# Multipliers of Quantum groups from Hilbert C\*-modules

Matthew Daws

Leeds

March 2010

Matthew Daws (Leeds)

Multipliers and Hilbert modules

March 2010 1 / 22

A (1) > A (2) > A

Suppose that *A* is an algebra: how might we embed *A* into a unital algebra *B*?

- Could use the unitisation:  $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ .
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B.
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B: if I ⊆ B is an ideal then A ∩ I ≠ {0}.
- For *faithful A*, this is equivalent to: if  $b \in B$  and aba' = 0 for all  $a, a' \in A$ , then b = 0.
- Turns out there is a maximal such *B*, called the *multiplier algebra* of *A*, written *M*(*A*). Maximal in the sense that if *A* ⊴ *B*, then *B* → *M*(*A*). Clearly *M*(*A*) is unique.

Suppose that *A* is an algebra: how might we embed *A* into a unital algebra *B*?

- Could use the unitisation:  $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ .
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B.
- But we don't want *B* to be too large: the natural condition is that *A* should be *essential* in *B*: if  $I \subseteq B$  is an ideal then  $A \cap I \neq \{0\}$ .
- For *faithful A*, this is equivalent to: if  $b \in B$  and aba' = 0 for all  $a, a' \in A$ , then b = 0.
- Turns out there is a maximal such *B*, called the *multiplier algebra* of *A*, written *M*(*A*). Maximal in the sense that if *A* ⊴ *B*, then *B* → *M*(*A*). Clearly *M*(*A*) is unique.

(4 回 ) (4 回 ) (4 回 )

Suppose that *A* is an algebra: how might we embed *A* into a unital algebra *B*?

- Could use the unitisation:  $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ .
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B.
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B: if I ⊆ B is an ideal then A ∩ I ≠ {0}.
- For faithful A, this is equivalent to: if  $b \in B$  and aba' = 0 for all  $a, a' \in A$ , then b = 0.
- Turns out there is a maximal such *B*, called the *multiplier algebra* of *A*, written *M*(*A*). Maximal in the sense that if *A* ⊆ *B*, then *B* → *M*(*A*). Clearly *M*(*A*) is unique.

Suppose that *A* is an algebra: how might we embed *A* into a unital algebra *B*?

- Could use the unitisation:  $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ .
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B.
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B: if I ⊆ B is an ideal then A ∩ I ≠ {0}.
- For *faithful A*, this is equivalent to: if  $b \in B$  and aba' = 0 for all  $a, a' \in A$ , then b = 0.
- Turns out there is a maximal such *B*, called the *multiplier algebra* of *A*, written M(A). Maximal in the sense that if  $A \leq B$ , then  $B \rightarrow M(A)$ . Clearly M(A) is unique.

Suppose that *A* is an algebra: how might we embed *A* into a unital algebra *B*?

- Could use the unitisation:  $A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ .
- Natural to ask that A is an *ideal* in B.
- But we don't want B to be too large: the natural condition is that A should be *essential* in B: if I ⊆ B is an ideal then A ∩ I ≠ {0}.
- For *faithful A*, this is equivalent to: if  $b \in B$  and aba' = 0 for all  $a, a' \in A$ , then b = 0.
- Turns out there is a maximal such *B*, called the *multiplier algebra* of *A*, written M(A). Maximal in the sense that if  $A \leq B$ , then  $B \rightarrow M(A)$ . Clearly M(A) is unique.

We define M(A) to be the collection of maps  $L, R : A \rightarrow A$  with

 $L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \qquad (a, b \in A).$ 

- If *A* is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- M(A) is a vector space, and an algebra for the product (L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R).
- Each  $a \in A$  defines a pair  $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$  by  $L_a(b) = ab$  and  $R_a(b) = ba$ .
- The homomorphism  $A \to M(A)$ ;  $a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$  identifies A with an essential ideal in M(A).
- If *A* is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that *L* and *R* are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

We define M(A) to be the collection of maps  $L, R : A \rightarrow A$  with

 $L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$ 

- If *A* is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- M(A) is a vector space, and an algebra for the product (L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R).
- Each  $a \in A$  defines a pair  $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$  by  $L_a(b) = ab$  and  $R_a(b) = ba$ .
- The homomorphism  $A \to M(A)$ ;  $a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$  identifies A with an essential ideal in M(A).
- If *A* is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that *L* and *R* are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

We define M(A) to be the collection of maps  $L, R : A \rightarrow A$  with

 $L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$ 

- If *A* is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- M(A) is a vector space, and an algebra for the product (L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R).
- Each  $a \in A$  defines a pair  $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$  by  $L_a(b) = ab$  and  $R_a(b) = ba$ .
- The homomorphism  $A \to M(A)$ ;  $a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$  identifies A with an essential ideal in M(A).
- If *A* is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that *L* and *R* are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

We define M(A) to be the collection of maps  $L, R : A \rightarrow A$  with

 $L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$ 

- If *A* is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- M(A) is a vector space, and an algebra for the product (L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R).
- Each  $a \in A$  defines a pair  $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$  by  $L_a(b) = ab$  and  $R_a(b) = ba$ .
- The homomorphism  $A \to M(A)$ ;  $a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$  identifies A with an essential ideal in M(A).
- If *A* is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that *L* and *R* are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

We define M(A) to be the collection of maps  $L, R : A \rightarrow A$  with

 $L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$ 

- If *A* is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- M(A) is a vector space, and an algebra for the product (L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R).
- Each  $a \in A$  defines a pair  $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$  by  $L_a(b) = ab$  and  $R_a(b) = ba$ .
- The homomorphism  $A \to M(A)$ ;  $a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$  identifies A with an essential ideal in M(A).
- If *A* is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that *L* and *R* are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

We define M(A) to be the collection of maps  $L, R : A \rightarrow A$  with

 $L(ab) = L(a)b, \quad R(ab) = aR(b), \quad aL(b) = R(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$ 

- If *A* is faithful (which we shall assume from now on) then we only need the third condition.
- M(A) is a vector space, and an algebra for the product (L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R).
- Each  $a \in A$  defines a pair  $(L_a, R_a) \in M(A)$  by  $L_a(b) = ab$  and  $R_a(b) = ba$ .
- The homomorphism  $A \to M(A)$ ;  $a \mapsto (L_a, R_a)$  identifies A with an essential ideal in M(A).
- If *A* is a Banach algebra, then natural to ask that *L* and *R* are bounded; but this is automatic by using the Closed Graph Theorem.

Let A be a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

• Each such *T* does define a multiplier in the previous sense.

- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build *T* ∈ B(*H*) given (*L*, *R*) ∈ M(*A*).
- If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$ , so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

Let A be a C\*-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A (a \in A)\}.$$

#### • Each such *T* does define a multiplier in the previous sense.

- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build *T* ∈ B(*H*) given (*L*, *R*) ∈ M(*A*).
- If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$ , so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

Let A be a C\*-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A (a \in A)\}.$$

- Each such *T* does define a multiplier in the previous sense.
- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build *T* ∈ B(*H*) given (*L*, *R*) ∈ M(*A*).
- If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$ , so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

Let A be a C\*-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. Then we have that

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- Each such *T* does define a multiplier in the previous sense.
- Conversely, a bounded approximate identity argument allows you to build *T* ∈ B(*H*) given (*L*, *R*) ∈ M(*A*).
- If A = C<sub>0</sub>(X) then M(A) = C<sup>b</sup>(X) = C(βX), so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

# Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any discrete group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any discrete group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Let *G* be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any compact group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Let *G* be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any compact group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Let *G* be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any compact group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

#### Group algebras Turn $L^1(G)$ into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f*g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

We can also convolve finite measures.

• Identify M(G) with  $C_0(G)^*$ , then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F 
angle = \int \int F(st) \ d\mu(s) \ d\lambda(t) \qquad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

• [Wendel] Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G))=M(G),$$

where for each  $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,

$$L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \qquad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

#### Group algebras

Turn  $L^{1}(G)$  into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f*g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

#### • We can also convolve finite measures.

• Identify M(G) with  $C_0(G)^*$ , then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F 
angle = \int \int F(st) \ d\mu(s) \ d\lambda(t) \qquad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)).$$

• [Wendel] Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G))=M(G),$$

where for each  $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,

$$L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \qquad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

#### Group algebras

Turn  $L^{1}(G)$  into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f*g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

- We can also convolve finite measures.
- Identify M(G) with  $C_0(G)^*$ , then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) \ d\mu(s) \ d\lambda(t) \qquad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

• [Wendel] Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G))=M(G),$$

where for each  $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,

$$L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \qquad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

4 **A b b b b b b** 

#### Group algebras

Turn  $L^{1}(G)$  into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f*g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

- We can also convolve finite measures.
- Identify M(G) with  $C_0(G)^*$ , then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) \ d\mu(s) \ d\lambda(t) \qquad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

• [Wendel] Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G))=M(G),$$

where for each  $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,

$$L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \qquad (a \in L^1(G)).$$

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

 $\hat{G} = \{ \chi : G \to \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism} \}.$ 

Also we have the Fourier Transform

 $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\hat{G}) \quad \text{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$ 

• The image  $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$  is the *Fourier algebra*  $A(\hat{G})$ .

- As  $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$  (pointwise product) we see that  $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$  (convolution).
- *F* extends to *M*(*G*), and the image is *B*(*Ĝ*) ⊆ *C<sup>b</sup>*(*G*), the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

 $\hat{G} = \{\chi : G \to \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism} \}.$ 

Also we have the Fourier Transform

 $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\hat{G}) \quad \text{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$ 

• The image  $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$  is the *Fourier algebra*  $A(\hat{G})$ .

- As  $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$  (pointwise product) we see that  $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$  (convolution).
- *F* extends to *M*(*G*), and the image is *B*(*Ĝ*) ⊆ *C<sup>b</sup>*(*G*), the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

 $\hat{G} = \{\chi : G \to \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism} \}.$ 

Also we have the Fourier Transform

 $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\hat{G}) \quad \text{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$ 

• The image  $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$  is the Fourier algebra  $A(\hat{G})$ .

- As  $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$  (pointwise product) we see that  $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$  (convolution).
- *F* extends to *M*(*G*), and the image is *B*(*Ĝ*) ⊆ *C<sup>b</sup>*(*G*), the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

 $\hat{G} = \{\chi : G \to \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism} \}.$ 

Also we have the Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) o C_0(\hat{G}) \quad ext{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$$

- The image  $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$  is the Fourier algebra  $A(\hat{G})$ .
- As  $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$  (pointwise product) we see that  $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$  (convolution).
- $\mathcal{F}$  extends to M(G), and the image is  $B(\hat{G}) \subseteq C^{b}(G)$ , the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

If G is abelian, then we have the dual group

 $\hat{G} = \{ \chi : G \to \mathbb{T} \text{ a continuous homomorphism} \}.$ 

Also we have the Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) o C_0(\hat{G}) \quad ext{also} \quad L^2(G) \cong L^2(\hat{G}).$$

- The image  $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$  is the Fourier algebra  $A(\hat{G})$ .
- As  $L^1(G) = L^2(G) \cdot L^2(G)$  (pointwise product) we see that  $A(\hat{G}) = L^2(G) * L^2(G) = L^2(\hat{G}) * L^2(\hat{G})$  (convolution).
- *F* extends to *M*(*G*), and the image is *B*(*Ĝ*) ⊆ *C<sup>b</sup>*(*G*), the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

The Fourier transform similarly sets up isomorphisms

$$C_0(G) \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}) \qquad L^\infty(G) \cong VN(\hat{G}).$$

Let  $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  be the *left-regular representation*,

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{s}): f \mapsto \boldsymbol{g} \qquad \boldsymbol{g}(t) = f(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}t) \qquad (f \in L^2(\boldsymbol{G}), \boldsymbol{s}, t \in \boldsymbol{G}).$$

Integrate this to get a homomorphism  $\lambda : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .

- $C_r^*(G)$  is the closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$ .
- *C*<sup>\*</sup>(*G*) is the enveloping C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra of *L*<sup>1</sup>(*G*): agrees with *C*<sup>\*</sup><sub>*r*</sub>(*G*) is *G* is abelian, compact, amenable.
- VN(G) is the WOT closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$  (or of  $\lambda(G)$ ).
- So,  $A(\hat{G})$  is the predual of  $VN(\hat{G})$  and  $B(\hat{G})$  is the dual of  $C^*(\hat{G})$ .

The Fourier transform similarly sets up isomorphisms

$$C_0(G) \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}) \qquad L^\infty(G) \cong VN(\hat{G}).$$

Let  $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  be the *left-regular representation*,

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{s}): \boldsymbol{f} \mapsto \boldsymbol{g} \qquad \boldsymbol{g}(t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}t) \qquad (\boldsymbol{f} \in L^2(\boldsymbol{G}), \boldsymbol{s}, t \in \boldsymbol{G}).$$

Integrate this to get a homomorphism  $\lambda : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .

- $C_r^*(G)$  is the closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$ .
- *C*<sup>\*</sup>(*G*) is the enveloping C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra of *L*<sup>1</sup>(*G*): agrees with *C*<sup>\*</sup><sub>*r*</sub>(*G*) is *G* is abelian, compact, amenable.
- VN(G) is the WOT closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$  (or of  $\lambda(G)$ ).
- So,  $A(\hat{G})$  is the predual of  $VN(\hat{G})$  and  $B(\hat{G})$  is the dual of  $C^*(\hat{G})$ .

The Fourier transform similarly sets up isomorphisms

$$C_0(G) \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}) \qquad L^\infty(G) \cong VN(\hat{G}).$$

Let  $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  be the *left-regular representation*,

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{s}): \boldsymbol{f} \mapsto \boldsymbol{g} \qquad \boldsymbol{g}(t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}t) \qquad (\boldsymbol{f} \in L^2(\boldsymbol{G}), \boldsymbol{s}, t \in \boldsymbol{G}).$$

Integrate this to get a homomorphism  $\lambda : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .

- $C_r^*(G)$  is the closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$ .
- C\*(G) is the enveloping C\*-algebra of L<sup>1</sup>(G): agrees with C<sup>\*</sup><sub>r</sub>(G) is G is abelian, compact, amenable.
- VN(G) is the WOT closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$  (or of  $\lambda(G)$ ).
- So,  $A(\hat{G})$  is the predual of  $VN(\hat{G})$  and  $B(\hat{G})$  is the dual of  $C^*(\hat{G})$ .

The Fourier transform similarly sets up isomorphisms

$$C_0(G) \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}) \qquad L^\infty(G) \cong VN(\hat{G}).$$

Let  $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  be the *left-regular representation*,

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{s}): \boldsymbol{f} \mapsto \boldsymbol{g} \qquad \boldsymbol{g}(t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}t) \qquad (\boldsymbol{f} \in L^2(\boldsymbol{G}), \boldsymbol{s}, t \in \boldsymbol{G}).$$

Integrate this to get a homomorphism  $\lambda : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .

- $C_r^*(G)$  is the closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$ .
- C\*(G) is the enveloping C\*-algebra of L<sup>1</sup>(G): agrees with C<sup>\*</sup><sub>r</sub>(G) is G is abelian, compact, amenable.
- VN(G) is the WOT closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$  (or of  $\lambda(G)$ ).

• So,  $A(\hat{G})$  is the predual of  $VN(\hat{G})$  and  $B(\hat{G})$  is the dual of  $C^*(\hat{G})$ .

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The Fourier transform similarly sets up isomorphisms

$$C_0(G) \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}) \qquad L^\infty(G) \cong VN(\hat{G}).$$

Let  $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  be the *left-regular representation*,

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{s}): \boldsymbol{f} \mapsto \boldsymbol{g} \qquad \boldsymbol{g}(t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}t) \qquad (\boldsymbol{f} \in L^2(\boldsymbol{G}), \boldsymbol{s}, t \in \boldsymbol{G}).$$

Integrate this to get a homomorphism  $\lambda : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .

- $C_r^*(G)$  is the closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$ .
- C\*(G) is the enveloping C\*-algebra of L<sup>1</sup>(G): agrees with C<sup>\*</sup><sub>r</sub>(G) is G is abelian, compact, amenable.
- VN(G) is the WOT closure of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$  (or of  $\lambda(G)$ ).
- So, A(Ĝ) is the predual of VN(Ĝ) and B(Ĝ) is the dual of C\*(Ĝ).

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## The Fourier algebra

#### For a general G, we could hence define A(G) to be:

- the predual of VN(G).
- Or  $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$ .
- We *hope* that these agree and that *A*(*G*) is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As  $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$ , the trace-class operators on  $L^2(G)$ , we have a quotient map

 $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \twoheadrightarrow VN(G)_*.$
#### For a general G, we could hence define A(G) to be:

#### • the predual of VN(G).

- Or  $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$ .
- We *hope* that these agree and that *A*(*G*) is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As  $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$ , the trace-class operators on  $L^2(G)$ , we have a quotient map

 $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \twoheadrightarrow VN(G)_*.$ 

For a general G, we could hence define A(G) to be:

- the predual of VN(G).
- Or  $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$ .
- We *hope* that these agree and that *A*(*G*) is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As  $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$ , the trace-class operators on  $L^2(G)$ , we have a quotient map

 $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \twoheadrightarrow VN(G)_*.$ 

For a general G, we could hence define A(G) to be:

- the predual of VN(G).
- Or  $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$ .
- We *hope* that these agree and that *A*(*G*) is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As  $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$ , the trace-class operators on  $L^2(G)$ , we have a quotient map

 $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \twoheadrightarrow VN(G)_*.$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

For a general G, we could hence define A(G) to be:

- the predual of VN(G).
- Or  $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$ .
- We *hope* that these agree and that *A*(*G*) is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As  $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$ , the trace-class operators on  $L^2(G)$ , we have a quotient map

 $T(L^2(G)) \twoheadrightarrow VN(G)_*.$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

For a general G, we could hence define A(G) to be:

- the predual of VN(G).
- Or  $A(G) = L^2(G) * L^2(G)$ .
- We *hope* that these agree and that *A*(*G*) is an algebra for the pointwise product.

Remember that a von Neumann algebra always has a *predual*: the space of normal functionals.

As  $VN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{T}(L^2(G))$ , the trace-class operators on  $L^2(G)$ , we have a quotient map

$$\mathcal{T}(L^2(G)) \twoheadrightarrow VN(G)_*.$$

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

(Big Machine ⇒) VN(G) is in standard position, so any normal functional ω on VN(G) is of the form ω = ω<sub>ξ,η</sub> for some ξ, η ∈ L<sup>2</sup>(G),

 $\langle x,\omega\rangle = (x(\xi)|\eta) \qquad (x \in VN(G), \xi, \eta \in L^2(G)).$ 

As {λ(s) : s ∈ G} generates VN(G), for ω ∈ VN(G)<sub>\*</sub>, if we know what ⟨λ(s<sup>-1</sup>), ω⟩ is for all s, then we know ω.

Observe that

$$\begin{split} \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \omega_{\xi,\eta} \rangle &= \int_{G} \lambda(s^{-1})(\xi)(t) \overline{\eta(t)} \ dt = \int_{G} \xi(st) \overline{\eta(t)} \ dt \\ &= \int_{G} \xi(t) \overline{\eta}(t^{-1}s) \ dt = (\xi * \overline{\eta})(s). \end{split}$$

(Big Machine ⇒) VN(G) is in standard position, so any normal functional ω on VN(G) is of the form ω = ω<sub>ξ,η</sub> for some ξ, η ∈ L<sup>2</sup>(G),

 $\langle \mathbf{x},\omega\rangle = (\mathbf{x}(\xi)|\eta) \qquad (\mathbf{x}\in VN(G), \xi,\eta\in L^2(G)).$ 

As {λ(s) : s ∈ G} generates VN(G), for ω ∈ VN(G)<sub>\*</sub>, if we know what ⟨λ(s<sup>-1</sup>), ω⟩ is for all s, then we know ω.

Observe that

$$\langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \omega_{\xi, \eta} \rangle = \int_{G} \lambda(s^{-1})(\xi)(t) \overline{\eta(t)} \, dt = \int_{G} \xi(st) \overline{\eta(t)} \, dt \\ = \int_{G} \xi(t) \overline{\eta}(t^{-1}s) \, dt = (\xi * \overline{\eta})(s).$$

(Big Machine ⇒) VN(G) is in standard position, so any normal functional ω on VN(G) is of the form ω = ω<sub>ξ,η</sub> for some ξ, η ∈ L<sup>2</sup>(G),

 $\langle \mathbf{x},\omega\rangle = (\mathbf{x}(\xi)|\eta) \qquad (\mathbf{x}\in VN(G), \xi,\eta\in L^2(G)).$ 

As {λ(s) : s ∈ G} generates VN(G), for ω ∈ VN(G)<sub>\*</sub>, if we know what ⟨λ(s<sup>-1</sup>), ω⟩ is for all s, then we know ω.

Observe that

$$egin{aligned} &\langle\lambda(s^{-1}),\omega_{\xi,\eta}
angle = \int_G\lambda(s^{-1})(\xi)(t)\overline{\eta(t)}\;dt = \int_G\xi(st)\overline{\eta(t)}\;dt \ &= \int_G\xi(t)\overline{\eta}(t^{-1}s)\;dt = (\xi*\overline{\eta})(s). \end{aligned}$$

(Big Machine ⇒) VN(G) is in standard position, so any normal functional ω on VN(G) is of the form ω = ω<sub>ξ,η</sub> for some ξ, η ∈ L<sup>2</sup>(G),

$$\langle \mathbf{x},\omega\rangle = (\mathbf{x}(\xi)|\eta) \qquad (\mathbf{x}\in VN(G), \xi,\eta\in L^2(G)).$$

As {λ(s) : s ∈ G} generates VN(G), for ω ∈ VN(G)<sub>\*</sub>, if we know what ⟨λ(s<sup>-1</sup>), ω⟩ is for all s, then we know ω.

Observe that

$$\langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \omega_{\xi,\eta} \rangle = \int_{G} \lambda(s^{-1})(\xi)(t) \overline{\eta(t)} \, dt = \int_{G} \xi(st) \overline{\eta(t)} \, dt \\ = \int_{G} \xi(t) \overline{\eta}(t^{-1}s) \, dt = (\xi * \overline{\eta})(s).$$

#### There is a normal \*-homomorphsm $\Delta: VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \otimes VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$ which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(\boldsymbol{s})) = \lambda(\boldsymbol{s}) \otimes \lambda(\boldsymbol{s}) = \lambda(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{s}).$$

- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map  $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$ .
- Turns out that  $\Delta_*$  is associative, because  $\Delta$  is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on A(G), as for ω, σ ∈ A(G) and s ∈ G,

 $(\omega\sigma)(s) = \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle = \langle \lambda(s^{-1}, s^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(s)\sigma(s).$ 

•  $\Delta$  exists as  $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$  for some unitary  $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$ ; given by  $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$ .

There is a normal \*-homomorphsm  $\Delta: VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$  which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map  $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$ .
- Turns out that  $\Delta_*$  is associative, because  $\Delta$  is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on A(G), as for ω, σ ∈ A(G) and s ∈ G,

 $(\omega\sigma)(s) = \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle = \langle \lambda(s^{-1}, s^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(s)\sigma(s).$ 

•  $\Delta$  exists as  $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$  for some unitary  $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$ ; given by  $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$ .

There is a normal \*-homomorphsm  $\Delta: VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$  which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map  $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$ .
- Turns out that  $\Delta_*$  is associative, because  $\Delta$  is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on A(G), as for ω, σ ∈ A(G) and s ∈ G,

 $(\omega\sigma)(s) = \langle \lambda(s^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle = \langle \lambda(s^{-1}, s^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(s)\sigma(s).$ 

•  $\Delta$  exists as  $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$  for some unitary  $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$ ; given by  $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$ .

There is a normal \*-homomorphsm  $\Delta: VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$  which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map  $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$ .
- Turns out that  $\Delta_*$  is associative, because  $\Delta$  is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on A(G), as for ω, σ ∈ A(G) and s ∈ G,

$$(\omega\sigma)(\boldsymbol{s}) = \langle \lambda(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle = \langle \lambda(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}, \boldsymbol{s}^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(\boldsymbol{s})\sigma(\boldsymbol{s}).$$

•  $\Delta$  exists as  $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$  for some unitary  $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$ ; given by  $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$ .

There is a normal \*-homomorphsm  $\Delta: VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G) \overline{\otimes} VN(G) = VN(G \times G)$  which satisfies

$$\Delta(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s) = \lambda(s, s).$$

- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get a (completely) contractive map  $\Delta_* : A(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$ .
- Turns out that  $\Delta_*$  is associative, because  $\Delta$  is *coassociative*.
- This obviously induces the pointwise product on A(G), as for ω, σ ∈ A(G) and s ∈ G,

$$(\omega\sigma)(\boldsymbol{s}) = \langle \lambda(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}), \Delta_*(\omega \otimes \sigma) \rangle = \langle \lambda(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}, \boldsymbol{s}^{-1}), \omega \otimes \sigma \rangle = \omega(\boldsymbol{s})\sigma(\boldsymbol{s}).$$

•  $\Delta$  exists as  $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$  for some unitary  $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G \times G))$ ; given by  $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$ .

**BA 4 BA** 

- As A(G) is commutative, multipliers of A(G) are simply maps T on A(G) with T(ab) = T(a)b.
- As we consider A(G) ⊆ C<sub>0</sub>(G), we find that every T ∈ MA(G) is given by some f ∈ C<sup>b</sup>(G):

#### $MA(G) = \{ f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G)) \}.$

- By duality, each  $T \in MA(G)$  induces a map  $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$ .
- If this is *completely bounded* that is gives uniformly (in *n*) bounded maps 1 ⊗ *T*<sup>\*</sup> on M<sub>n</sub> ⊗ *VN*(*G*)– then *T* ∈ *M<sub>cb</sub>A*(*G*).
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For f ∈ MA(G), we have that f ∈ M<sub>cb</sub>A(G) if and only if f ⊗ 1<sub>K</sub> ∈ MA(G × K) for all compact K (or just K = SU(2)).

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- As A(G) is commutative, multipliers of A(G) are simply maps T on A(G) with T(ab) = T(a)b.
- As we consider A(G) ⊆ C<sub>0</sub>(G), we find that every T ∈ MA(G) is given by some f ∈ C<sup>b</sup>(G):

### $MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$

- By duality, each  $T \in MA(G)$  induces a map  $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$ .
- If this is *completely bounded* that is gives uniformly (in *n*) bounded maps  $1 \otimes T^*$  on  $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(G)$  then  $T \in M_{cb}A(G)$
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For  $f \in MA(G)$ , we have that  $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$  if and only if  $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact K (or just K = SU(2)).

- As A(G) is commutative, multipliers of A(G) are simply maps T on A(G) with T(ab) = T(a)b.
- As we consider A(G) ⊆ C<sub>0</sub>(G), we find that every T ∈ MA(G) is given by some f ∈ C<sup>b</sup>(G):

$$MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

• By duality, each  $T \in MA(G)$  induces a map  $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$ .

- If this is *completely bounded* that is gives uniformly (in *n*) bounded maps 1 ⊗ *T*<sup>\*</sup> on M<sub>n</sub> ⊗ *VN*(*G*)– then *T* ∈ *M<sub>cb</sub>A*(*G*)
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For  $f \in MA(G)$ , we have that  $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$  if and only if  $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact K (or just K = SU(2)).

- As A(G) is commutative, multipliers of A(G) are simply maps T on A(G) with T(ab) = T(a)b.
- As we consider A(G) ⊆ C<sub>0</sub>(G), we find that every T ∈ MA(G) is given by some f ∈ C<sup>b</sup>(G):

$$MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- By duality, each  $T \in MA(G)$  induces a map  $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$ .
- If this is *completely bounded* that is gives uniformly (in *n*) bounded maps 1 ⊗ *T*<sup>\*</sup> on M<sub>n</sub> ⊗ *VN*(*G*)– then *T* ∈ M<sub>cb</sub>A(*G*).
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For  $f \in MA(G)$ , we have that  $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$  if and only if  $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact K (or just K = SU(2)).

- As A(G) is commutative, multipliers of A(G) are simply maps T on A(G) with T(ab) = T(a)b.
- As we consider A(G) ⊆ C<sub>0</sub>(G), we find that every T ∈ MA(G) is given by some f ∈ C<sup>b</sup>(G):

$$MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$$

- By duality, each  $T \in MA(G)$  induces a map  $T^* : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$ .
- If this is *completely bounded* that is gives uniformly (in *n*) bounded maps 1 ⊗ *T*<sup>\*</sup> on M<sub>n</sub> ⊗ *VN*(*G*)– then *T* ∈ M<sub>cb</sub>A(*G*).
- [Haagerup, DeCanniere] For  $f \in MA(G)$ , we have that  $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$  if and only if  $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact K (or just K = SU(2)).

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how A(G) sits in  $M_{cb}A(G)$ :

- *A*(*G*) has a bounded approximate identity if and only if *G* is amenable.
- If *A*(*G*) has an approximate identity, bounded in *M<sub>cb</sub>A*(*G*), then *G* is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for SO(1, n) and SU(1, n).
- Let \(\Lambda\_G\) be the minimal bounded (in \(M\_{cb}A(G)\)) for such an approximate identity.
- Then, for G = Sp(1, n), then  $\Lambda_G = 2n 1$ .
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how A(G) sits in  $M_{cb}A(G)$ :

- *A*(*G*) has a bounded approximate identity if and only if *G* is amenable.
- If *A*(*G*) has an approximate identity, bounded in *M<sub>cb</sub>A*(*G*), then *G* is *weakly amenable*.
- For example, this is true for SO(1, n) and SU(1, n).
- Let Λ<sub>G</sub> be the minimal bounded (in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G)) for such an approximate identity.
- Then, for G = Sp(1, n), then  $\Lambda_G = 2n 1$ .
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how A(G) sits in  $M_{cb}A(G)$ :

- *A*(*G*) has a bounded approximate identity if and only if *G* is amenable.
- If A(G) has an approximate identity, bounded in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G), then G is weakly amenable.
- For example, this is true for SO(1, n) and SU(1, n).
- Let ∧<sub>G</sub> be the minimal bounded (in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G)) for such an approximate identity.
- Then, for G = Sp(1, n), then  $\Lambda_G = 2n 1$ .
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how A(G) sits in  $M_{cb}A(G)$ :

- *A*(*G*) has a bounded approximate identity if and only if *G* is amenable.
- If A(G) has an approximate identity, bounded in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G), then G is weakly amenable.
- For example, this is true for SO(1, n) and SU(1, n).
- Let ∧<sub>G</sub> be the minimal bounded (in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G)) for such an approximate identity.
- Then, for G = Sp(1, n), then  $\Lambda_G = 2n 1$ .
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how A(G) sits in  $M_{cb}A(G)$ :

- *A*(*G*) has a bounded approximate identity if and only if *G* is amenable.
- If A(G) has an approximate identity, bounded in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G), then G is weakly amenable.
- For example, this is true for SO(1, n) and SU(1, n).
- Let Λ<sub>G</sub> be the minimal bounded (in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G)) for such an approximate identity.
- Then, for G = Sp(1, n), then  $\Lambda_G = 2n 1$ .
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how A(G) sits in  $M_{cb}A(G)$ :

- *A*(*G*) has a bounded approximate identity if and only if *G* is amenable.
- If A(G) has an approximate identity, bounded in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G), then G is weakly amenable.
- For example, this is true for SO(1, n) and SU(1, n).
- Let Λ<sub>G</sub> be the minimal bounded (in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G)) for such an approximate identity.
- Then, for G = Sp(1, n), then  $\Lambda_G = 2n 1$ .

<sup>• [</sup>Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

Lots of interesting properties of groups are related to how A(G) sits in  $M_{cb}A(G)$ :

- *A*(*G*) has a bounded approximate identity if and only if *G* is amenable.
- If A(G) has an approximate identity, bounded in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G), then G is weakly amenable.
- For example, this is true for SO(1, n) and SU(1, n).
- Let Λ<sub>G</sub> be the minimal bounded (in M<sub>cb</sub>A(G)) for such an approximate identity.
- Then, for G = Sp(1, n), then  $\Lambda_G = 2n 1$ .
- [Ozawa] All hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

# Gilbert's view on $M_{cb}A(G)$

#### Theorem (Gilbert, Jolissaint)

For  $f \in C^{b}(G)$ , we have that  $f \in M_{cb}A(G)$  if and only if there is a Hilbert space K and continuous bounded maps  $\alpha, \beta : G \to K$  with  $f(st^{-1}) = (\beta(t)|\alpha(s))$  for  $s, t \in G$ .

Given a C\*-algebra A, let X be a right module over A. Suppose that X has an A-valued inner-product:

- (x|x) ≥ 0 (in the C\*-algebra sense) and (x|x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,
- $(x|y) = (y|x)^*$ ,
- $(x|y \cdot a) = (x|y)a$  for  $x, y \in X, a \in A$ .

Then *X* carries a norm:  $||x|| = ||(x|x)||^{1/2}$ . If *X* is complete, we say that *X* is a Hilbert C\*-module over *A*.

Example:  $A = C_0(G)$  and  $X = C_0(G, K)$  for a Hilbert space K. The module action is obvious; the inner-product is

$$(x|y) = (G \to \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto (x(s)|y(s))_K) \qquad (x, y \in C_0(G, K)).$$

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Given a C\*-algebra A, let X be a right module over A. Suppose that X has an A-valued inner-product:

- $(x|x) \ge 0$  (in the C\*-algebra sense) and (x|x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,
- $(x|y) = (y|x)^*$ ,
- $(x|y \cdot a) = (x|y)a$  for  $x, y \in X, a \in A$ .

Then *X* carries a norm:  $||x|| = ||(x|x)||^{1/2}$ . If *X* is complete, we say that *X* is a Hilbert C\*-module over *A*.

Example:  $A = C_0(G)$  and  $X = C_0(G, K)$  for a Hilbert space K. The module action is obvious; the inner-product is

 $(x|y) = (G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto (x(s)|y(s))_K) \qquad (x, y \in C_0(G, K)).$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Given a C\*-algebra A, let X be a right module over A. Suppose that X has an A-valued inner-product:

- $(x|x) \ge 0$  (in the C\*-algebra sense) and (x|x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,
- $(x|y) = (y|x)^*$ ,
- $(x|y \cdot a) = (x|y)a$  for  $x, y \in X, a \in A$ .

Then *X* carries a norm:  $||x|| = ||(x|x)||^{1/2}$ . If *X* is complete, we say that *X* is a Hilbert C\*-module over *A*.

Example:  $A = C_0(G)$  and  $X = C_0(G, K)$  for a Hilbert space *K*. The module action is obvious; the inner-product is

$$(x|y) = (G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto (x(s)|y(s))_K) \qquad (x, y \in C_0(G, K)).$$

A (10) A (10)

Given a C\*-algebra A, let X be a right module over A. Suppose that X has an A-valued inner-product:

- (x|x) ≥ 0 (in the C\*-algebra sense) and (x|x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,
- $(x|y) = (y|x)^*$ ,
- $(x|y \cdot a) = (x|y)a$  for  $x, y \in X, a \in A$ .

Then *X* carries a norm:  $||x|| = ||(x|x)||^{1/2}$ . If *X* is complete, we say that *X* is a Hilbert C\*-module over *A*. Example:  $A = C_0(G)$  and  $X = C_0(G, K)$  for a Hilbert space *K*. The

module action is obvious; the inner-product is

 $(x|y) = (G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto (x(s)|y(s))_K) \qquad (x, y \in C_0(G, K)).$ 

Given a C\*-algebra A, let X be a right module over A. Suppose that X has an A-valued inner-product:

(x|x) ≥ 0 (in the C\*-algebra sense) and (x|x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,

• 
$$(x|y) = (y|x)^*$$
,

•  $(x|y \cdot a) = (x|y)a$  for  $x, y \in X, a \in A$ .

Then X carries a norm:  $||x|| = ||(x|x)||^{1/2}$ . If X is complete, we say that X is a Hilbert C\*-module over A.

Example:  $A = C_0(G)$  and  $X = C_0(G, K)$  for a Hilbert space K. The module action is obvious; the inner-product is

 $(x|y) = (G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto (x(s)|y(s))_K) \qquad (x, y \in C_0(G, K)).$ 

Given a C\*-algebra A, let X be a right module over A. Suppose that X has an A-valued inner-product:

(x|x) ≥ 0 (in the C\*-algebra sense) and (x|x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,

• 
$$(x|y) = (y|x)^*$$
,

•  $(x|y \cdot a) = (x|y)a$  for  $x, y \in X, a \in A$ .

Then X carries a norm:  $||x|| = ||(x|x)||^{1/2}$ . If X is complete, we say that X is a Hilbert C\*-module over A.

Example:  $A = C_0(G)$  and  $X = C_0(G, K)$  for a Hilbert space *K*. The module action is obvious; the inner-product is

$$(x|y) = (G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto (x(s)|y(s))_{\mathcal{K}}) \qquad (x, y \in C_0(G, \mathcal{K})).$$

# Abstracting $C_0(G, K)$

For any C\*-algebra A and Hilbert space K, we consider the algebraic tensor product  $A \odot K$ , with:

 $(a \otimes \xi) \cdot b = ab \otimes \xi, \quad (a \otimes \xi | b \otimes \eta) = a^* b(\xi | \eta).$ 

#### Let $A \otimes K$ be the completion.

Then  $C_0(G) \otimes K \cong C_0(G, K)$ : somewhat clear that  $C_0(G) \odot K \subseteq C_0(G, K)$ , and use a partition of unity argument to show density.

We're interested in  $C^{b}(G, K)$ : how can we abstract this?

Any  $\alpha \in C^{b}(G, K)$  defines a map

 $C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K); \quad a \mapsto (G \to \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto a(s)\alpha(s)).$ 

# Abstracting $C_0(G, K)$

For any C\*-algebra A and Hilbert space K, we consider the algebraic tensor product  $A \odot K$ , with:

$$(a \otimes \xi) \cdot b = ab \otimes \xi, \quad (a \otimes \xi | b \otimes \eta) = a^* b(\xi | \eta).$$

Let  $A \otimes K$  be the completion.

Then  $C_0(G) \otimes K \cong C_0(G, K)$ : somewhat clear that  $C_0(G) \odot K \subseteq C_0(G, K)$ , and use a partition of unity argument to show density.

We're interested in  $C^b(G, K)$ : how can we abstract this? Any  $\alpha \in C^b(G, K)$  defines a map

 $C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K); \quad a \mapsto (G \to \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto a(s)\alpha(s)).$ 

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# Abstracting $C_0(G, K)$

For any C\*-algebra A and Hilbert space K, we consider the algebraic tensor product  $A \odot K$ , with:

 $(a \otimes \xi) \cdot b = ab \otimes \xi, \quad (a \otimes \xi | b \otimes \eta) = a^* b(\xi | \eta).$ 

Let  $A \otimes K$  be the completion.

Then  $C_0(G) \otimes K \cong C_0(G, K)$ : somewhat clear that

 $C_0(G) \odot K \subseteq C_0(G, K)$ , and use a partition of unity argument to show density.

We're interested in  $C^{b}(G, K)$ : how can we abstract this?

Any  $\alpha \in C^{b}(G, K)$  defines a map

 $C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K); \quad a \mapsto (G \to \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto a(s)\alpha(s)).$
### Abstracting $C_0(G, K)$

For any C\*-algebra A and Hilbert space K, we consider the algebraic tensor product  $A \odot K$ , with:

$$(a \otimes \xi) \cdot b = ab \otimes \xi, \quad (a \otimes \xi | b \otimes \eta) = a^* b(\xi | \eta).$$

Let  $A \otimes K$  be the completion.

Then  $C_0(G) \otimes K \cong C_0(G, K)$ : somewhat clear that

 $C_0(G) \odot K \subseteq C_0(G, K)$ , and use a partition of unity argument to show density.

We're interested in  $C^{b}(G, K)$ : how can we abstract this? Any  $\alpha \in C^{b}(G, K)$  defines a map

$$C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K); \quad a \mapsto (G \to \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto a(s)\alpha(s)).$$

A B K A B K

Actually, given  $\alpha \in C^b(G, K)$ , not only do we get a map  $T : C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K)$ , we get an "adjoint"  $T^* : C_0(G, K) \to C_0(G)$  given by

$$T^*(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}; \mathbf{s} \mapsto (\alpha(\mathbf{s}) | \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}))_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

This satisfies  $(T^*(x)|a) = (x|T(a))$  for  $x \in C_0(G, K)$  and  $a \in C_0(G)$ .

- Unlike for Hilbert spaces, not all maps between Hilbert C\*-modules have adjoints.
- But, if a map is adjointable, it's automatically bounded and a module homomorphism.
- Write  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for the space of maps which do have adjoints.
- Can show that  $\mathcal{L}(C_0(G), C_0(G) \otimes K) \cong C^b(G, K)$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Actually, given  $\alpha \in C^b(G, K)$ , not only do we get a map  $T : C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K)$ , we get an "adjoint"  $T^* : C_0(G, K) \to C_0(G)$  given by

$$T^*(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{G} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}; \mathbf{s} \mapsto (lpha(\mathbf{s})|\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}))_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

This satisfies  $(T^*(x)|a) = (x|T(a))$  for  $x \in C_0(G, K)$  and  $a \in C_0(G)$ .

- Unlike for Hilbert spaces, not all maps between Hilbert C\*-modules have adjoints.
- But, if a map is adjointable, it's automatically bounded and a module homomorphism.
- Write  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for the space of maps which do have adjoints.
- Can show that  $\mathcal{L}(C_0(G), C_0(G) \otimes K) \cong C^b(G, K)$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Actually, given  $\alpha \in C^b(G, K)$ , not only do we get a map  $T : C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K)$ , we get an "adjoint"  $T^* : C_0(G, K) \to C_0(G)$  given by

$$T^*(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{G} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}; \mathbf{s} \mapsto (lpha(\mathbf{s})|\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}))_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

This satisfies  $(T^*(x)|a) = (x|T(a))$  for  $x \in C_0(G, K)$  and  $a \in C_0(G)$ .

- Unlike for Hilbert spaces, not all maps between Hilbert C\*-modules have adjoints.
- But, if a map is adjointable, it's automatically bounded and a module homomorphism.
- Write  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for the space of maps which do have adjoints.
- Can show that  $\mathcal{L}(C_0(G), C_0(G) \otimes K) \cong C^b(G, K)$ .

Actually, given  $\alpha \in C^b(G, K)$ , not only do we get a map  $T : C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K)$ , we get an "adjoint"  $T^* : C_0(G, K) \to C_0(G)$  given by

$$T^*(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{G} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}; \mathbf{s} \mapsto (lpha(\mathbf{s})|\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}))_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

This satisfies  $(T^*(x)|a) = (x|T(a))$  for  $x \in C_0(G, K)$  and  $a \in C_0(G)$ .

- Unlike for Hilbert spaces, not all maps between Hilbert C\*-modules have adjoints.
- But, if a map is adjointable, it's automatically bounded and a module homomorphism.
- Write  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for the space of maps which do have adjoints.
- Can show that  $\mathcal{L}(C_0(G), C_0(G) \otimes K) \cong C^b(G, K)$ .

Actually, given  $\alpha \in C^b(G, K)$ , not only do we get a map  $T : C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K)$ , we get an "adjoint"  $T^* : C_0(G, K) \to C_0(G)$  given by

$$T^*(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{G} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}; \mathbf{s} \mapsto (lpha(\mathbf{s})|\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}))_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

This satisfies  $(T^*(x)|a) = (x|T(a))$  for  $x \in C_0(G, K)$  and  $a \in C_0(G)$ .

- Unlike for Hilbert spaces, not all maps between Hilbert C\*-modules have adjoints.
- But, if a map is adjointable, it's automatically bounded and a module homomorphism.
- Write  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for the space of maps which do have adjoints.
- Can show that  $\mathcal{L}(C_0(G), C_0(G) \otimes K) \cong C^b(G, K)$ .

Actually, given  $\alpha \in C^b(G, K)$ , not only do we get a map  $T : C_0(G) \to C_0(G, K)$ , we get an "adjoint"  $T^* : C_0(G, K) \to C_0(G)$  given by

$$T^*(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{G} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}; \mathbf{s} \mapsto (lpha(\mathbf{s})|\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}))_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

This satisfies  $(T^*(x)|a) = (x|T(a))$  for  $x \in C_0(G, K)$  and  $a \in C_0(G)$ .

- Unlike for Hilbert spaces, not all maps between Hilbert C\*-modules have adjoints.
- But, if a map is adjointable, it's automatically bounded and a module homomorphism.
- Write  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for the space of maps which do have adjoints.
- Can show that  $\mathcal{L}(C_0(G), C_0(G) \otimes K) \cong C^b(G, K)$ .

A *locally compact quantum group* is a von Neumann algebra M which is equipped with a normal \*-homomorphism  $\Delta : M \to M \otimes M$  such that is coassociative:  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ .

- We also assume that *M* carries left and right invariant weights: I'll ignore these here: they are very important for the theory (but, if they exist, are unique, so in sense are intrinsic).
- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get an *associative* product on the predual  $M_*$ .
- We've seen one example: VN(G) and A(G).
- Another example:  $L^{\infty}(G)$  with  $\Delta : L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{\infty}(G \times G)$  given by  $\Delta(F)(s,t) = F(st)$ .
- This induces the usual convolution product on  $L^1(G)$ .
- Again, we have W a unitary on  $L^2(G \times G)$  which induces  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta(F) = W^*(1 \otimes F)W$ . Indeed,  $W\xi(s,t) = \xi(s,s^{-1}t)$ .

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

A *locally compact quantum group* is a von Neumann algebra M which is equipped with a normal \*-homomorphism  $\Delta : M \to M \otimes M$  such that is coassociative:  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ .

- We also assume that *M* carries left and right invariant weights: I'll ignore these here: they are very important for the theory (but, if they exist, are unique, so in sense are intrinsic).
- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get an *associative* product on the predual  $M_*$ .
- We've seen one example: VN(G) and A(G).
- Another example:  $L^{\infty}(G)$  with  $\Delta : L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{\infty}(G \times G)$  given by  $\Delta(F)(s,t) = F(st)$ .
- This induces the usual convolution product on  $L^1(G)$ .
- Again, we have W a unitary on  $L^2(G \times G)$  which induces  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta(F) = W^*(1 \otimes F)W$ . Indeed,  $W\xi(s,t) = \xi(s,s^{-1}t)$ .

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

A *locally compact quantum group* is a von Neumann algebra M which is equipped with a normal \*-homomorphism  $\Delta : M \to M \otimes M$  such that is coassociative:  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ .

- We also assume that *M* carries left and right invariant weights: I'll ignore these here: they are very important for the theory (but, if they exist, are unique, so in sense are intrinsic).
- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get an *associative* product on the predual  $M_*$ .
- We've seen one example: VN(G) and A(G).
- Another example:  $L^{\infty}(G)$  with  $\Delta : L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{\infty}(G \times G)$  given by  $\Delta(F)(s,t) = F(st)$ .
- This induces the usual convolution product on  $L^1(G)$ .
- Again, we have W a unitary on  $L^2(G \times G)$  which induces  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta(F) = W^*(1 \otimes F)W$ . Indeed,  $W\xi(s,t) = \xi(s,s^{-1}t)$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

A *locally compact quantum group* is a von Neumann algebra M which is equipped with a normal \*-homomorphism  $\Delta : M \to M \otimes M$  such that is coassociative:  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ .

- We also assume that *M* carries left and right invariant weights: I'll ignore these here: they are very important for the theory (but, if they exist, are unique, so in sense are intrinsic).
- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get an *associative* product on the predual  $M_*$ .
- We've seen one example: VN(G) and A(G).
- Another example:  $L^{\infty}(G)$  with  $\Delta : L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{\infty}(G \times G)$  given by  $\Delta(F)(s,t) = F(st)$ .
- This induces the usual convolution product on  $L^1(G)$ .
- Again, we have W a unitary on  $L^2(G \times G)$  which induces  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta(F) = W^*(1 \otimes F)W$ . Indeed,  $W\xi(s,t) = \xi(s,s^{-1}t)$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

A *locally compact quantum group* is a von Neumann algebra M which is equipped with a normal \*-homomorphism  $\Delta : M \to M \overline{\otimes} M$  such that is coassociative:  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ .

- We also assume that *M* carries left and right invariant weights: I'll ignore these here: they are very important for the theory (but, if they exist, are unique, so in sense are intrinsic).
- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get an *associative* product on the predual  $M_*$ .
- We've seen one example: VN(G) and A(G).
- Another example:  $L^{\infty}(G)$  with  $\Delta : L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{\infty}(G \times G)$  given by  $\Delta(F)(s,t) = F(st)$ .
- This induces the usual convolution product on  $L^1(G)$ .
- Again, we have W a unitary on  $L^2(G \times G)$  which induces  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta(F) = W^*(1 \otimes F)W$ . Indeed,  $W\xi(s,t) = \xi(s, s^{-1}t)$ .

A *locally compact quantum group* is a von Neumann algebra M which is equipped with a normal \*-homomorphism  $\Delta : M \to M \overline{\otimes} M$  such that is coassociative:  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ .

- We also assume that *M* carries left and right invariant weights: I'll ignore these here: they are very important for the theory (but, if they exist, are unique, so in sense are intrinsic).
- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get an *associative* product on the predual  $M_*$ .
- We've seen one example: VN(G) and A(G).
- Another example:  $L^{\infty}(G)$  with  $\Delta : L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{\infty}(G \times G)$  given by  $\Delta(F)(s, t) = F(st)$ .
- This induces the usual convolution product on  $L^1(G)$ .
- Again, we have W a unitary on  $L^2(G \times G)$  which induces  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta(F) = W^*(1 \otimes F)W$ . Indeed,  $W\xi(s,t) = \xi(s, s^{-1}t)$ .

A *locally compact quantum group* is a von Neumann algebra M which is equipped with a normal \*-homomorphism  $\Delta : M \to M \otimes M$  such that is coassociative:  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ .

- We also assume that *M* carries left and right invariant weights: I'll ignore these here: they are very important for the theory (but, if they exist, are unique, so in sense are intrinsic).
- As  $\Delta$  is normal, we get an *associative* product on the predual  $M_*$ .
- We've seen one example: VN(G) and A(G).
- Another example:  $L^{\infty}(G)$  with  $\Delta : L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{\infty}(G \times G)$  given by  $\Delta(F)(s, t) = F(st)$ .
- This induces the usual convolution product on  $L^1(G)$ .
- Again, we have W a unitary on  $L^2(G \times G)$  which induces  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta(F) = W^*(1 \otimes F)W$ . Indeed,  $W\xi(s, t) = \xi(s, s^{-1}t)$ .

### Inside *M* is a C\*-algebra *A*, and $\Delta$ restricts to a map $\Delta : A \rightarrow M(A \otimes A)$ .

- For  $L^{\infty}(G)$ , we get  $C_0(G)$  (mapping into  $C^b(G \times G)$ ).
- For VN(G), we get  $C_r^*(G)$ .
- Given *M*, we can form a "dual group"  $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ , and we have that  $(M, \Delta) \cong (\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}})$ .
  - The dual of  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is VN(G), so in some sense, this generalises Pontryagin duality.
  - Very roughly, we build a Hilbert space *H* from *M*. Then *M*<sub>\*</sub> acts on *H*; the WOT closure is then *M*; the norm closure is *Â*.

### Inside *M* is a C\*-algebra *A*, and $\Delta$ restricts to a map $\Delta : A \rightarrow M(A \otimes A)$ .

- For  $L^{\infty}(G)$ , we get  $C_0(G)$  (mapping into  $C^b(G \times G)$ ).
- For VN(G), we get  $C_r^*(G)$ .
- Given M, we can form a "dual group"  $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ , and we have that  $(M, \Delta) \cong (\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}})$ .
  - The dual of *L*<sup>∞</sup>(*G*) is *VN*(*G*), so in some sense, this generalises Pontryagin duality.
  - Very roughly, we build a Hilbert space *H* from *M*. Then *M*<sub>\*</sub> acts on *H*; the WOT closure is then *M*; the norm closure is *Â*.

Inside *M* is a C\*-algebra *A*, and  $\Delta$  restricts to a map  $\Delta : A \rightarrow M(A \otimes A)$ .

- For  $L^{\infty}(G)$ , we get  $C_0(G)$  (mapping into  $C^b(G \times G)$ ).
- For VN(G), we get  $C_r^*(G)$ .
- Given M, we can form a "dual group"  $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ , and we have that  $(M, \Delta) \cong (\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}})$ .
  - The dual of  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is VN(G), so in some sense, this generalises Pontryagin duality.
  - Very roughly, we build a Hilbert space *H* from *M*. Then *M*<sub>\*</sub> acts on *H*; the WOT closure is then *M*; the norm closure is *Â*.

Inside *M* is a C\*-algebra *A*, and  $\Delta$  restricts to a map  $\Delta : A \rightarrow M(A \otimes A)$ .

- For  $L^{\infty}(G)$ , we get  $C_0(G)$  (mapping into  $C^b(G \times G)$ ).
- For VN(G), we get  $C_r^*(G)$ .

Given *M*, we can form a "dual group"  $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ , and we have that  $(M, \Delta) \cong (\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}})$ .

- The dual of  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is VN(G), so in some sense, this generalises Pontryagin duality.
- Very roughly, we build a Hilbert space *H* from *M*. Then *M*<sub>\*</sub> acts on *H*; the WOT closure is then *M*; the norm closure is *Â*.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Inside M is a C\*-algebra A, and  $\Delta$  restricts to a map  $\Delta: A \to M(A \otimes A).$ 

- For  $L^{\infty}(G)$ , we get  $C_0(G)$  (mapping into  $C^b(G \times G)$ ).
- For VN(G), we get  $C_r^*(G)$ .

Given M, we can form a "dual group" ( $\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta}$ ), and we have that  $(M, \Delta) \cong (\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta}).$ 

- The dual of  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is VN(G), so in some sense, this generalises Pontryagin duality.
- Very roughly, we build a Hilbert space H from M. Then  $M_*$  acts on

Inside *M* is a C\*-algebra *A*, and  $\Delta$  restricts to a map  $\Delta : A \rightarrow M(A \otimes A)$ .

- For  $L^{\infty}(G)$ , we get  $C_0(G)$  (mapping into  $C^b(G \times G)$ ).
- For VN(G), we get  $C_r^*(G)$ .

Given M, we can form a "dual group"  $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ , and we have that  $(M, \Delta) \cong (\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ .

- The dual of  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is VN(G), so in some sense, this generalises Pontryagin duality.
- Very roughly, we build a Hilbert space H from M. Then M<sub>\*</sub> acts on H; the WOT closure is then M̂; the norm closure is Â.

- In the "classical" setup, we have that  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G) \rightarrow M(C_r^*(G))$ . This is just the extension of  $\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .
- Similarly,  $M_{cb}A(G) \rightarrow C^b(G) = M(C_0(G))$ .
- The duality framework gives a map  $\hat{\lambda} : \hat{M}_* \to A$ .
- [Daws], building heavily on work of [Kraus, Ruan]. This does indeed extend to a homomorphism  $\hat{\Lambda} : M_{cb}(\hat{M}_*) \to M(A)$ .
- This satisfies, for  $(L, R) \in M_{cb}(\hat{M}_*), \hat{\omega} \in \hat{M}_*,$

 $\hat{\wedge}\big((L,R)\big)\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega}) = \hat{\lambda}\big(L(\hat{\omega})\big), \quad \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega})\hat{\wedge}\big((L,R)\big) = \hat{\lambda}\big(R(\hat{\omega})\big).$ 

A (10) A (10) A (10)

- In the "classical" setup, we have that  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G) \rightarrow M(C_r^*(G))$ . This is just the extension of  $\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .
- Similarly,  $M_{cb}A(G) \rightarrow C^{b}(G) = M(C_{0}(G))$ .

• The duality framework gives a map  $\hat{\lambda} : \hat{M}_* \to A$ .

- [Daws], building heavily on work of [Kraus, Ruan]. This does indeed extend to a homomorphism  $\hat{\Lambda} : M_{cb}(\hat{M}_*) \to M(A)$ .
- This satisfies, for  $(L, R) \in M_{cb}(\hat{M}_*), \hat{\omega} \in \hat{M}_*,$

 $\hat{\wedge}\big((L,R)\big)\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega}) = \hat{\lambda}\big(L(\hat{\omega})\big), \quad \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega})\hat{\wedge}\big((L,R)\big) = \hat{\lambda}\big(R(\hat{\omega})\big).$ 

A (10) A (10) A (10)

- In the "classical" setup, we have that  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G) \rightarrow M(C_r^*(G))$ . This is just the extension of  $\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .
- Similarly,  $M_{cb}A(G) \rightarrow C^b(G) = M(C_0(G))$ .
- The duality framework gives a map  $\hat{\lambda}: \hat{M}_* \to A$ .
- [Daws], building heavily on work of [Kraus, Ruan]. This does indeed extend to a homomorphism  $\hat{\Lambda} : M_{cb}(\hat{M}_*) \to M(A)$ .
- This satisfies, for  $(L, R) \in M_{cb}(\hat{M}_*), \hat{\omega} \in \hat{M}_*,$

 $\hat{\wedge}\big((L,R)\big)\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega}) = \hat{\lambda}\big(L(\hat{\omega})\big), \quad \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega})\hat{\wedge}\big((L,R)\big) = \hat{\lambda}\big(R(\hat{\omega})\big).$ 

A (10) A (10)

- In the "classical" setup, we have that  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G) \rightarrow M(C_r^*(G))$ . This is just the extension of  $\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .
- Similarly,  $M_{cb}A(G) \rightarrow C^{b}(G) = M(C_{0}(G))$ .
- The duality framework gives a map  $\hat{\lambda} : \hat{M}_* \to A$ .
- [Daws], building heavily on work of [Kraus, Ruan]. This does indeed extend to a homomorphism : M<sub>cb</sub>(Â<sub>\*</sub>) → M(A).
- This satisfies, for  $(L, R) \in M_{cb}(\hat{M}_*), \hat{\omega} \in \hat{M}_*,$

 $\hat{\Lambda}((L,R))\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega}) = \hat{\lambda}(L(\hat{\omega})), \quad \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega})\hat{\Lambda}((L,R)) = \hat{\lambda}(R(\hat{\omega})).$ 

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

- In the "classical" setup, we have that  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G) \rightarrow M(C_r^*(G))$ . This is just the extension of  $\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .
- Similarly,  $M_{cb}A(G) \rightarrow C^b(G) = M(C_0(G))$ .
- The duality framework gives a map  $\hat{\lambda} : \hat{M}_* \to A$ .
- [Daws], building heavily on work of [Kraus, Ruan]. This does indeed extend to a homomorphism : M<sub>cb</sub>(Â<sub>\*</sub>) → M(A).
- This satisfies, for  $(L, R) \in M_{cb}(\hat{M}_*), \hat{\omega} \in \hat{M}_*,$

 $\hat{\Lambda}((L,R))\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega}) = \hat{\lambda}(L(\hat{\omega})), \quad \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\omega})\hat{\Lambda}((L,R)) = \hat{\lambda}(R(\hat{\omega})).$ 

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

• We replace  $C^{b}(G, K)$  by  $\mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$ .

Given α ∈ L(A, A ⊗ K), there is a way to define
 Δ ∗ α ∈ L(A ⊗ A, A ⊗ A ⊗ K). This generalises the map

 $C^{b}(G,K) \rightarrow C^{b}(G \times G,K); \quad f \mapsto (G \times G \rightarrow K; (s,t) \mapsto f(st)).$ 

• We say that a pair of maps  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$  is "invariant" if

 $(1 \otimes \beta^*)(\Delta * \alpha) \in M(A) \otimes 1.$ 

(This is always in  $\mathcal{L}(A \otimes A) \cong M(A \otimes A)$ ). This generalises the possibility of finding  $f \in C^{b}(G)$  with

 $f(st^{-1}) = (\beta(t)|\alpha(s)) \Leftrightarrow (\beta(t)|\alpha(st))$  constant in t.

- We replace  $C^{b}(G, K)$  by  $\mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$ .
- Given α ∈ L(A, A ⊗ K), there is a way to define
   Δ \* α ∈ L(A ⊗ A, A ⊗ A ⊗ K). This generalises the map

 $C^{b}(G, K) \rightarrow C^{b}(G \times G, K); \quad f \mapsto (G \times G \rightarrow K; (s, t) \mapsto f(st)).$ 

• We say that a pair of maps  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$  is "invariant" if

 $(1 \otimes \beta^*)(\Delta * \alpha) \in M(A) \otimes 1.$ 

(This is always in  $\mathcal{L}(A \otimes A) \cong M(A \otimes A)$ ). This generalises the possibility of finding  $f \in C^{b}(G)$  with

 $f(st^{-1}) = (\beta(t)|\alpha(s)) \Leftrightarrow (\beta(t)|\alpha(st))$  constant in t.

- We replace  $C^{b}(G, K)$  by  $\mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$ .
- Given α ∈ L(A, A ⊗ K), there is a way to define
   Δ \* α ∈ L(A ⊗ A, A ⊗ A ⊗ K). This generalises the map

 $C^{b}(G, K) \rightarrow C^{b}(G \times G, K); \quad f \mapsto (G \times G \rightarrow K; (s, t) \mapsto f(st)).$ 

• We say that a pair of maps  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$  is "invariant" if

$$(1 \otimes \beta^*)(\Delta * \alpha) \in M(A) \otimes 1.$$

(This is always in  $\mathcal{L}(A \otimes A) \cong M(A \otimes A)$ ). This generalises the possibility of finding  $f \in C^{b}(G)$  with

 $f(st^{-1}) = (\beta(t)|\alpha(s)) \Leftrightarrow (\beta(t)|\alpha(st))$  constant in t.

- We replace  $C^{b}(G, K)$  by  $\mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$ .
- Given α ∈ L(A, A ⊗ K), there is a way to define
   Δ \* α ∈ L(A ⊗ A, A ⊗ A ⊗ K). This generalises the map

$$\mathcal{C}^{b}(G,\mathcal{K})
ightarrow\mathcal{C}^{b}(G imes G,\mathcal{K}); \quad f\mapsto ig(G imes G
ightarrow\mathcal{K};(s,t)\mapsto f(st)ig).$$

• We say that a pair of maps  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$  is "invariant" if

$$(1 \otimes \beta^*)(\Delta * \alpha) \in M(A) \otimes 1.$$

(This is always in  $\mathcal{L}(A \otimes A) \cong M(A \otimes A)$ ). This generalises the possibility of finding  $f \in C^{b}(G)$  with

 $f(st^{-1}) = (\beta(t)|\alpha(s)) \Leftrightarrow (\beta(t)|\alpha(st))$  constant in t.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### There is a bijection between:

- "Represented" completely bounded left multipliers *L*. That is, cb maps *L* : *M*<sub>\*</sub> → *M*<sub>\*</sub> with *L*(*ŵô*) = *L*(*ŵ*)*ô*, and such that there is *x* ∈ *M*(*A*) with *xλ*(*ŵ*) = *λ*(*L*(*ŵ*)). (Notice that this is always true for the "left half" of a cb multiplier (*L*, *R*)).
- 2 Invariant pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$  with  $(1 \otimes \beta^*)(\Delta * \alpha) = x \otimes 1$ . In this case, the map  $L^* : \hat{M} \to \hat{M}$  is given by

$$L^* = \tilde{\beta}^*(x \otimes 1)\tilde{\alpha} \qquad (x \in \hat{M}).$$

Here  $\tilde{\alpha} : H \to H \otimes K$  is built from  $\alpha$ , where *H* is the canonical Hilbert space given by *M*.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

There is a bijection between:

- "Represented" completely bounded left multipliers *L*. That is, cb maps *L* : *M̂*<sub>\*</sub> → *M̂*<sub>\*</sub> with *L*(*ŵô*) = *L*(*ŵ*)*ô*, and such that there is *x* ∈ *M*(*A*) with *xλ*(*ŵ*) = *λ*(*L*(*ŵ*)). (Notice that this is always true for the "left half" of a cb multiplier (*L*, *R*)).
- 2 Invariant pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$  with  $(1 \otimes \beta^*)(\Delta * \alpha) = x \otimes 1$ . In this case, the map  $L^* : \hat{M} \to \hat{M}$  is given by

$$L^* = \tilde{\beta}^*(x \otimes 1)\tilde{\alpha} \qquad (x \in \hat{M}).$$

Here  $\tilde{\alpha} : H \to H \otimes K$  is built from  $\alpha$ , where *H* is the canonical Hilbert space given by *M*.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

There is a bijection between:

- "Represented" completely bounded left multipliers *L*. That is, cb maps *L* : *M̂*<sub>\*</sub> → *M̂*<sub>\*</sub> with *L*(*ŵô*) = *L*(*ŵ*)*ô*, and such that there is *x* ∈ *M*(*A*) with *xλ*(*ŵ*) = *λ*(*L*(*ŵ*)). (Notice that this is always true for the "left half" of a cb multiplier (*L*, *R*)).
- 2 Invariant pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$  with  $(1 \otimes \beta^*)(\Delta * \alpha) = x \otimes 1$ . n this case, the map  $L^* : \hat{M} \to \hat{M}$  is given by

$$L^* = \tilde{\beta}^*(x \otimes 1)\tilde{\alpha} \qquad (x \in \hat{M}).$$

Here  $\tilde{\alpha} : H \to H \otimes K$  is built from  $\alpha$ , where *H* is the canonical Hilbert space given by *M*.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

There is a bijection between:

- "Represented" completely bounded left multipliers *L*. That is, cb maps *L* : *M̂*<sub>\*</sub> → *M̂*<sub>\*</sub> with *L*(*ŵô*) = *L*(*ŵ*)*ô*, and such that there is *x* ∈ *M*(*A*) with *xλ*(*ŵ*) = *λ*(*L*(*ŵ*)). (Notice that this is always true for the "left half" of a cb multiplier (*L*, *R*)).
- 2 Invariant pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(A, A \otimes K)$  with  $(1 \otimes \beta^*)(\Delta * \alpha) = x \otimes 1$ . In this case, the map  $L^* : \hat{M} \to \hat{M}$  is given by

$$L^* = \tilde{\beta}^* (x \otimes 1) \tilde{\alpha} \qquad (x \in \hat{M}).$$

Here  $\tilde{\alpha} : H \to H \otimes K$  is built from  $\alpha$ , where *H* is the canonical Hilbert space given by *M*.

A THE A THE A