* is associative, because Δ is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on $A(G)$, as for $\omega, \sigma \in A(G)$ and $s \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega\sigma)(s) &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}, s^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(s)\sigma(s).\end{aligned}$$

- Δ exists as $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for some unitary $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$; given by $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$.

Why an algebra? [Takesaki-Tatsumma]

There is a normal $*$ -homomorphism

$\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$ which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As Δ is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$.
- Turns out that Δ_* is associative, because Δ is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on $A(G)$, as for $\omega, \sigma \in A(G)$ and $s \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega\sigma)(s) &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}, s^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(s)\sigma(s).\end{aligned}$$

- Δ exists as $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for some unitary $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$; given by $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$.

Why an algebra? [Takesaki-Tatsumma]

There is a normal $*$ -homomorphism

$\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$ which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As Δ is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$.
- Turns out that Δ_* is associative, because Δ is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on $A(G)$, as for $\omega, \sigma \in A(G)$ and $s \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega\sigma)(s) &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}, s^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(s)\sigma(s).\end{aligned}$$

- Δ exists as $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for some unitary $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$; given by $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$.

Why an algebra? [Takesaki-Tatsumma]

There is a normal $*$ -homomorphism

$\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$ which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As Δ is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$.
- Turns out that Δ_* is associative, because Δ is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on $A(G)$, as for $\omega, \sigma \in A(G)$ and $s \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega\sigma)(s) &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda(s^{-1}, s^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(s)\sigma(s).\end{aligned}$$

- Δ exists as $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for some unitary $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$; given by $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$.

Multipliers of the Fourier algebra

- As $A(G)$ is commutative, multipliers of $A(G)$ are simply maps T on $A(G)$ with $T(ab) = T(a)b$.
- As we consider $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$, we find that every $T \in MA(G)$ is given by some $f \in C^b(G)$:

$$MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- By duality, each $T \in MA(G)$ induces a map $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- If this is *completely bounded*— that is gives uniformly (in n) bounded maps $1 \otimes T^*$ on $M_n \otimes VN(G)$ — then $T \in M_{cb}A(G)$.
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For $f \in MA(G)$, we have that $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$ if and only if $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$ for all compact K (or just $K = SU(2)$).

Multipliers of the Fourier algebra

- As $A(G)$ is commutative, multipliers of $A(G)$ are simply maps T on $A(G)$ with $T(ab) = T(a)b$.
- As we consider $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$, we find that every $T \in MA(G)$ is given by some $f \in C^b(G)$:

$$MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- By duality, each $T \in MA(G)$ induces a map $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- If this is *completely bounded*— that is gives uniformly (in n) bounded maps $1 \otimes T^*$ on $M_n \otimes VN(G)$ — then $T \in M_{cb}A(G)$.
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For $f \in MA(G)$, we have that $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$ if and only if $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$ for all compact K (or just $K = SU(2)$).

Multipliers of the Fourier algebra

- As $A(G)$ is commutative, multipliers of $A(G)$ are simply maps T on $A(G)$ with $T(ab) = T(a)b$.
- As we consider $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$, we find that every $T \in MA(G)$ is given by some $f \in C^b(G)$:

$$MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- By duality, each $T \in MA(G)$ induces a map $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- If this is *completely bounded*— that is gives uniformly (in n) bounded maps $1 \otimes T^*$ on $M_n \otimes VN(G)$ — then $T \in M_{cb}A(G)$.
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For $f \in MA(G)$, we have that $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$ if and only if $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$ for all compact K (or just $K = SU(2)$).

Multipliers of the Fourier algebra

- As $A(G)$ is commutative, multipliers of $A(G)$ are simply maps T on $A(G)$ with $T(ab) = T(a)b$.
- As we consider $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$, we find that every $T \in MA(G)$ is given by some $f \in C^b(G)$:

$$MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- By duality, each $T \in MA(G)$ induces a map $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- If this is *completely bounded*— that is gives uniformly (in n) bounded maps $1 \otimes T^*$ on $M_n \otimes VN(G)$ — then $T \in M_{cb}A(G)$.
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For $f \in MA(G)$, we have that $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$ if and only if $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$ for all compact K (or just $K = SU(2)$).

Multipliers of the Fourier algebra

- As $A(G)$ is commutative, multipliers of $A(G)$ are simply maps T on $A(G)$ with $T(ab) = T(a)b$.
- As we consider $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$, we find that every $T \in MA(G)$ is given by some $f \in C^b(G)$:

$$MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- By duality, each $T \in MA(G)$ induces a map $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- If this is *completely bounded*— that is gives uniformly (in n) bounded maps $1 \otimes T^*$ on $M_n \otimes VN(G)$ — then $T \in M_{cb}A(G)$.
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For $f \in MA(G)$, we have that $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$ if and only if $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$ for all compact K (or just $K = SU(2)$).

Properties of groups via multipliers

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how $A(G)$ sits in $M_{cb}A(G)$:

- $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable.
- If $A(G)$ has an approximate identity, bounded in $M_{cb}A(G)$, then G is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for $SO(1, n)$ and $SU(1, n)$.
- Let Λ_G be the minimal bounded (in $M_{cb}A(G)$) for such an approximate identity.
- [Cowling, Haagerup] Then, for $G = Sp(1, n)$, then $\Lambda_G = 2n - 1$.
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Properties of groups via multipliers

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how $A(G)$ sits in $M_{cb}A(G)$:

- $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable.
- If $A(G)$ has an approximate identity, bounded in $M_{cb}A(G)$, then G is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for $SO(1, n)$ and $SU(1, n)$.
- Let Λ_G be the minimal bounded (in $M_{cb}A(G)$) for such an approximate identity.
- [Cowling, Haagerup] Then, for $G = Sp(1, n)$, then $\Lambda_G = 2n - 1$.
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Properties of groups via multipliers

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how $A(G)$ sits in $M_{cb}A(G)$:

- $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable.
- If $A(G)$ has an approximate identity, bounded in $M_{cb}A(G)$, then G is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for $SO(1, n)$ and $SU(1, n)$.
- Let Λ_G be the minimal bounded (in $M_{cb}A(G)$) for such an approximate identity.
- [Cowling, Haagerup] Then, for $G = Sp(1, n)$, then $\Lambda_G = 2n - 1$.
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Properties of groups via multipliers

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how $A(G)$ sits in $M_{cb}A(G)$:

- $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable.
- If $A(G)$ has an approximate identity, bounded in $M_{cb}A(G)$, then G is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for $SO(1, n)$ and $SU(1, n)$.
- Let Λ_G be the minimal bounded (in $M_{cb}A(G)$) for such an approximate identity.
- [Cowling, Haagerup] Then, for $G = Sp(1, n)$, then $\Lambda_G = 2n - 1$.
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Properties of groups via multipliers

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how $A(G)$ sits in $M_{cb}A(G)$:

- $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable.
- If $A(G)$ has an approximate identity, bounded in $M_{cb}A(G)$, then G is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for $SO(1, n)$ and $SU(1, n)$.
- Let Λ_G be the minimal bounded (in $M_{cb}A(G)$) for such an approximate identity.
- [Cowling, Haagerup] Then, for $G = Sp(1, n)$, then $\Lambda_G = 2n - 1$.
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Properties of groups via multipliers

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how $A(G)$ sits in $M_{cb}A(G)$:

- $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable.
- If $A(G)$ has an approximate identity, bounded in $M_{cb}A(G)$, then G is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for $SO(1, n)$ and $SU(1, n)$.
- Let Λ_G be the minimal bounded (in $M_{cb}A(G)$) for such an approximate identity.
- [Cowling, Haagerup] Then, for $G = Sp(1, n)$, then $\Lambda_G = 2n - 1$.
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Properties of groups via multipliers

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how $A(G)$ sits in $M_{cb}A(G)$:

- $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable.
- If $A(G)$ has an approximate identity, bounded in $M_{cb}A(G)$, then G is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for $SO(1, n)$ and $SU(1, n)$.
- Let Λ_G be the minimal bounded (in $M_{cb}A(G)$) for such an approximate identity.
- [Cowling, Haagerup] Then, for $G = Sp(1, n)$, then $\Lambda_G = 2n - 1$.
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Non-commutative L^p spaces

Want an abstract way to think about $L^p(G)$:

- We regard $L^\infty = L^\infty(G)$ and $L^1 = L^1(G)$ as spaces of functions on G , so it makes sense to talk about $L^\infty \cap L^1$ and $L^\infty + L^1$.
- We have inclusions $L^\infty \cap L^1 \subseteq L^p \subseteq L^\infty + L^1$.
- Let $\mathcal{S} = \{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and \mathcal{S}_0 be the interior;
- Let \mathcal{F} be the space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^\infty + L^1$ which are analytic on \mathcal{S}_0 ;
- We further ensure that $t \mapsto f(it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty)$ and that $t \mapsto f(1 + it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^1)$;
- Norm \mathcal{F} by $\|f\| = \max(\|f(it)\|_\infty, \|f(1 + it)\|_\infty)$.
- Then the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^p; f \mapsto f(1/p)$ is a quotient map.

Non-commutative L^p spaces

Want an abstract way to think about $L^p(G)$:

- We regard $L^\infty = L^\infty(G)$ and $L^1 = L^1(G)$ as spaces of functions on G , so it makes sense to talk about $L^\infty \cap L^1$ and $L^\infty + L^1$.
- We have inclusions $L^\infty \cap L^1 \subseteq L^p \subseteq L^\infty + L^1$.
- Let $\mathcal{S} = \{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and \mathcal{S}_0 be the interior;
- Let \mathcal{F} be the space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^\infty + L^1$ which are analytic on \mathcal{S}_0 ;
- We further ensure that $t \mapsto f(it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty)$ and that $t \mapsto f(1 + it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^1)$;
- Norm \mathcal{F} by $\|f\| = \max(\|f(it)\|_\infty, \|f(1 + it)\|_\infty)$.
- Then the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^p; f \mapsto f(1/p)$ is a quotient map.

Non-commutative L^p spaces

Want an abstract way to think about $L^p(G)$:

- We regard $L^\infty = L^\infty(G)$ and $L^1 = L^1(G)$ as spaces of functions on G , so it makes sense to talk about $L^\infty \cap L^1$ and $L^\infty + L^1$.
- We have inclusions $L^\infty \cap L^1 \subseteq L^p \subseteq L^\infty + L^1$.
- Let $\mathcal{S} = \{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and \mathcal{S}_0 be the interior;
- Let \mathcal{F} be the space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^\infty + L^1$ which are analytic on \mathcal{S}_0 ;
- We further ensure that $t \mapsto f(it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty)$ and that $t \mapsto f(1 + it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^1)$;
- Norm \mathcal{F} by $\|f\| = \max(\|f(it)\|_\infty, \|f(1 + it)\|_\infty)$.
- Then the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^p; f \mapsto f(1/p)$ is a quotient map.

Non-commutative L^p spaces

Want an abstract way to think about $L^p(G)$:

- We regard $L^\infty = L^\infty(G)$ and $L^1 = L^1(G)$ as spaces of functions on G , so it makes sense to talk about $L^\infty \cap L^1$ and $L^\infty + L^1$.
- We have inclusions $L^\infty \cap L^1 \subseteq L^p \subseteq L^\infty + L^1$.
- Let $\mathcal{S} = \{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and \mathcal{S}_0 be the interior;
- Let \mathcal{F} be the space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^\infty + L^1$ which are analytic on \mathcal{S}_0 ;
- We further ensure that $t \mapsto f(it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty)$ and that $t \mapsto f(1 + it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^1)$;
- Norm \mathcal{F} by $\|f\| = \max(\|f(it)\|_\infty, \|f(1 + it)\|_\infty)$.
- Then the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^p; f \mapsto f(1/p)$ is a quotient map.

Non-commutative L^p spaces

Want an abstract way to think about $L^p(G)$:

- We regard $L^\infty = L^\infty(G)$ and $L^1 = L^1(G)$ as spaces of functions on G , so it makes sense to talk about $L^\infty \cap L^1$ and $L^\infty + L^1$.
- We have inclusions $L^\infty \cap L^1 \subseteq L^p \subseteq L^\infty + L^1$.
- Let $\mathcal{S} = \{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and \mathcal{S}_0 be the interior;
- Let \mathcal{F} be the space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^\infty + L^1$ which are analytic on \mathcal{S}_0 ;
- We further ensure that $t \mapsto f(it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty)$ and that $t \mapsto f(1 + it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^1)$;
- Norm \mathcal{F} by $\|f\| = \max(\|f(it)\|_\infty, \|f(1 + it)\|_\infty)$.
- Then the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^p; f \mapsto f(1/p)$ is a quotient map.

Non-commutative L^p spaces

Want an abstract way to think about $L^p(G)$:

- We regard $L^\infty = L^\infty(G)$ and $L^1 = L^1(G)$ as spaces of functions on G , so it makes sense to talk about $L^\infty \cap L^1$ and $L^\infty + L^1$.
- We have inclusions $L^\infty \cap L^1 \subseteq L^p \subseteq L^\infty + L^1$.
- Let $\mathcal{S} = \{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and \mathcal{S}_0 be the interior;
- Let \mathcal{F} be the space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^\infty + L^1$ which are analytic on \mathcal{S}_0 ;
- We further ensure that $t \mapsto f(it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty)$ and that $t \mapsto f(1 + it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^1)$;
- Norm \mathcal{F} by $\|f\| = \max(\|f(it)\|_\infty, \|f(1 + it)\|_\infty)$.
- Then the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^p; f \mapsto f(1/p)$ is a quotient map.

Non-commutative L^p spaces

Want an abstract way to think about $L^p(G)$:

- We regard $L^\infty = L^\infty(G)$ and $L^1 = L^1(G)$ as spaces of functions on G , so it makes sense to talk about $L^\infty \cap L^1$ and $L^\infty + L^1$.
- We have inclusions $L^\infty \cap L^1 \subseteq L^p \subseteq L^\infty + L^1$.
- Let $S = \{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and S_0 be the interior;
- Let \mathcal{F} be the space of continuous functions $f : S \rightarrow L^\infty + L^1$ which are analytic on S_0 ;
- We further ensure that $t \mapsto f(it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty)$ and that $t \mapsto f(1 + it)$ is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{R}, L^1)$;
- Norm \mathcal{F} by $\|f\| = \max(\|f(it)\|_\infty, \|f(1 + it)\|_\infty)$.
- Then the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L^p; f \mapsto f(1/p)$ is a quotient map.

Complex interpolation

- We can apply this procedure to any pair of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) .
- Have to embed E_0 and E_1 into some Hausdorff topological vector space X , which allows us to form $E_0 + E_1$ and $E_0 \cap E_1$.
- Let to $E_\theta = (E_0, E_1)_{[\theta]} = \{f(\theta) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$;
- Previously we had $(L^\infty, L^1)_{[1/p]} = L^p$.
- (Riesz-Thorin) If $T : E_0 + E_1 \rightarrow E_0 + E_1$ is linear, and restricts to give maps $E_0 \rightarrow E_0$ and $E_1 \rightarrow E_1$, then

$$\|T : E_\theta \rightarrow E_\theta\| \leq \|T : E_0 \rightarrow E_0\|^{1-\theta} \|T : E_1 \rightarrow E_1\|^\theta.$$

Complex interpolation

- We can apply this procedure to any pair of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) .
- Have to embed E_0 and E_1 into some Hausdorff topological vector space X , which allows us to form $E_0 + E_1$ and $E_0 \cap E_1$.
- Let to $E_\theta = (E_0, E_1)_{[\theta]} = \{f(\theta) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$;
- Previously we had $(L^\infty, L^1)_{[1/p]} = L^p$.
- (Riesz-Thorin) If $T : E_0 + E_1 \rightarrow E_0 + E_1$ is linear, and restricts to give maps $E_0 \rightarrow E_0$ and $E_1 \rightarrow E_1$, then

$$\|T : E_\theta \rightarrow E_\theta\| \leq \|T : E_0 \rightarrow E_0\|^{1-\theta} \|T : E_1 \rightarrow E_1\|^\theta.$$

Complex interpolation

- We can apply this procedure to any pair of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) .
- Have to embed E_0 and E_1 into some Hausdorff topological vector space X , which allows us to form $E_0 + E_1$ and $E_0 \cap E_1$.
- Let to $E_\theta = (E_0, E_1)_{[\theta]} = \{f(\theta) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$;
- Previously we had $(L^\infty, L^1)_{[1/p]} = L^p$.
- (Riesz-Thorin) If $T : E_0 + E_1 \rightarrow E_0 + E_1$ is linear, and restricts to give maps $E_0 \rightarrow E_0$ and $E_1 \rightarrow E_1$, then

$$\|T : E_\theta \rightarrow E_\theta\| \leq \|T : E_0 \rightarrow E_0\|^{1-\theta} \|T : E_1 \rightarrow E_1\|^\theta.$$

Complex interpolation

- We can apply this procedure to any pair of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) .
- Have to embed E_0 and E_1 into some Hausdorff topological vector space X , which allows us to form $E_0 + E_1$ and $E_0 \cap E_1$.
- Let to $E_\theta = (E_0, E_1)_{[\theta]} = \{f(\theta) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$;
- Previously we had $(L^\infty, L^1)_{[1/p]} = L^p$.
- (Riesz-Thorin) If $T : E_0 + E_1 \rightarrow E_0 + E_1$ is linear, and restricts to give maps $E_0 \rightarrow E_0$ and $E_1 \rightarrow E_1$, then

$$\|T : E_\theta \rightarrow E_\theta\| \leq \|T : E_0 \rightarrow E_0\|^{1-\theta} \|T : E_1 \rightarrow E_1\|^\theta.$$

Complex interpolation

- We can apply this procedure to any pair of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) .
- Have to embed E_0 and E_1 into some Hausdorff topological vector space X , which allows us to form $E_0 + E_1$ and $E_0 \cap E_1$.
- Let to $E_\theta = (E_0, E_1)_{[\theta]} = \{f(\theta) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$;
- Previously we had $(L^\infty, L^1)_{[1/p]} = L^p$.
- (Riesz-Thorin) If $T : E_0 + E_1 \rightarrow E_0 + E_1$ is linear, and restricts to give maps $E_0 \rightarrow E_0$ and $E_1 \rightarrow E_1$, then

$$\|T : E_\theta \rightarrow E_\theta\| \leq \|T : E_0 \rightarrow E_0\|^{1-\theta} \|T : E_1 \rightarrow E_1\|^\theta.$$

For the Fourier algebra

- Suppose for the moment we have a way to make sense of $A(G) + VN(G)$.
- Then we can form $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then $L^p(\hat{G})$ is the L^p space of \hat{G} .
- For example, if G is compact, then

$$VN(G) = \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{d(\pi)}, \quad L^p(\hat{G}) = \ell^p - \bigoplus_{\pi} d(\pi)^{1/p} S_{d(\pi)}^p,$$

a direct sum of Schatten-classes.

- $S_d^p = \mathbb{M}_d$ with the norm $\|x\| = \text{trace}(|x|^p)^{1/p}$.
- But, we want this to be an $A(G)$ module: not obvious! (Need to think about how irreducible representations tensor).

For the Fourier algebra

- Suppose for the moment we have a way to make sense of $A(G) + VN(G)$.
- Then we can form $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then $L^p(\hat{G})$ is the L^p space of \hat{G} .
- For example, if G is compact, then

$$VN(G) = \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{d(\pi)}, \quad L^p(\hat{G}) = \ell^p - \bigoplus_{\pi} d(\pi)^{1/p} S_{d(\pi)}^p,$$

a direct sum of Schatten-classes.

- $S_d^p = \mathbb{M}_d$ with the norm $\|x\| = \text{trace}(|x|^p)^{1/p}$.
- But, we want this to be an $A(G)$ module: not obvious! (Need to think about how irreducible representations tensor).

For the Fourier algebra

- Suppose for the moment we have a way to make sense of $A(G) + VN(G)$.
- Then we can form $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then $L^p(\hat{G})$ is the L^p space of \hat{G} .
- For example, if G is compact, then

$$VN(G) = \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{d(\pi)}, \quad L^p(\hat{G}) = \ell^p - \bigoplus_{\pi} d(\pi)^{1/p} S_{d(\pi)}^p,$$

a direct sum of Schatten-classes.

- $S_d^p = \mathbb{M}_d$ with the norm $\|x\| = \text{trace}(|x|^p)^{1/p}$.
- But, we want this to be an $A(G)$ module: not obvious! (Need to think about how irreducible representations tensor).

For the Fourier algebra

- Suppose for the moment we have a way to make sense of $A(G) + VN(G)$.
- Then we can form $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then $L^p(\hat{G})$ is the L^p space of \hat{G} .
- For example, if G is compact, then

$$VN(G) = \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{d(\pi)}, \quad L^p(\hat{G}) = \ell^p - \bigoplus_{\pi} d(\pi)^{1/p} \mathcal{S}_{d(\pi)}^p,$$

a direct sum of Schatten-classes.

- $\mathcal{S}_d^p = \mathbb{M}_d$ with the norm $\|x\| = \text{trace}(|x|^p)^{1/p}$.
- But, we want this to be an $A(G)$ module: not obvious! (Need to think about how irreducible representations tensor).

For the Fourier algebra

- Suppose for the moment we have a way to make sense of $A(G) + VN(G)$.
- Then we can form $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then $L^p(\hat{G})$ is the L^p space of \hat{G} .
- For example, if G is compact, then

$$VN(G) = \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{d(\pi)}, \quad L^p(\hat{G}) = \ell^p - \bigoplus_{\pi} d(\pi)^{1/p} \mathcal{S}_{d(\pi)}^p,$$

a direct sum of Schatten-classes.

- $\mathcal{S}_d^p = \mathbb{M}_d$ with the norm $\|x\| = \text{trace}(|x|^p)^{1/p}$.
- But, we want this to be an $A(G)$ module: not obvious! (Need to think about how irreducible representations tensor).

For the Fourier algebra

- Suppose for the moment we have a way to make sense of $A(G) + VN(G)$.
- Then we can form $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then $L^p(\hat{G})$ is the L^p space of \hat{G} .
- For example, if G is compact, then

$$VN(G) = \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{d(\pi)}, \quad L^p(\hat{G}) = \ell^p - \bigoplus_{\pi} d(\pi)^{1/p} S_{d(\pi)}^p,$$

a direct sum of Schatten-classes.

- $S_d^p = \mathbb{M}_d$ with the norm $\|x\| = \text{trace}(|x|^p)^{1/p}$.
- But, we want this to be an $A(G)$ module: not obvious! (Need to think about how irreducible representations tensor).

A hint from operator spaces

Using complex interpolation between a von Neumann algebra and its predual is a well-known way to construct non-commutative L^p spaces. See work of [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi].

- We eventually want to deal with the completely bounded case: we want $L^p(\hat{G})$ to be an operator space.
- We also hope that $L^2(\hat{G})$ is a Hilbert space;
- so it should be *self-dual*;
- which means it should be Pisier's operator Hilbert space.
- This means we need to actually interpolate between $A(G)$ and $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$: the algebra $VN(G)$ with the opposite multiplication.

A hint from operator spaces

Using complex interpolation between a von Neumann algebra and its predual is a well-known way to construct non-commutative L^p spaces. See work of [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi].

- We eventually want to deal with the completely bounded case: we want $L^p(\hat{G})$ to be an operator space.
- We also hope that $L^2(\hat{G})$ is a Hilbert space;
- so it should be *self-dual*;
- which means it should be Pisier's operator Hilbert space.
- This means we need to actually interpolate between $A(G)$ and $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$: the algebra $VN(G)$ with the opposite multiplication.

A hint from operator spaces

Using complex interpolation between a von Neumann algebra and its predual is a well-known way to construct non-commutative L^p spaces. See work of [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi].

- We eventually want to deal with the completely bounded case: we want $L^p(\hat{G})$ to be an operator space.
- We also hope that $L^2(\hat{G})$ is a Hilbert space;
 - so it should be *self-dual*;
 - which means it should be Pisier's operator Hilbert space.
- This means we need to actually interpolate between $A(G)$ and $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$: the algebra $VN(G)$ with the opposite multiplication.

A hint from operator spaces

Using complex interpolation between a von Neumann algebra and its predual is a well-known way to construct non-commutative L^p spaces. See work of [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi].

- We eventually want to deal with the completely bounded case: we want $L^p(\hat{G})$ to be an operator space.
- We also hope that $L^2(\hat{G})$ is a Hilbert space;
- so it should be *self-dual*;
- which means it should be Pisier's operator Hilbert space.
- This means we need to actually interpolate between $A(G)$ and $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$: the algebra $VN(G)$ with the opposite multiplication.

A hint from operator spaces

Using complex interpolation between a von Neumann algebra and its predual is a well-known way to construct non-commutative L^p spaces. See work of [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi].

- We eventually want to deal with the completely bounded case: we want $L^p(\hat{G})$ to be an operator space.
- We also hope that $L^2(\hat{G})$ is a Hilbert space;
- so it should be *self-dual*;
- which means it should be Pisier's operator Hilbert space.
- This means we need to actually interpolate between $A(G)$ and $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$: the algebra $VN(G)$ with the opposite multiplication.

A hint from operator spaces

Using complex interpolation between a von Neumann algebra and its predual is a well-known way to construct non-commutative L^p spaces. See work of [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi].

- We eventually want to deal with the completely bounded case: we want $L^p(\hat{G})$ to be an operator space.
- We also hope that $L^2(\hat{G})$ is a Hilbert space;
- so it should be *self-dual*;
- which means it should be Pisier's operator Hilbert space.
- This means we need to actually interpolate between $A(G)$ and $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$: the algebra $VN(G)$ with the opposite multiplication.

Using the right von Neumann algebra

- As $VN(G)$ is in *standard position* on $L^2(G)$, it follows that $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$ is isomorphic to $VN(G)'$, the commutant of $VN(G)$.
- But this is $VN_r(G)$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the right regular representation:

$$\rho(s) : \xi \mapsto \eta, \quad \eta(t) = \xi(ts)\nabla(s)^{1/2} \quad (s, t \in G, \xi \in L^2(G)).$$

Here ∇ is the modular function on G .

- If we follow Terp, then we construct $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ by identifying $a \in A(G) \cap C_{00}(G)$ with $\rho(\nabla^{-1/2}a) \in VN_r(G)$.
- By doing some work with left Hilbert algebras, we can show that $a \in A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ if and only if convolution by \check{a} on the right gives a bounded map on $L^2(G)$.

Using the right von Neumann algebra

- As $VN(G)$ is in *standard position* on $L^2(G)$, it follows that $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$ is isomorphic to $VN(G)'$, the commutant of $VN(G)$.
- But this is $VN_r(G)$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the right regular representation:

$$\rho(\mathbf{s}) : \xi \mapsto \eta, \quad \eta(t) = \xi(t\mathbf{s})\nabla(\mathbf{s})^{1/2} \quad (\mathbf{s}, t \in G, \xi \in L^2(G)).$$

Here ∇ is the modular function on G .

- If we follow Terp, then we construct $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ by identifying $a \in A(G) \cap C_{00}(G)$ with $\rho(\nabla^{-1/2}a) \in VN_r(G)$.
- By doing some work with left Hilbert algebras, we can show that $a \in A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ if and only if convolution by \check{a} on the right gives a bounded map on $L^2(G)$.

Using the right von Neumann algebra

- As $VN(G)$ is in *standard position* on $L^2(G)$, it follows that $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$ is isomorphic to $VN(G)'$, the commutant of $VN(G)$.
- But this is $VN_r(G)$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the right regular representation:

$$\rho(s) : \xi \mapsto \eta, \quad \eta(t) = \xi(ts)\nabla(s)^{1/2} \quad (s, t \in G, \xi \in L^2(G)).$$

Here ∇ is the modular function on G .

- If we follow Terp, then we construct $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ by identifying $a \in A(G) \cap C_{00}(G)$ with $\rho(\nabla^{-1/2}a) \in VN_r(G)$.
- By doing some work with left Hilbert algebras, we can show that $a \in A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ if and only if convolution by \check{a} on the right gives a bounded map on $L^2(G)$.

Using the right von Neumann algebra

- As $VN(G)$ is in *standard position* on $L^2(G)$, it follows that $VN(G)^{\text{op}}$ is isomorphic to $VN(G)'$, the commutant of $VN(G)$.
- But this is $VN_r(G)$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the right regular representation:

$$\rho(s) : \xi \mapsto \eta, \quad \eta(t) = \xi(ts)\nabla(s)^{1/2} \quad (s, t \in G, \xi \in L^2(G)).$$

Here ∇ is the modular function on G .

- If we follow Terp, then we construct $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ by identifying $a \in A(G) \cap C_{00}(G)$ with $\rho(\nabla^{-1/2}a) \in VN_r(G)$.
- By doing some work with left Hilbert algebras, we can show that $a \in A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ if and only if convolution by \check{a} on the right gives a bounded map on $L^2(G)$.

Building $L^p(\hat{G})$

- Once we have $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$, we can form $A(G) + VN_r(G)$ (formally, this will be a subspace of the dual of $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$).
- We use complex interpolation: $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN_r(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then everything is commutative, and we really do just recover $L^p(\hat{G})$.
- As $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ is dense in $L^p(\hat{G})$, we can view $L^p(\hat{G})$ as an abstract Banach space completion of some subspace (actually, ideal) of $A(G)$. So a *function space*.
- Then the $A(G)$ module action is just multiplication of functions!
- This generalises work of [Forrest, Lee, Samei]: they have different constructions for $p > 2$ and $p < 2$, but actually the spaces are isomorphic to $L^p(\hat{G})$ (just via “different” isomorphisms).

Building $L^p(\hat{G})$

- Once we have $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$, we can form $A(G) + VN_r(G)$ (formally, this will be a subspace of the dual of $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$).
- We use complex interpolation: $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN_r(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then everything is commutative, and we really do just recover $L^p(\hat{G})$.
- As $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ is dense in $L^p(\hat{G})$, we can view $L^p(\hat{G})$ as an abstract Banach space completion of some subspace (actually, ideal) of $A(G)$. So a *function space*.
- Then the $A(G)$ module action is just multiplication of functions!
- This generalises work of [Forrest, Lee, Samei]: they have different constructions for $p > 2$ and $p < 2$, but actually the spaces are isomorphic to $L^p(\hat{G})$ (just via “different” isomorphisms).

Building $L^p(\hat{G})$

- Once we have $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$, we can form $A(G) + VN_r(G)$ (formally, this will be a subspace of the dual of $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$).
- We use complex interpolation: $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN_r(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then everything is commutative, and we really do just recover $L^p(\hat{G})$.
- As $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ is dense in $L^p(\hat{G})$, we can view $L^p(\hat{G})$ as an abstract Banach space completion of some subspace (actually, ideal) of $A(G)$. So a *function space*.
- Then the $A(G)$ module action is just multiplication of functions!
- This generalises work of [Forrest, Lee, Samei]: they have different constructions for $p > 2$ and $p < 2$, but actually the spaces are isomorphic to $L^p(\hat{G})$ (just via “different” isomorphisms).

Building $L^p(\hat{G})$

- Once we have $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$, we can form $A(G) + VN_r(G)$ (formally, this will be a subspace of the dual of $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$).
- We use complex interpolation: $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN_r(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then everything is commutative, and we really do just recover $L^p(\hat{G})$.
- As $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ is dense in $L^p(\hat{G})$, we can view $L^p(\hat{G})$ as an abstract Banach space completion of some subspace (actually, ideal) of $A(G)$. So a *function space*.
- Then the $A(G)$ module action is just multiplication of functions!
- This generalises work of [Forrest, Lee, Samei]: they have different constructions for $p > 2$ and $p < 2$, but actually the spaces are isomorphic to $L^p(\hat{G})$ (just via “different” isomorphisms).

Building $L^p(\hat{G})$

- Once we have $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$, we can form $A(G) + VN_r(G)$ (formally, this will be a subspace of the dual of $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$).
- We use complex interpolation: $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN_r(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then everything is commutative, and we really do just recover $L^p(\hat{G})$.
- As $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ is dense in $L^p(\hat{G})$, we can view $L^p(\hat{G})$ as an abstract Banach space completion of some subspace (actually, ideal) of $A(G)$. So a *function space*.
- Then the $A(G)$ module action is just multiplication of functions!
- This generalises work of [Forrest, Lee, Samei]: they have different constructions for $p > 2$ and $p < 2$, but actually the spaces are isomorphic to $L^p(\hat{G})$ (just via “different” isomorphisms).

Building $L^p(\hat{G})$

- Once we have $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$, we can form $A(G) + VN_r(G)$ (formally, this will be a subspace of the dual of $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$).
- We use complex interpolation: $L^p(\hat{G}) = (VN_r(G), A(G))_{[1/p]}$.
- If G is abelian, then everything is commutative, and we really do just recover $L^p(\hat{G})$.
- As $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ is dense in $L^p(\hat{G})$, we can view $L^p(\hat{G})$ as an abstract Banach space completion of some subspace (actually, ideal) of $A(G)$. So a *function space*.
- Then the $A(G)$ module action is just multiplication of functions!
- This generalises work of [Forrest, Lee, Samei]: they have different constructions for $p > 2$ and $p < 2$, but actually the spaces are isomorphic to $L^p(\hat{G})$ (just via “different” isomorphisms).

Representing multipliers

- Similarly, $MA(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ act on $L^p(\hat{G})$ by multiplication.
- So let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let

$$E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G}),$$

say in the ℓ^2 sense (so E is reflexive).

- Thus E is an $A(G)$ module and an $MA(G)$ module.
- The action of $MA(G)$ is weak*-continuous, and

$$MA(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Representing multipliers

- Similarly, $MA(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ act on $L^p(\hat{G})$ by multiplication.
- So let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let

$$E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G}),$$

say in the ℓ^2 sense (so E is reflexive).

- Thus E is an $A(G)$ module and an $MA(G)$ module.
- The action of $MA(G)$ is weak*-continuous, and

$$MA(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Representing multipliers

- Similarly, $MA(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ act on $L^p(\hat{G})$ by multiplication.
- So let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let

$$E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G}),$$

say in the ℓ^2 sense (so E is reflexive).

- Thus E is an $A(G)$ module and an $MA(G)$ module.
- The action of $MA(G)$ is weak*-continuous, and

$$MA(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Representing multipliers

- Similarly, $MA(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ act on $L^p(\hat{G})$ by multiplication.
- So let $p_n \rightarrow 1$, and let

$$E = \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G}),$$

say in the ℓ^2 sense (so E is reflexive).

- Thus E is an $A(G)$ module and an $MA(G)$ module.
- The action of $MA(G)$ is weak*-continuous, and

$$MA(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Representing cb multipliers

- The actions of $A(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ on $L^p(\hat{G})$ are completely contractive.
- We can give the ℓ^2 -direct sum of operator spaces a natural operator space structure ([Xu]: use interpolation again!)
- So E becomes an operator space.
- Then $M_{cb}A(G)$ acts weak*-continuously on E , and again

$$M_{cb}A(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{CB}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- Notice that this is the *same* E , just with an operator space structure; we still have

$$MA(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Representing cb multipliers

- The actions of $A(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ on $L^p(\hat{G})$ are completely contractive.
- We can give the ℓ^2 -direct sum of operator spaces a natural operator space structure ([Xu]: use interpolation again!)
- So E becomes an operator space.
- Then $M_{cb}A(G)$ acts weak*-continuously on E , and again

$$M_{cb}A(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{CB}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- Notice that this is the *same* E , just with an operator space structure; we still have

$$MA(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Representing cb multipliers

- The actions of $A(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ on $L^p(\hat{G})$ are completely contractive.
- We can give the ℓ^2 -direct sum of operator spaces a natural operator space structure ([Xu]: use interpolation again!)
- So E becomes an operator space.
- Then $M_{cb}A(G)$ acts weak*-continuously on E , and again

$$M_{cb}A(G) = \{T \in CB(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- Notice that this is the *same* E , just with an operator space structure; we still have

$$MA(G) = \{T \in B(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Representing cb multipliers

- The actions of $A(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ on $L^p(\hat{G})$ are completely contractive.
- We can give the ℓ^2 -direct sum of operator spaces a natural operator space structure ([Xu]: use interpolation again!)
- So E becomes an operator space.
- Then $M_{cb}A(G)$ acts weak*-continuously on E , and again

$$M_{cb}A(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{CB}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- Notice that this is the *same* E , just with an operator space structure; we still have

$$MA(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Representing cb multipliers

- The actions of $A(G)$ and $M_{cb}A(G)$ on $L^p(\hat{G})$ are completely contractive.
- We can give the ℓ^2 -direct sum of operator spaces a natural operator space structure ([Xu]: use interpolation again!)
- So E becomes an operator space.
- Then $M_{cb}A(G)$ acts weak*-continuously on E , and again

$$M_{cb}A(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{CB}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- Notice that this is the *same* E , just with an operator space structure; we still have

$$MA(G) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : Ta, aT \in A(G) (a \in A(G))\}.$$

Analogues of the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebras

- Recall that $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)^\vee$.
- We could instead define $A_p(G) = L^p(G) * L^{p'}(G)^\vee$, the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebra (where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).
- These have similar properties to $A(G)$, although some results are still conjecture: as working away from a Hilbert space can be tricky.
- We've developed a theory of L^p spaces “on the dual side”,
- So we should have $A_p(\hat{G}) = L^p(\hat{G}) \cdot L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ (roughly!)
- Then $A_2(\hat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G)$, as we might hope (as if G is abelian, this has to be true!)
- I couldn't decide if $A_p(\hat{G})$ is always an algebra: it contains a dense subalgebra.
- See arXiv:0906.5128v2; to appear in Canad. J. Math.

Analogues of the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebras

- Recall that $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)^\vee$.
- We could instead define $A_p(G) = L^p(G) * L^{p'}(G)^\vee$, the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebra (where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).
- These have similar properties to $A(G)$, although some results are still conjecture: as working away from a Hilbert space can be tricky.
- We've developed a theory of L^p spaces “on the dual side”,
- So we should have $A_p(\hat{G}) = L^p(\hat{G}) \cdot L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ (roughly!)
- Then $A_2(\hat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G)$, as we might hope (as if G is abelian, this has to be true!)
- I couldn't decide if $A_p(\hat{G})$ is always an algebra: it contains a dense subalgebra.
- See arXiv:0906.5128v2; to appear in Canad. J. Math.

Analogues of the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebras

- Recall that $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)^\vee$.
- We could instead define $A_p(G) = L^p(G) * L^{p'}(G)^\vee$, the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebra (where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).
- These have similar properties to $A(G)$, although some results are still conjecture: as working away from a Hilbert space can be tricky.
- We've developed a theory of L^p spaces “on the dual side”,
- So we should have $A_p(\hat{G}) = L^p(\hat{G}) \cdot L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ (roughly!)
- Then $A_2(\hat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G)$, as we might hope (as if G is abelian, this has to be true!)
- I couldn't decide if $A_p(\hat{G})$ is always an algebra: it contains a dense subalgebra.
- See arXiv:0906.5128v2; to appear in Canad. J. Math.

Analogues of the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebras

- Recall that $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)^\vee$.
- We could instead define $A_p(G) = L^p(G) * L^{p'}(G)^\vee$, the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebra (where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).
- These have similar properties to $A(G)$, although some results are still conjecture: as working away from a Hilbert space can be tricky.
- We've developed a theory of L^p spaces “on the dual side”,
 - So we should have $A_p(\hat{G}) = L^p(\hat{G}) \cdot L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ (roughly!)
 - Then $A_2(\hat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G)$, as we might hope (as if G is abelian, this has to be true!)
 - I couldn't decide if $A_p(\hat{G})$ is always an algebra: it contains a dense subalgebra.
 - See arXiv:0906.5128v2; to appear in *Canad. J. Math.*

Analogues of the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebras

- Recall that $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)^\vee$.
- We could instead define $A_p(G) = L^p(G) * L^{p'}(G)^\vee$, the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebra (where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).
- These have similar properties to $A(G)$, although some results are still conjecture: as working away from a Hilbert space can be tricky.
- We've developed a theory of L^p spaces “on the dual side”,
- So we should have $A_p(\hat{G}) = L^p(\hat{G}) \cdot L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ (roughly!)
- Then $A_2(\hat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G)$, as we might hope (as if G is abelian, this has to be true!)
- I couldn't decide if $A_p(\hat{G})$ is always an algebra: it contains a dense subalgebra.
- See arXiv:0906.5128v2; to appear in Canad. J. Math.

Analogues of the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebras

- Recall that $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)^\vee$.
- We could instead define $A_p(G) = L^p(G) * L^{p'}(G)^\vee$, the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebra (where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).
- These have similar properties to $A(G)$, although some results are still conjecture: as working away from a Hilbert space can be tricky.
- We've developed a theory of L^p spaces “on the dual side”,
- So we should have $A_p(\hat{G}) = L^p(\hat{G}) \cdot L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ (roughly!)
- Then $A_2(\hat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G)$, as we might hope (as if G is abelian, this has to be true!)
- I couldn't decide if $A_p(\hat{G})$ is always an algebra: it contains a dense subalgebra.
- See arXiv:0906.5128v2; to appear in Canad. J. Math.

Analogues of the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebras

- Recall that $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)^\vee$.
- We could instead define $A_p(G) = L^p(G) * L^{p'}(G)^\vee$, the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebra (where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).
- These have similar properties to $A(G)$, although some results are still conjecture: as working away from a Hilbert space can be tricky.
- We've developed a theory of L^p spaces “on the dual side”,
- So we should have $A_p(\hat{G}) = L^p(\hat{G}) \cdot L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ (roughly!)
- Then $A_2(\hat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G)$, as we might hope (as if G is abelian, this has to be true!)
- I couldn't decide if $A_p(\hat{G})$ is always an algebra: it contains a dense subalgebra.
- See arXiv:0906.5128v2; to appear in *Canad. J. Math.*

Analogues of the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebras

- Recall that $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)^\vee$.
- We could instead define $A_p(G) = L^p(G) * L^{p'}(G)^\vee$, the Figa-Talamanca–Herz algebra (where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).
- These have similar properties to $A(G)$, although some results are still conjecture: as working away from a Hilbert space can be tricky.
- We've developed a theory of L^p spaces “on the dual side”,
- So we should have $A_p(\hat{G}) = L^p(\hat{G}) \cdot L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ (roughly!)
- Then $A_2(\hat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G)$, as we might hope (as if G is abelian, this has to be true!)
- I couldn't decide if $A_p(\hat{G})$ is always an algebra: it contains a dense subalgebra.
- See arXiv:0906.5128v2; to appear in *Canad. J. Math.*