# Multipliers and the Fourier algebra

Matthew Daws

Leeds

January 2010

Matthew Daws (Leeds)

Multipliers and the Fourier algebra

→ < ■ > ■ つへの January 2010 1/24







- 3 The Fourier algebra
- 4 Non-commutative *L<sup>p</sup>* spaces

-

A (10) > A (10) > A

Let A be a C\*-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. The *multiplier algebra* of A is

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- If A is unital, then clearly M(A) = A.
- Notice that  $A \subseteq M(A)$  as an ideal, and M(A) is always unital.
- *M*(*A*) is the largest unital algebra containing *A* as an *essential* ideal: if *I* ⊆ *M*(*A*) is any ideal, then *A* ∩ *I* ≠ {0}.
- If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$ , so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

Let A be a C\*-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. The *multiplier algebra* of A is

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

#### • If A is unital, then clearly M(A) = A.

- Notice that  $A \subseteq M(A)$  as an ideal, and M(A) is always unital.
- *M*(*A*) is the largest unital algebra containing *A* as an *essential* ideal: if *I* ⊆ *M*(*A*) is any ideal, then *A* ∩ *I* ≠ {0}.
- If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$ , so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

Let A be a C\*-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. The *multiplier algebra* of A is

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- If A is unital, then clearly M(A) = A.
- Notice that  $A \subseteq M(A)$  as an ideal, and M(A) is always unital.
- *M*(*A*) is the largest unital algebra containing *A* as an *essential* ideal: if *I* ⊆ *M*(*A*) is any ideal, then *A* ∩ *I* ≠ {0}.
- If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$ , so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

Let A be a C\*-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. The *multiplier algebra* of A is

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- If A is unital, then clearly M(A) = A.
- Notice that  $A \subseteq M(A)$  as an ideal, and M(A) is always unital.
- *M*(*A*) is the largest unital algebra containing *A* as an *essential* ideal: if *I* ⊆ *M*(*A*) is any ideal, then *A* ∩ *I* ≠ {0}.
- If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$ , so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Let A be a C\*-algebra acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space H. The *multiplier algebra* of A is

$$M(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Ta, aT \in A \ (a \in A)\}.$$

- If A is unital, then clearly M(A) = A.
- Notice that  $A \subseteq M(A)$  as an ideal, and M(A) is always unital.
- *M*(*A*) is the largest unital algebra containing *A* as an *essential* ideal: if *I* ⊆ *M*(*A*) is any ideal, then *A* ∩ *I* ≠ {0}.
- If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C^b(X) = C(\beta X)$ , so M(A) is a non-commutative Stone-Čech compactification.

For an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , let  $M(\mathcal{A})$  be the space of *double centralisers*, that is, pairs of linear maps (L, R) of  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  with

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} L(ab)=L(a)b, & R(ab)=aR(b),\ aL(b)=R(a)b \end{array}
ight. (a,b\in\mathcal{A}).$$

We always assume that A is faithful, meaning that if  $a \in A$  with bac = 0 for any  $b, c \in A$ , then a = 0.

For a C\*-algebra, this agrees with the notion of a multiplier. When A is a Banach algebra, we naturally ask that L and R are linear and bounded. However...

A Closed Graph argument shows that if (L, R) is a pair of maps  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  with

$$aL(b) = R(a)b$$
  $(a, b \in A),$ 

then already  $(L, R) \in M(A)$  and L and R are bounded.

For an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , let  $M(\mathcal{A})$  be the space of *double centralisers*, that is, pairs of linear maps (L, R) of  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  with

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} L(ab)=L(a)b, & R(ab)=aR(b),\ aL(b)=R(a)b \end{array}
ight. (a,b\in\mathcal{A}).$$

We always assume that A is faithful, meaning that if  $a \in A$  with bac = 0 for any  $b, c \in A$ , then a = 0.

For a C\*-algebra, this agrees with the notion of a multiplier.

When A is a Banach algebra, we naturally ask that L and R are linear and bounded. However...

A Closed Graph argument shows that if (L, R) is a pair of maps  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  with

$$aL(b) = R(a)b$$
  $(a, b \in A),$ 

then already  $(L, R) \in M(A)$  and L and R are bounded.

For an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , let  $M(\mathcal{A})$  be the space of *double centralisers*, that is, pairs of linear maps (L, R) of  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  with

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} L(ab)=L(a)b, & R(ab)=aR(b),\ aL(b)=R(a)b \end{array}
ight. (a,b\in\mathcal{A}).$$

We always assume that A is faithful, meaning that if  $a \in A$  with bac = 0 for any  $b, c \in A$ , then a = 0.

For a C\*-algebra, this agrees with the notion of a multiplier.

When A is a Banach algebra, we naturally ask that L and R are linear and bounded. However...

A Closed Graph argument shows that if (L, R) is a pair of maps  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  with

$$aL(b) = R(a)b$$
  $(a, b \in A),$ 

then already  $(L, R) \in M(A)$  and L and R are bounded.

For an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , let  $M(\mathcal{A})$  be the space of *double centralisers*, that is, pairs of linear maps (L, R) of  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  with

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} L(ab)=L(a)b, & R(ab)=aR(b),\ aL(b)=R(a)b \end{array}
ight. (a,b\in\mathcal{A}).$$

We always assume that A is faithful, meaning that if  $a \in A$  with bac = 0 for any  $b, c \in A$ , then a = 0.

For a C\*-algebra, this agrees with the notion of a multiplier.

When A is a Banach algebra, we naturally ask that L and R are linear and bounded. However...

A Closed Graph argument shows that if (L, R) is a pair of maps  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  with

$$aL(b) = R(a)b$$
  $(a, b \in A),$ 

then already  $(L, R) \in M(A)$  and L and R are bounded.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

Then M(A) becomes a Banach algebra for the product and norm

 $(L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R), \quad ||(L, R)|| = \max(||L||, ||R||).$ 

We can identify A as a subalgebra of M(A) by

$$a\mapsto (L_a,R_a), \qquad L_a(b)=ab,\ R_a(b)=ba\qquad (a,b\in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then A is an essential ideal in M(A), and M(A) is the largest algebra with this property.

If A is a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity, then most of what we expect from the C\*-world works for M(A).

Then M(A) becomes a Banach algebra for the product and norm

$$(L,R)(L',R') = (LL',R'R), \quad ||(L,R)|| = \max(||L||,||R||).$$

We can identify A as a subalgebra of M(A) by

$$a\mapsto (L_a,R_a), \qquad L_a(b)=ab,\ R_a(b)=ba \qquad (a,b\in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then A is an essential ideal in M(A), and M(A) is the largest algebra with this property.

If A is a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity, then most of what we expect from the C\*-world works for M(A).

Then M(A) becomes a Banach algebra for the product and norm

$$(L,R)(L',R') = (LL',R'R), \quad ||(L,R)|| = \max(||L||,||R||).$$

We can identify A as a subalgebra of M(A) by

$$a\mapsto (L_a,R_a), \qquad L_a(b)=ab, \ R_a(b)=ba \qquad (a,b\in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then A is an essential ideal in M(A), and M(A) is the largest algebra with this property.

If A is a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity, then most of what we expect from the C<sup>\*</sup>-world works for M(A).

Then M(A) becomes a Banach algebra for the product and norm

$$(L,R)(L',R') = (LL',R'R), \quad ||(L,R)|| = \max(||L||,||R||).$$

We can identify A as a subalgebra of M(A) by

$$a\mapsto (L_a,R_a), \qquad L_a(b)=ab, \ R_a(b)=ba \qquad (a,b\in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then A is an essential ideal in M(A), and M(A) is the largest algebra with this property.

If A is a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity, then most of what we expect from the C\*-world works for M(A).

A *dual Banach algebra* is a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which is (isomorphic to) the dual of some Banach space  $\mathcal{A}_*$ , such that the product on  $\mathcal{A}$  is separately weak\*-continuous.

- Some motivation is the theory of von Neumann algebras. However...
- The multiplier algebra of a C\*-algebra is rarely a dual Banach algebra:

$$M(c_0) = \ell^{\infty} = (\ell^1)^*, \qquad M(C_0(K)) = C^b(K) \cong C(\beta K).$$

• However, for many algebras arising in abstract harmonic analysis, we do have that M(A) is a dual Banach algebra.

A *dual Banach algebra* is a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which is (isomorphic to) the dual of some Banach space  $\mathcal{A}_*$ , such that the product on  $\mathcal{A}$  is separately weak\*-continuous.

- Some motivation is the theory of von Neumann algebras. However...
- The multiplier algebra of a C\*-algebra is rarely a dual Banach algebra:

$$M(c_0) = \ell^{\infty} = (\ell^1)^*, \qquad M(C_0(K)) = C^b(K) \cong C(\beta K).$$

• However, for many algebras arising in abstract harmonic analysis, we do have that M(A) is a dual Banach algebra.

A *dual Banach algebra* is a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which is (isomorphic to) the dual of some Banach space  $\mathcal{A}_*$ , such that the product on  $\mathcal{A}$  is separately weak\*-continuous.

- Some motivation is the theory of von Neumann algebras. However...
- The multiplier algebra of a C\*-algebra is rarely a dual Banach algebra:

$$M(c_0) = \ell^{\infty} = (\ell^1)^*, \qquad M(C_0(K)) = C^b(K) \cong C(\beta K).$$

• However, for many algebras arising in abstract harmonic analysis, we do have that M(A) is a dual Banach algebra.

A *dual Banach algebra* is a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which is (isomorphic to) the dual of some Banach space  $\mathcal{A}_*$ , such that the product on  $\mathcal{A}$  is separately weak\*-continuous.

- Some motivation is the theory of von Neumann algebras. However...
- The multiplier algebra of a C\*-algebra is rarely a dual Banach algebra:

$$M(c_0) = \ell^{\infty} = (\ell^1)^*, \qquad M(C_0(K)) = C^b(K) \cong C(\beta K).$$

• However, for many algebras arising in abstract harmonic analysis, we do have that M(A) is a dual Banach algebra.

# Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any discrete group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Let G be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any discrete group with the counting measure.
- Any *compact* group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Let *G* be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any compact group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Let *G* be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any compact group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Let *G* be a locally compact group, equipped with a left invariant Haar measure. Examples include:

- Any *discrete* group with the counting measure.
- Any compact group, where the Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure.
- The real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure.
- Various non-compact Lie groups give interesting examples.

Turn  $L^{1}(G)$  into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f*g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

We can also convolve finite measures. Identify M(G) with  $C_0(G)^*$ , then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) \ d\mu(s) \ d\lambda(t) \qquad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

Then we have that

 $M(L^1(G))=M(G),$ 

where for each  $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,

 $L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \qquad (a \in L^1(G)).$ 

Turn  $L^1(G)$  into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f*g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

We can also convolve finite measures. Identify M(G) with  $C_0(G)^*$ , then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) \ d\mu(s) \ d\lambda(t) \qquad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

Then we have that

 $M(L^1(G))=M(G),$ 

where for each  $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,

 $L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \qquad (a \in L^1(G)).$ 

・ロト ・通ト ・モト ・モト

Turn  $L^{1}(G)$  into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f*g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

We can also convolve finite measures. Identify M(G) with  $C_0(G)^*$ , then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) \ d\mu(s) \ d\lambda(t) \qquad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

Then we have that

 $M(L^1(G))=M(G),$ 

where for each  $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,

 $L(a) = \mu * a, \quad R(a) = a * \mu \qquad (a \in L^1(G)).$ 

・ロト ・通ト ・モト ・モト

Turn  $L^{1}(G)$  into a Banach algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f*g)(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt.$$

We can also convolve finite measures. Identify M(G) with  $C_0(G)^*$ , then

$$\langle \mu * \lambda, F \rangle = \int \int F(st) \ d\mu(s) \ d\lambda(t) \qquad (\mu, \lambda \in M(G), F \in C_0(G)).$$

Then we have that

$$M(L^1(G))=M(G),$$

where for each  $(L, R) \in M(L^1(G))$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,

$$L(a) = \mu * a$$
,  $R(a) = a * \mu$   $(a \in L^1(G))$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Representations

Building on work of Young and Kaiser, we have

#### Theorem (Daws, Uygul)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a (completely contractive) dual Banach algebra. Then there exists a **reflexive** Operator / Banach space E and a (completely) isometric, weak\*-weak\*-continuous homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(E)$ .

If we know more about A (say,  $A = M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ ) can we choose E in a "nice" way?

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

# Representations

Building on work of Young and Kaiser, we have

#### Theorem (Daws, Uygul)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a (completely contractive) dual Banach algebra. Then there exists a **reflexive** Operator / Banach space E and a (completely) isometric, weak\*-weak\*-continuous homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(E)$ .

If we know more about A (say,  $A = M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ ) can we choose E in a "nice" way?

Fix a group *G*. Let  $(p_n) \subseteq (1, \infty)$  be a sequence tending to 1, and let

$$E=\ell^2-\bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G).$$

- $L^1(G)$  acts by convolution on each  $L^{p_n}(G)$ , and hence on *E*.
- Similarly *M*(*G*) acts by convolution on *E*, extending the action of  $L^1(G)$ .
- Actually, the homomorphism π : M(G) → B(E) is an *isometry*, and is weak\*-weak\* continuous.
- The image of M(G) in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is the *idealiser* of  $\pi(L^1(G))$ :

$$\pi(M(G)) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(L^1(G))}{(a \in L^1(G))} \right\}$$

Fix a group *G*. Let  $(p_n) \subseteq (1, \infty)$  be a sequence tending to 1, and let

$$E=\ell^2-\bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G).$$

- $L^1(G)$  acts by convolution on each  $L^{p_n}(G)$ , and hence on *E*.
- Similarly *M*(*G*) acts by convolution on *E*, extending the action of  $L^1(G)$ .
- Actually, the homomorphism π : M(G) → B(E) is an *isometry*, and is weak\*-weak\* continuous.
- The image of M(G) in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is the *idealiser* of  $\pi(L^1(G))$ :

$$\pi(M(G)) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(L^1(G))}{(a \in L^1(G))} \right\}$$

Fix a group *G*. Let  $(p_n) \subseteq (1, \infty)$  be a sequence tending to 1, and let

$$E=\ell^2-\bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G).$$

- $L^1(G)$  acts by convolution on each  $L^{p_n}(G)$ , and hence on *E*.
- Similarly *M*(*G*) acts by convolution on *E*, extending the action of  $L^1(G)$ .
- Actually, the homomorphism π : M(G) → B(E) is an *isometry*, and is weak\*-weak\* continuous.
- The image of M(G) in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is the *idealiser* of  $\pi(L^1(G))$ :

$$\pi(M(G)) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(L^1(G))}{(a \in L^1(G))} \right\}$$

Fix a group *G*. Let  $(p_n) \subseteq (1, \infty)$  be a sequence tending to 1, and let

$$E=\ell^2-\bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G).$$

- $L^1(G)$  acts by convolution on each  $L^{p_n}(G)$ , and hence on *E*.
- Similarly *M*(*G*) acts by convolution on *E*, extending the action of *L*<sup>1</sup>(*G*).
- Actually, the homomorphism π : M(G) → B(E) is an *isometry*, and is weak\*-weak\* continuous.
- The image of M(G) in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is the *idealiser* of  $\pi(L^1(G))$ :

$$\pi(M(G)) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(L^1(G))}{(a \in L^1(G))} \right\}$$

Fix a group *G*. Let  $(p_n) \subseteq (1, \infty)$  be a sequence tending to 1, and let

$$E=\ell^2-\bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(G).$$

- $L^1(G)$  acts by convolution on each  $L^{p_n}(G)$ , and hence on *E*.
- Similarly *M*(*G*) acts by convolution on *E*, extending the action of *L*<sup>1</sup>(*G*).
- Actually, the homomorphism π : M(G) → B(E) is an *isometry*, and is weak\*-weak\* continuous.
- The image of M(G) in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is the *idealiser* of  $\pi(L^1(G))$ :

$$\pi(M(G)) = \Big\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(L^1(G))}{(a \in L^1(G))} \Big\}.$$

3

# The Fourier Algebra

For a locally compact group G let  $\lambda$  be the left regular representation

$$(\lambda(s)\xi)(t) = \xi(s^{-1}t)$$
  $(s,t \in G, \xi \in L^2(G)).$ 

This induces a homomorphism  $\lambda : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .

Let  $C^*_{\lambda}(G)$  and VN(G) be the norm and  $\sigma$ -weak closures of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$ , respectively. So  $VN(G) = C^*_{\lambda}(G)''$ .

Let A(G) be the predual of VN(G). As VN(G) is in standard position on  $L^2(G)$ , for each  $\omega \in A(G)$ , there exist  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$  with

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi,\eta} \qquad \langle x, \omega \rangle = (x(\xi)|\eta) \qquad (x \in VN(G)).$$

A (10) A (10) A (10)
#### The Fourier Algebra

For a locally compact group G let  $\lambda$  be the left regular representation

$$(\lambda(s)\xi)(t) = \xi(s^{-1}t)$$
  $(s,t \in G, \xi \in L^2(G)).$ 

This induces a homomorphism  $\lambda : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .

Let  $C^*_{\lambda}(G)$  and VN(G) be the norm and  $\sigma$ -weak closures of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$ , respectively. So  $VN(G) = C^*_{\lambda}(G)''$ .

Let A(G) be the predual of VN(G). As VN(G) is in standard position on  $L^2(G)$ , for each  $\omega \in A(G)$ , there exist  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$  with

 $\omega = \omega_{\xi,\eta} \qquad \langle x, \omega \rangle = (x(\xi)|\eta) \qquad (x \in VN(G)).$ 

#### The Fourier Algebra

For a locally compact group G let  $\lambda$  be the left regular representation

$$(\lambda(\boldsymbol{s})\xi)(t) = \xi(\boldsymbol{s}^{-1}t) \qquad (\boldsymbol{s},t\in \boldsymbol{G},\xi\in L^2(\boldsymbol{G})).$$

This induces a homomorphism  $\lambda : L^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ .

Let  $C^*_{\lambda}(G)$  and VN(G) be the norm and  $\sigma$ -weak closures of  $\lambda(L^1(G))$ , respectively. So  $VN(G) = C^*_{\lambda}(G)''$ .

Let A(G) be the predual of VN(G). As VN(G) is in standard position on  $L^2(G)$ , for each  $\omega \in A(G)$ , there exist  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$  with

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi,\eta} \qquad \langle \mathbf{x}, \omega \rangle = (\mathbf{x}(\xi)|\eta) \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in VN(G)).$$

- As  $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$  also generates VN(G), we see that  $\{\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle : s \in G\}$  determines  $\omega \in A(G)$ .
- So  $\omega \in A(G)$  is identified with a function  $G \to \mathbb{C}$ .
- This function is actually in  $C_0(G)$ , so we have a map

 $\Phi: A(G) \to C_0(G).$ 

- Then Φ(A(G)) is a (not closed!) subalgebra of C<sub>0</sub>(G), and A(G) is a Banach algebra.
- If G is abelian with dual group Ĝ, then A(G) is the image, under the Fourier transform, of L<sup>1</sup>(Ĝ).

A (10) A (10)

- As  $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$  also generates VN(G), we see that  $\{\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle : s \in G\}$  determines  $\omega \in A(G)$ .
- So  $\omega \in A(G)$  is identified with a function  $G \to \mathbb{C}$ .
- This function is actually in  $C_0(G)$ , so we have a map

 $\Phi: A(G) \to C_0(G).$ 

- Then Φ(A(G)) is a (not closed!) subalgebra of C<sub>0</sub>(G), and A(G) is a Banach algebra.
- If G is abelian with dual group Ĝ, then A(G) is the image, under the Fourier transform, of L<sup>1</sup>(Ĝ).

A (10) A (10)

- As  $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$  also generates VN(G), we see that  $\{\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle : s \in G\}$  determines  $\omega \in A(G)$ .
- So  $\omega \in A(G)$  is identified with a function  $G \to \mathbb{C}$ .
- This function is actually in  $C_0(G)$ , so we have a map

 $\Phi: A(G) \rightarrow C_0(G).$ 

- Then Φ(A(G)) is a (not closed!) subalgebra of C<sub>0</sub>(G), and A(G) is a Banach algebra.
- If G is abelian with dual group Ĝ, then A(G) is the image, under the Fourier transform, of L<sup>1</sup>(Ĝ).

- As  $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$  also generates VN(G), we see that  $\{\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle : s \in G\}$  determines  $\omega \in A(G)$ .
- So  $\omega \in A(G)$  is identified with a function  $G \to \mathbb{C}$ .
- This function is actually in  $C_0(G)$ , so we have a map

$$\Phi: A(G) \rightarrow C_0(G).$$

- Then Φ(A(G)) is a (not closed!) subalgebra of C<sub>0</sub>(G), and A(G) is a Banach algebra.
- If G is abelian with dual group Ĝ, then A(G) is the image, under the Fourier transform, of L<sup>1</sup>(Ĝ).

A (10) A (10) A (10) A

- As  $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$  also generates VN(G), we see that  $\{\langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle : s \in G\}$  determines  $\omega \in A(G)$ .
- So  $\omega \in A(G)$  is identified with a function  $G \to \mathbb{C}$ .
- This function is actually in  $C_0(G)$ , so we have a map

 $\Phi: A(G) \rightarrow C_0(G).$ 

- Then Φ(A(G)) is a (not closed!) subalgebra of C<sub>0</sub>(G), and A(G) is a Banach algebra.
- If G is abelian with dual group Ĝ, then A(G) is the image, under the Fourier transform, of L<sup>1</sup>(Ĝ).

#### So we can form MA(G).

- Either abstractly, or...
- As A(G) is a "nice" subalgebra of  $C_0(G)$ , we have that

 $MA(G) = \{ f \in C^{b}(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G)) \}.$ 

• *MA*(*G*) = *B*(*G*), the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, if and only if *G* is amenable [Losert].

As the predual of a von Neumann algebra, A(G) is an operator space. Actually a completely contractive Banach algebra. Hence natural to consider the *completely bounded multipliers*, written  $M_{cb}(A(G))$ . [De Canniere, Haagerup]: For  $f \in MA(G)$ , TFAE:

- $f \in M_{cb}A(G);$
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact groups K;
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for K = SU(2).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### So we can form MA(G).

- Either abstractly, or...
- As A(G) is a "nice" subalgebra of  $C_0(G)$ , we have that

 $MA(G) = \{ f \in C^{b}(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G)) \}.$ 

• *MA*(*G*) = *B*(*G*), the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, if and only if *G* is amenable [Losert].

As the predual of a von Neumann algebra, A(G) is an operator space. Actually a completely contractive Banach algebra. Hence natural to consider the *completely bounded multipliers*, written  $M_{cb}(A(G))$ . [De Canniere, Haagerup]: For  $f \in MA(G)$ , TFAE:

- $f \in M_{cb}A(G);$
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact groups K;
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for K = SU(2).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

So we can form MA(G).

- Either abstractly, or...
- As A(G) is a "nice" subalgebra of  $C_0(G)$ , we have that

 $MA(G) = \{ f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G)) \}.$ 

• *MA*(*G*) = *B*(*G*), the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, if and only if *G* is amenable [Losert].

As the predual of a von Neumann algebra, A(G) is an operator space. Actually a completely contractive Banach algebra. Hence natural to consider the *completely bounded multipliers*, written  $M_{cb}(A(G))$ . [De Canniere, Haagerup]: For  $f \in MA(G)$ , TFAE:

- $f \in M_{cb}A(G);$
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact groups K;
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for K = SU(2).

3

So we can form MA(G).

- Either abstractly, or...
- As A(G) is a "nice" subalgebra of  $C_0(G)$ , we have that

 $MA(G) = \{f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G))\}.$ 

# • *MA*(*G*) = *B*(*G*), the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, if and only if *G* is amenable [Losert].

As the predual of a von Neumann algebra, A(G) is an operator space. Actually a completely contractive Banach algebra. Hence natural to consider the *completely bounded multipliers*, written  $M_{cb}(A(G))$ . [De Canniere, Haagerup]: For  $f \in MA(G)$ , TFAE:

- $f \in M_{cb}A(G);$
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact groups K;
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for K = SU(2).

3

So we can form MA(G).

- Either abstractly, or...
- As A(G) is a "nice" subalgebra of  $C_0(G)$ , we have that

 $MA(G) = \{ f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G)) \}.$ 

• *MA*(*G*) = *B*(*G*), the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, if and only if *G* is amenable [Losert].

As the predual of a von Neumann algebra, A(G) is an operator space. Actually a completely contractive Banach algebra. Hence natural to consider the *completely bounded multipliers*, written  $M_{cb}(A(G))$ . [De Canniere, Haagerup]: For  $f \in MA(G)$ , TFAE:

•  $f \in M_{cb}A(G);$ 

•  $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact groups K;

•  $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for K = SU(2).

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

So we can form MA(G).

- Either abstractly, or...
- As A(G) is a "nice" subalgebra of  $C_0(G)$ , we have that

 $MA(G) = \{ f \in C^b(G) : fa \in A(G) \ (a \in A(G)) \}.$ 

• *MA*(*G*) = *B*(*G*), the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, if and only if *G* is amenable [Losert].

As the predual of a von Neumann algebra, A(G) is an operator space. Actually a completely contractive Banach algebra. Hence natural to consider the *completely bounded multipliers*, written  $M_{cb}(A(G))$ . [De Canniere, Haagerup]: For  $f \in MA(G)$ , TFAE:

- $f \in M_{cb}A(G);$
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for all compact groups K;
- $f \otimes 1_K \in MA(G \times K)$  for K = SU(2).

[De Canniere, Haagerup]: Let Q be the completion of  $L^1(G)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_Q = \sup\left\{\left|\int f(s)a(s) ds\right| : a \in MA(G), \|a\| \leq 1\right\}.$$

Then  $Q^* = MA(G)$ . Let  $Q_0$  be the completion of  $L^1(G)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_{Q_0} = \sup\left\{\left|\int f(s)a(s) ds\right| : a \in M_{cb}A(G), \|a\| \leq 1\right\}.$$

Then  $Q_0^* = M_{cb}A(G)$ .

Easy to check that MA(G) and  $M_{cb}A(G)$  hence become dual Banach algebras.

Can we find representations on "nice" reflexive spaces?

[De Canniere, Haagerup]: Let Q be the completion of  $L^1(G)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_Q = \sup\left\{\left|\int f(s)a(s) \, ds\right| : a \in MA(G), \|a\| \leq 1\right\}.$$

Then  $Q^* = MA(G)$ . Let  $Q_0$  be the completion of  $L^1(G)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_{Q_0} = \sup\Big\{\Big|\int f(s)a(s) \ ds\Big|: a \in M_{cb}A(G), \|a\| \leq 1\Big\}.$$

Then  $Q_0^* = M_{cb}A(G)$ .

Easy to check that MA(G) and  $M_{cb}A(G)$  hence become dual Banach algebras.

Can we find representations on "nice" reflexive spaces?

[De Canniere, Haagerup]: Let Q be the completion of  $L^1(G)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_Q = \sup\left\{\left|\int f(s)a(s) \, ds\right| : a \in MA(G), \|a\| \leq 1\right\}.$$

Then  $Q^* = MA(G)$ . Let  $Q_0$  be the completion of  $L^1(G)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_{Q_0} = \sup\Big\{\Big|\int f(s)a(s) \ ds\Big|: a \in M_{cb}A(G), \|a\| \leq 1\Big\}.$$

Then  $Q_0^* = M_{cb}A(G)$ . Easy to check that MA(G) and  $M_{cb}A(G)$  hence become dual Banach algebras.

Can we find representations on "nice" reflexive spaces?

[De Canniere, Haagerup]: Let Q be the completion of  $L^1(G)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_Q = \sup\left\{\left|\int f(s)a(s) ds\right| : a \in MA(G), \|a\| \leq 1\right\}.$$

Then  $Q^* = MA(G)$ . Let  $Q_0$  be the completion of  $L^1(G)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_{Q_0} = \sup\Big\{\Big|\int f(s)a(s) \ ds\Big|: a \in M_{cb}A(G), \|a\| \leq 1\Big\}.$$

Then  $Q_0^* = M_{cb}A(G)$ .

Easy to check that MA(G) and  $M_{cb}A(G)$  hence become dual Banach algebras.

Can we find representations on "nice" reflexive spaces?

3

It is well-known that  $L^{p}(G)$  can be realised as the *complex interpolation* space, of parameter 1/p, between  $L^{\infty}(G)$  and  $L^{1}(G)$ .

I won't explain this in detail but observe that:

- We regard L<sup>∞</sup> = L<sup>∞</sup>(G) and L<sup>1</sup> = L<sup>1</sup>(G) as spaces of functions on G, so it makes sense to talk about L<sup>∞</sup> ∩ L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>∞</sup> + L<sup>1</sup>.
- We have inclusions  $L^{\infty} \cap L^{1} \subseteq L^{p} \subseteq L^{\infty} + L^{1}$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ;
- (Riesz-Thorin) If  $T : L^{\infty} + L^1 \to L^{\infty} + L^1$  is linear, and restricts to give maps  $L^1 \to L^1$  and  $L^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}$ , then

$$||T: L^p \to L^p|| \le ||T: L^\infty \to L^\infty ||^{1-1/p} ||T: L^1 \to L^1 ||^{1/p}.$$

It is well-known that  $L^{p}(G)$  can be realised as the *complex interpolation* space, of parameter 1/p, between  $L^{\infty}(G)$  and  $L^{1}(G)$ . I won't explain this in detail but observe that:

- We regard L<sup>∞</sup> = L<sup>∞</sup>(G) and L<sup>1</sup> = L<sup>1</sup>(G) as spaces of functions on G, so it makes sense to talk about L<sup>∞</sup> ∩ L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>∞</sup> + L<sup>1</sup>.
- We have inclusions  $L^{\infty} \cap L^{1} \subseteq L^{p} \subseteq L^{\infty} + L^{1}$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ;
- (Riesz-Thorin) If  $T : L^{\infty} + L^1 \to L^{\infty} + L^1$  is linear, and restricts to give maps  $L^1 \to L^1$  and  $L^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}$ , then

$$\|T: L^p \to L^p\| \le \|T: L^\infty \to L^\infty\|^{1-1/p}\|T: L^1 \to L^1\|^{1/p}.$$

It is well-known that  $L^{p}(G)$  can be realised as the *complex interpolation* space, of parameter 1/p, between  $L^{\infty}(G)$  and  $L^{1}(G)$ . I won't explain this in detail but observe that:

- We regard L<sup>∞</sup> = L<sup>∞</sup>(G) and L<sup>1</sup> = L<sup>1</sup>(G) as spaces of functions on G, so it makes sense to talk about L<sup>∞</sup> ∩ L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>∞</sup> + L<sup>1</sup>.
- We have inclusions  $L^{\infty} \cap L^{1} \subseteq L^{p} \subseteq L^{\infty} + L^{1}$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ;
- (Riesz-Thorin) If  $T: L^{\infty} + L^1 \rightarrow L^{\infty} + L^1$  is linear, and restricts to give maps  $L^1 \rightarrow L^1$  and  $L^{\infty} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$ , then

 $\|T: L^p \to L^p\| \le \|T: L^\infty \to L^\infty\|^{1-1/p}\|T: L^1 \to L^1\|^{1/p}.$ 

It is well-known that  $L^{p}(G)$  can be realised as the *complex interpolation* space, of parameter 1/p, between  $L^{\infty}(G)$  and  $L^{1}(G)$ . I won't explain this in detail but observe that:

- We regard L<sup>∞</sup> = L<sup>∞</sup>(G) and L<sup>1</sup> = L<sup>1</sup>(G) as spaces of functions on G, so it makes sense to talk about L<sup>∞</sup> ∩ L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>∞</sup> + L<sup>1</sup>.
- We have inclusions  $L^{\infty} \cap L^{1} \subseteq L^{p} \subseteq L^{\infty} + L^{1}$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ;
- (Riesz-Thorin) If  $T : L^{\infty} + L^1 \rightarrow L^{\infty} + L^1$  is linear, and restricts to give maps  $L^1 \rightarrow L^1$  and  $L^{\infty} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$ , then

$$\|T:L^p\to L^p\|\leq \|T:L^\infty\to L^\infty\|^{1-1/p}\|T:L^1\to L^1\|^{1/p}.$$

For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we have a convolution action of  $\mu$  on  $L^1(G)$  and  $L^{\infty}(G)$ . Interpolating gives the convolution action on  $L^p(G)$ .

However, from an abstract point of view, this is actually a little odd:

- M(G) acts entirely naturally on  $L^1(G)$  as  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ .
- $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the dual space of  $L^{1}(G)$ .
- So we have the adjoint action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .
- This *is not* the usual convolution action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .

So, if we are to generalise this, we need a new idea.

A (1) > A (2) > A (2)

For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we have a convolution action of  $\mu$  on  $L^1(G)$  and  $L^{\infty}(G)$ . Interpolating gives the convolution action on  $L^p(G)$ . **However,** from an abstract point of view, this is actually a little odd:

• M(G) acts entirely naturally on  $L^1(G)$  as  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ .

•  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the dual space of  $L^{1}(G)$ .

- So we have the adjoint action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .
- This *is not* the usual convolution action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .

So, if we are to generalise this, we need a new idea.

4 **A** N A **B** N A **B** N

For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we have a convolution action of  $\mu$  on  $L^1(G)$  and  $L^{\infty}(G)$ . Interpolating gives the convolution action on  $L^p(G)$ . **However,** from an abstract point of view, this is actually a little odd:

• M(G) acts entirely naturally on  $L^1(G)$  as  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ .

•  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the dual space of  $L^{1}(G)$ .

- So we have the adjoint action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .
- This *is not* the usual convolution action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .

So, if we are to generalise this, we need a new idea.

For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we have a convolution action of  $\mu$  on  $L^1(G)$  and  $L^{\infty}(G)$ . Interpolating gives the convolution action on  $L^p(G)$ . **However,** from an abstract point of view, this is actually a little odd:

- M(G) acts entirely naturally on  $L^1(G)$  as  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ .
- $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the dual space of  $L^{1}(G)$ .
- So we have the adjoint action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .
- This *is not* the usual convolution action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .
- So, if we are to generalise this, we need a new idea.

For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we have a convolution action of  $\mu$  on  $L^1(G)$  and  $L^{\infty}(G)$ . Interpolating gives the convolution action on  $L^p(G)$ . **However,** from an abstract point of view, this is actually a little odd:

- M(G) acts entirely naturally on  $L^1(G)$  as  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ .
- $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the dual space of  $L^{1}(G)$ .
- So we have the adjoint action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .
- This *is not* the usual convolution action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .

So, if we are to generalise this, we need a new idea.

A (B) + A (B) + A (B) +

For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we have a convolution action of  $\mu$  on  $L^1(G)$  and  $L^{\infty}(G)$ . Interpolating gives the convolution action on  $L^{p}(G)$ . **However,** from an abstract point of view, this is actually a little odd:

- M(G) acts entirely naturally on  $L^1(G)$  as  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ .
- $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the dual space of  $L^{1}(G)$ .
- So we have the adjoint action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .
- This *is not* the usual convolution action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .

く 戸 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we have a convolution action of  $\mu$  on  $L^1(G)$  and  $L^{\infty}(G)$ . Interpolating gives the convolution action on  $L^p(G)$ . **However,** from an abstract point of view, this is actually a little odd:

- M(G) acts entirely naturally on  $L^1(G)$  as  $M(L^1(G)) = M(G)$ .
- $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the dual space of  $L^{1}(G)$ .
- So we have the adjoint action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .
- This *is not* the usual convolution action of M(G) on  $L^{\infty}(G)$ .

So, if we are to generalise this, we need a new idea.

#### So for the Fourier algebra, we might proceed as follows:

- Find some way to embed *A*(*G*) and *VN*(*G*) into a Hausdorff topological space;
- so we can form  $VN(G) \cap A(G)$  and VN(G) + A(G).
- Use the complex interpolation method with parameter 1/p.
- Find some module action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN*(*G*) which agrees with the standard action of *MA*(*G*) on *A*(*G*) in *VN*(*G*) ∩ *A*(*G*).
- Then do the same again at the Operator Space level!

Bizarrely, the last point suggests a novel way to get the module actions.

So for the Fourier algebra, we might proceed as follows:

- Find some way to embed *A*(*G*) and *VN*(*G*) into a Hausdorff topological space;
- so we can form  $VN(G) \cap A(G)$  and VN(G) + A(G).
- Use the complex interpolation method with parameter 1/p.
- Find some module action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN*(*G*) which agrees with the standard action of *MA*(*G*) on *A*(*G*) in *VN*(*G*) ∩ *A*(*G*).
- Then do the same again at the Operator Space level!

Bizarrely, the last point suggests a novel way to get the module actions.

So for the Fourier algebra, we might proceed as follows:

- Find some way to embed *A*(*G*) and *VN*(*G*) into a Hausdorff topological space;
- so we can form  $VN(G) \cap A(G)$  and VN(G) + A(G).
- Use the complex interpolation method with parameter 1/p.
- Find some module action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN*(*G*) which agrees with the standard action of *MA*(*G*) on *A*(*G*) in *VN*(*G*) ∩ *A*(*G*).
- Then do the same again at the Operator Space level!

Bizarrely, the last point suggests a novel way to get the module actions.

So for the Fourier algebra, we might proceed as follows:

- Find some way to embed *A*(*G*) and *VN*(*G*) into a Hausdorff topological space;
- so we can form  $VN(G) \cap A(G)$  and VN(G) + A(G).
- Use the complex interpolation method with parameter 1/p.
- Find some module action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN*(*G*) which agrees with the standard action of *MA*(*G*) on *A*(*G*) in *VN*(*G*) ∩ *A*(*G*).
- Then do the same again at the Operator Space level!

Bizarrely, the last point suggests a novel way to get the module actions.

So for the Fourier algebra, we might proceed as follows:

- Find some way to embed *A*(*G*) and *VN*(*G*) into a Hausdorff topological space;
- so we can form  $VN(G) \cap A(G)$  and VN(G) + A(G).
- Use the complex interpolation method with parameter 1/p.
- Find some module action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN*(*G*) which agrees with the standard action of *MA*(*G*) on *A*(*G*) in *VN*(*G*) ∩ *A*(*G*).
- Then do the same again at the Operator Space level!

Bizarrely, the last point suggests a novel way to get the module actions.

So for the Fourier algebra, we might proceed as follows:

- Find some way to embed A(G) and VN(G) into a Hausdorff topological space;
- so we can form  $VN(G) \cap A(G)$  and VN(G) + A(G).
- Use the complex interpolation method with parameter 1/p.
- Find some module action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN*(*G*) which agrees with the standard action of *MA*(*G*) on *A*(*G*) in *VN*(*G*) ∩ *A*(*G*).
- Then do the same again at the Operator Space level!

Bizarrely, the last point suggests a novel way to get the module actions.

So for the Fourier algebra, we might proceed as follows:

- Find some way to embed A(G) and VN(G) into a Hausdorff topological space;
- so we can form  $VN(G) \cap A(G)$  and VN(G) + A(G).
- Use the complex interpolation method with parameter 1/p.
- Find some module action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN*(*G*) which agrees with the standard action of *MA*(*G*) on *A*(*G*) in *VN*(*G*) ∩ *A*(*G*).
- Then do the same again at the Operator Space level!

Bizarrely, the last point suggests a novel way to get the module actions.

-

## Non-commutative *L<sup>p</sup>* spaces

Using the complex interpolation method applied to von Neumann algebras is a well established way to construct *non-commutative*  $L^p$  spaces, say  $L^p(VN(G))$ .

- If G is discrete, then VN(G) admits a finite trace: φ : x → (xδ<sub>e</sub>|δ<sub>e</sub>) for x ∈ VN(G). Then L<sup>p</sup>(VN(G)) is the completion of VN(G) under the norm ||x||<sub>p</sub> = φ(|x|<sup>p</sup>)<sup>1/p</sup>, where |x| = (x\*x)<sup>1/2</sup>.
- In general, VN(G) only admits a weight, which satisfies  $\varphi(\lambda(f * g)) = (f * g)(e)$  for, say,  $f, g \in C_{00}(G)$ .

• If G is compact, then

$$VN(G) \cong \prod_{i} \mathbb{M}_{n_{i}}, \qquad L^{p}(VN(G)) \cong \ell^{p} - \bigoplus_{i} S^{p}_{n_{i}},$$

where  $S_n^p$  is  $\mathbb{M}_n$  equipped with the *p*th Schatten-class norm.
### Non-commutative L<sup>p</sup> spaces

Using the complex interpolation method applied to von Neumann algebras is a well established way to construct *non-commutative*  $L^p$  spaces, say  $L^p(VN(G))$ .

- If G is discrete, then VN(G) admits a finite trace: φ : x → (xδ<sub>e</sub>|δ<sub>e</sub>) for x ∈ VN(G). Then L<sup>p</sup>(VN(G)) is the completion of VN(G) under the norm ||x||<sub>p</sub> = φ(|x|<sup>p</sup>)<sup>1/p</sup>, where |x| = (x\*x)<sup>1/2</sup>.
- In general, VN(G) only admits a weight, which satisfies  $\varphi(\lambda(f * g)) = (f * g)(e)$  for, say,  $f, g \in C_{00}(G)$ .

• If G is compact, then

$$VN(G) \cong \prod_{i} \mathbb{M}_{n_{i}}, \qquad L^{p}(VN(G)) \cong \ell^{p} - \bigoplus_{i} S^{p}_{n_{i}},$$

where  $S_n^p$  is  $\mathbb{M}_n$  equipped with the *p*th Schatten-class norm.

(日)

### Non-commutative L<sup>p</sup> spaces

Using the complex interpolation method applied to von Neumann algebras is a well established way to construct *non-commutative*  $L^p$  spaces, say  $L^p(VN(G))$ .

- If G is discrete, then VN(G) admits a finite trace: φ : x → (xδ<sub>e</sub>|δ<sub>e</sub>) for x ∈ VN(G). Then L<sup>p</sup>(VN(G)) is the completion of VN(G) under the norm ||x||<sub>p</sub> = φ(|x|<sup>p</sup>)<sup>1/p</sup>, where |x| = (x<sup>\*</sup>x)<sup>1/2</sup>.
- In general, VN(G) only admits a weight, which satisfies  $\varphi(\lambda(f * g)) = (f * g)(e)$  for, say,  $f, g \in C_{00}(G)$ .

• If G is compact, then

$$VN(G) \cong \prod_{i} \mathbb{M}_{n_{i}}, \qquad L^{p}(VN(G)) \cong \ell^{p} - \bigoplus_{i} S^{p}_{n_{i}},$$

where  $S_n^p$  is  $\mathbb{M}_n$  equipped with the *p*th Schatten-class norm.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

### Non-commutative *L<sup>p</sup>* spaces

Using the complex interpolation method applied to von Neumann algebras is a well established way to construct *non-commutative*  $L^p$  spaces, say  $L^p(VN(G))$ .

- If G is discrete, then VN(G) admits a finite trace: φ : x → (xδ<sub>e</sub>|δ<sub>e</sub>) for x ∈ VN(G). Then L<sup>p</sup>(VN(G)) is the completion of VN(G) under the norm ||x||<sub>p</sub> = φ(|x|<sup>p</sup>)<sup>1/p</sup>, where |x| = (x<sup>\*</sup>x)<sup>1/2</sup>.
- In general, VN(G) only admits a weight, which satisfies  $\varphi(\lambda(f * g)) = (f * g)(e)$  for, say,  $f, g \in C_{00}(G)$ .

• If G is compact, then

$$VN(G) \cong \prod_{i} \mathbb{M}_{n_{i}}, \qquad L^{p}(VN(G)) \cong \ell^{p} - \bigoplus_{i} S^{p}_{n_{i}},$$

where  $S_n^p$  is  $\mathbb{M}_n$  equipped with the *p*th Schatten-class norm.

3

For further details on the complex interpolation approach to non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces, see [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi].

Eventually we want a *natural* Operator Space structure on  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ :

- Under favourable circumstances, we except that non-commutative  $L^2$  is a Hilbert space;
- A Hilbert space is *self-dual*;
- The unique Operator Space structure on a Hilbert space with this property is Pisier's Operator Hilbert Space;
- To recover this, we need to interpolate between a von Neumann algebra *M* and the *opposite* predual  $M_*^{op}$ , see [Pisier].
- Here  $M_*^{op}$  is the predual of *M* equipped with the *opposite* structure,

$$\|(\omega_{ij})\|_{M^{op}_{*}} = \|(\omega_{ji})\|_{M_{*}}.$$
  $((\omega_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(M_{*})).$ 

For further details on the complex interpolation approach to non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces, see [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi]. Eventually we want a *natural* Operator Space structure on  $L^p(VN(G))$ :

- Under favourable circumstances, we except that non-commutative  $L^2$  is a Hilbert space;
- A Hilbert space is *self-dual*;
- The unique Operator Space structure on a Hilbert space with this property is Pisier's Operator Hilbert Space;
- To recover this, we need to interpolate between a von Neumann algebra M and the *opposite* predual  $M_*^{op}$ , see [Pisier].
- Here  $M_*^{op}$  is the predual of *M* equipped with the *opposite* structure,

$$\|(\omega_{ij})\|_{M^{op}_{*}} = \|(\omega_{ji})\|_{M_{*}}.$$
  $((\omega_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(M_{*})).$ 

For further details on the complex interpolation approach to non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces, see [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi]. Eventually we want a *natural* Operator Space structure on  $L^p(VN(G))$ :

- Under favourable circumstances, we except that non-commutative  $L^2$  is a Hilbert space;
- A Hilbert space is *self-dual*;
- The unique Operator Space structure on a Hilbert space with this property is Pisier's Operator Hilbert Space;
- To recover this, we need to interpolate between a von Neumann algebra *M* and the *opposite* predual  $M_*^{op}$ , see [Pisier].
- Here  $M_*^{op}$  is the predual of *M* equipped with the *opposite* structure,

$$\|(\omega_{ij})\|_{M^{op}_{*}} = \|(\omega_{ji})\|_{M_{*}}.$$
  $((\omega_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(M_{*})).$ 

For further details on the complex interpolation approach to non-commutative  $L^{p}$  spaces, see [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi]. Eventually we want a *natural* Operator Space structure on  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ :

- Under favourable circumstances, we except that non-commutative  $L^2$  is a Hilbert space;
- A Hilbert space is *self-dual*;
- The unique Operator Space structure on a Hilbert space with this property is Pisier's Operator Hilbert Space;
- To recover this, we need to interpolate between a von Neumann algebra *M* and the *opposite* predual  $M_*^{op}$ , see [Pisier].
- Here  $M_*^{op}$  is the predual of *M* equipped with the *opposite* structure,

$$\|(\omega_{ij})\|_{M^{op}_{*}} = \|(\omega_{ji})\|_{M_{*}}.$$
  $((\omega_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(M_{*})).$ 

For further details on the complex interpolation approach to non-commutative  $L^{p}$  spaces, see [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi]. Eventually we want a *natural* Operator Space structure on  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ :

- Under favourable circumstances, we except that non-commutative  $L^2$  is a Hilbert space;
- A Hilbert space is *self-dual*;
- The unique Operator Space structure on a Hilbert space with this property is Pisier's Operator Hilbert Space;
- To recover this, we need to interpolate between a von Neumann algebra *M* and the *opposite* predual  $M_*^{op}$ , see [Pisier].
- Here  $M_*^{op}$  is the predual of *M* equipped with the *opposite* structure,

 $\|(\omega_{ij})\|_{M^{\rm op}_*} = \|(\omega_{ji})\|_{M_*}.$   $((\omega_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(M_*)).$ 

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

For further details on the complex interpolation approach to non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces, see [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi]. Eventually we want a *natural* Operator Space structure on  $L^p(VN(G))$ :

- Under favourable circumstances, we except that non-commutative  $L^2$  is a Hilbert space;
- A Hilbert space is *self-dual*;
- The unique Operator Space structure on a Hilbert space with this property is Pisier's Operator Hilbert Space;
- To recover this, we need to interpolate between a von Neumann algebra *M* and the *opposite* predual  $M_*^{op}$ , see [Pisier].

• Here  $M_*^{op}$  is the predual of *M* equipped with the *opposite* structure,

 $\|(\omega_{ij})\|_{M^{\rm op}_*} = \|(\omega_{ji})\|_{M_*}.$   $((\omega_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(M_*)).$ 

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

For further details on the complex interpolation approach to non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces, see [Kosaki], [Terp] and [Izumi]. Eventually we want a *natural* Operator Space structure on  $L^p(VN(G))$ :

- Under favourable circumstances, we except that non-commutative  $L^2$  is a Hilbert space;
- A Hilbert space is *self-dual*;
- The unique Operator Space structure on a Hilbert space with this property is Pisier's Operator Hilbert Space;
- To recover this, we need to interpolate between a von Neumann algebra *M* and the *opposite* predual  $M_*^{op}$ , see [Pisier].
- Here  $M_*^{op}$  is the predual of *M* equipped with the *opposite* structure,

$$\|(\omega_{ij})\|_{M^{\mathrm{op}}_*} = \|(\omega_{ji})\|_{M_*}. \qquad ((\omega_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(M_*)).$$

3

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

- As *VN*(*G*) is in standard position on *L*<sup>2</sup>(*G*), we can identify *A*(*G*)<sup>op</sup> with the predual of the *commutant VN*(*G*)'.
- However, VN(G)' is simply  $VN_r(G)$ , the *right* group von Neumann algebra, which is generated by the right regular representation.
- So if we privilege *A*(*G*), it makes sense to interpolate between *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*) and *A*(*G*).
- If we follow Terp's interpolation method through, then in  $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ , we find that

$$a = \rho(\nabla^{-1/2}a) \qquad (a \in A(G) \cap C_{00}(G)^2).$$

Here  $\rho$  is the right regular representation, and  $\nabla$  is the modular function of *G*.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- As VN(G) is in standard position on L<sup>2</sup>(G), we can identify A(G)<sup>op</sup> with the predual of the *commutant* VN(G)'.
- However, VN(G)' is simply  $VN_r(G)$ , the *right* group von Neumann algebra, which is generated by the right regular representation.
- So if we privilege *A*(*G*), it makes sense to interpolate between *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*) and *A*(*G*).
- If we follow Terp's interpolation method through, then in  $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ , we find that

$$a = \rho(\nabla^{-1/2}a) \qquad (a \in A(G) \cap C_{00}(G)^2).$$

Here  $\rho$  is the right regular representation, and  $\nabla$  is the modular function of *G*.

- As VN(G) is in standard position on L<sup>2</sup>(G), we can identify A(G)<sup>op</sup> with the predual of the *commutant* VN(G)'.
- However, VN(G)' is simply  $VN_r(G)$ , the *right* group von Neumann algebra, which is generated by the right regular representation.
- So if we privilege A(G), it makes sense to interpolate between VN<sub>r</sub>(G) and A(G).
- If we follow Terp's interpolation method through, then in  $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ , we find that

$$a = \rho(\nabla^{-1/2}a) \qquad (a \in A(G) \cap C_{00}(G)^2).$$

Here  $\rho$  is the right regular representation, and  $\nabla$  is the modular function of *G*.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

- As VN(G) is in standard position on L<sup>2</sup>(G), we can identify A(G)<sup>op</sup> with the predual of the *commutant* VN(G)'.
- However, VN(G)' is simply  $VN_r(G)$ , the *right* group von Neumann algebra, which is generated by the right regular representation.
- So if we privilege A(G), it makes sense to interpolate between VN<sub>r</sub>(G) and A(G).
- If we follow Terp's interpolation method through, then in  $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ , we find that

$$a = 
ho (
abla^{-1/2} a) \qquad (a \in A(G) \cap C_{00}(G)^2).$$

Here  $\rho$  is the right regular representation, and  $\nabla$  is the modular function of *G*.

3

- So we interpolate between VN<sub>r</sub>(G) and A(G), leading to L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) say. If G is abelian, this *is* the L<sup>p</sup> space of the dual group Ĝ.
- As a Banach space,  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  is just  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ .
- It turns out we can find a (rather natural, in the end) action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*) which makes sense on *A*(*G*) ∩ *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*).
- So we interpolate the module actions, and hence L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) becomes a (completely contractive) A(G) module. A similar argument establishes that MA(G) and M<sub>cb</sub>A(G) act on L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ), extending the action of A(G).
- Work of Izumi shows that there is a natural dual pairing between  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  and  $L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ , where  $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$ .
- Using this, we can show that the actions of *MA*(*G*) and *M*<sub>cb</sub>*A*(*G*) are weak\*-weak\*-continuous.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

- So we interpolate between VN<sub>r</sub>(G) and A(G), leading to L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) say. If G is abelian, this *is* the L<sup>p</sup> space of the dual group Ĝ.
- As a Banach space,  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  is just  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ .
- It turns out we can find a (rather natural, in the end) action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*) which makes sense on *A*(*G*) ∩ *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*).
- So we interpolate the module actions, and hence L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) becomes a (completely contractive) A(G) module. A similar argument establishes that MA(G) and M<sub>cb</sub>A(G) act on L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ), extending the action of A(G).
- Work of Izumi shows that there is a natural dual pairing between  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  and  $L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ , where  $p^{-1} + {p'}^{-1} = 1$ .
- Using this, we can show that the actions of *MA*(*G*) and *M<sub>cb</sub>A*(*G*) are weak\*-weak\*-continuous.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

- So we interpolate between VN<sub>r</sub>(G) and A(G), leading to L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) say. If G is abelian, this *is* the L<sup>p</sup> space of the dual group Ĝ.
- As a Banach space,  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  is just  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ .
- It turns out we can find a (rather natural, in the end) action of MA(G) on  $VN_r(G)$  which makes sense on  $A(G) \cap VN_r(G)$ .
- So we interpolate the module actions, and hence L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) becomes a (completely contractive) A(G) module. A similar argument establishes that MA(G) and M<sub>cb</sub>A(G) act on L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ), extending the action of A(G).
- Work of Izumi shows that there is a natural dual pairing between  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  and  $L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ , where  $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$ .
- Using this, we can show that the actions of *MA*(*G*) and *M<sub>cb</sub>A*(*G*) are weak\*-weak\*-continuous.

- So we interpolate between VN<sub>r</sub>(G) and A(G), leading to L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) say. If G is abelian, this *is* the L<sup>p</sup> space of the dual group Ĝ.
- As a Banach space,  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  is just  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ .
- It turns out we can find a (rather natural, in the end) action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*) which makes sense on *A*(*G*) ∩ *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*).
- So we interpolate the module actions, and hence L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) becomes a (completely contractive) A(G) module. A similar argument establishes that MA(G) and M<sub>cb</sub>A(G) act on L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ), extending the action of A(G).
- Work of Izumi shows that there is a natural dual pairing between  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  and  $L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ , where  $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$ .
- Using this, we can show that the actions of *MA*(*G*) and *M*<sub>cb</sub>*A*(*G*) are weak\*-weak\*-continuous.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- So we interpolate between VN<sub>r</sub>(G) and A(G), leading to L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) say. If G is abelian, this *is* the L<sup>p</sup> space of the dual group Ĝ.
- As a Banach space,  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  is just  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ .
- It turns out we can find a (rather natural, in the end) action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*) which makes sense on *A*(*G*) ∩ *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*).
- So we interpolate the module actions, and hence L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) becomes a (completely contractive) A(G) module. A similar argument establishes that MA(G) and M<sub>cb</sub>A(G) act on L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ), extending the action of A(G).
- Work of Izumi shows that there is a natural dual pairing between  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  and  $L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ , where  $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$ .
- Using this, we can show that the actions of *MA*(*G*) and *M*<sub>cb</sub>*A*(*G*) are weak\*-weak\*-continuous.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

- So we interpolate between VN<sub>r</sub>(G) and A(G), leading to L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) say. If G is abelian, this *is* the L<sup>p</sup> space of the dual group Ĝ.
- As a Banach space,  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  is just  $L^{p}(VN(G))$ .
- It turns out we can find a (rather natural, in the end) action of *MA*(*G*) on *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*) which makes sense on *A*(*G*) ∩ *VN<sub>r</sub>*(*G*).
- So we interpolate the module actions, and hence L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ) becomes a (completely contractive) A(G) module. A similar argument establishes that MA(G) and M<sub>cb</sub>A(G) act on L<sup>p</sup>(Ĝ), extending the action of A(G).
- Work of Izumi shows that there is a natural dual pairing between  $L^{p}(\hat{G})$  and  $L^{p'}(\hat{G})$ , where  $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$ .
- Using this, we can show that the actions of *MA*(*G*) and *M*<sub>cb</sub>*A*(*G*) are weak\*-weak\*-continuous.

3

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### The theorem

Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence in  $(1, \infty)$  tending to 1. Let

$$E=\ell^2-\bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G}).$$

Let  $\pi : MA(G) \rightarrow B(E)$  be the diagonal action.

#### Theorem

The homomorphism  $\pi$  is an isometric, weak\*-weak\*-continuous isomorphism onto its range, which is equal to the idealiser of  $\pi(A(G))$  in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ ,

$$\pi(MA(G)) = \Big\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(A(G))}{(a \in A(G))} \Big\}.$$

#### The theorem

Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence in  $(1, \infty)$  tending to 1. Let

$$E=\ell^2-\bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G}).$$

Let  $\pi : MA(G) \rightarrow B(E)$  be the diagonal action.

#### Theorem

The homomorphism  $\pi$  is an isometric, weak\*-weak\*-continuous isomorphism onto its range, which is equal to the idealiser of  $\pi(A(G))$  in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ ,

$$\pi(\mathit{MA}(G)) = \Big\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(\mathcal{A}(G))}{(a \in \mathcal{A}(G))} \Big\}.$$

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

$$E = \ell^2 - \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G})$$

with its natural operator space structure (given by complex interpolation, again, see [Pisier] and [Xu]). Let  $\pi : M_{cb}A(G) \to C\mathcal{B}(E)$  be the diagonal map.

#### Theorem

The homomorphism  $\pi$  is a completely isometric, weak\*-weak\*-continuous isomorphism onto its range, which is equal to the idealiser of  $\pi(A(G))$  in CB(E),

$$\pi(M_{cb}\mathcal{A}(G)) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CB}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(\mathcal{A}(G))}{(a \in \mathcal{A}(G))} \right\}$$

Notice that *E*, and the *A*(*G*) action, is the same in either case. The idealiser in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is MA(G), while the idealiser in  $\mathcal{CB}(E)$  is  $M_{cb}A(G)$ .

$$E = \ell^2 - \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G})$$

with its natural operator space structure (given by complex interpolation, again, see [Pisier] and [Xu]). Let  $\pi : M_{cb}A(G) \to C\mathcal{B}(E)$  be the diagonal map.

#### Theorem

The homomorphism  $\pi$  is a completely isometric, weak\*-weak\*-continuous isomorphism onto its range, which is equal to the idealiser of  $\pi(A(G))$  in CB(E),

$$\pi(M_{cb}\mathcal{A}(G)) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CB}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(\mathcal{A}(G))}{(a \in \mathcal{A}(G))} \right\}$$

Notice that *E*, and the A(G) action, is the same in either case. The idealiser in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is MA(G), while the idealiser in  $\mathcal{CB}(E)$  is  $M_{cb}A(G)$ .

$$E = \ell^2 - \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G})$$

with its natural operator space structure (given by complex interpolation, again, see [Pisier] and [Xu]). Let  $\pi : M_{cb}A(G) \to C\mathcal{B}(E)$  be the diagonal map.

#### Theorem

The homomorphism  $\pi$  is a completely isometric, weak\*-weak\*-continuous isomorphism onto its range, which is equal to the idealiser of  $\pi(A(G))$  in CB(E),

$$\pi(M_{cb}\mathcal{A}(G)) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CB}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(\mathcal{A}(G))}{(a \in \mathcal{A}(G))} \right\}$$

Notice that *E*, and the A(G) action, is the same in either case. The idealiser in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is MA(G), while the idealiser in  $\mathcal{CB}(E)$  is  $M_{cb}A(G)$ .

Matthew Daws (Leeds)

Multipliers and the Fourier algebra

$$E = \ell^2 - \bigoplus_n L^{p_n}(\hat{G})$$

with its natural operator space structure (given by complex interpolation, again, see [Pisier] and [Xu]). Let  $\pi : M_{cb}A(G) \to C\mathcal{B}(E)$  be the diagonal map.

#### Theorem

The homomorphism  $\pi$  is a completely isometric, weak\*-weak\*-continuous isomorphism onto its range, which is equal to the idealiser of  $\pi(A(G))$  in CB(E),

$$\pi(M_{cb}\mathcal{A}(G)) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{CB}(E) : \frac{T\pi(a), \pi(a)T \in \pi(\mathcal{A}(G))}{(a \in \mathcal{A}(G))} \right\}$$

Notice that *E*, and the A(G) action, is the same in either case. The idealiser in  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is MA(G), while the idealiser in  $\mathcal{CB}(E)$  is  $M_{cb}A(G)$ .

#### Preprints

"Multipliers, Self-Induced and Dual Banach Algebras", arXiv:1001.1633v1 [math.FA] "Representing multipliers of the Fourier algebra on non-commutative *L<sup>p</sup>* spaces", arXiv:0906.5128v2 [math.FA]

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >