

The Fourier Algebra and homomorphisms

Matthew Daws

Leeds

December 2010

Outline

1 The Fourier Algebra – Finite groups

2 For general groups

3 Homomorphisms

Group algebras

Let G be a finite group, and consider the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. That is, G forms a basis for a \mathbb{C} vector space, with convolution as the product:

$$\left(\sum_{s \in G} \lambda_s s \right) \left(\sum_{t \in G} \mu_t t \right) = \sum_{s, t} \lambda_s \mu_t st = \sum_s \left(\sum_r \lambda_r \mu_{r^{-1}s} \right) s.$$

Endow $\mathbb{C}[G]$ with the usual inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_s \lambda_s s, \sum_t \mu_t t \right\rangle = \sum_s \lambda_s \overline{\mu_s}.$$

We write $\ell^2(G)$ for the resulting (finite dimensional) Hilbert space. Then $\mathbb{C}[G]$ acts on $\ell^2(G)$ by left multiplication (again, convolution). Notice that the action of $s \in G$ gives a surjective isometry on $\ell^2(G)$: so is a *unitary* map. So this is a *unitary representation* of the group G .

Group algebras

Let G be a finite group, and consider the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. That is, G forms a basis for a \mathbb{C} vector space, with convolution as the product:

$$\left(\sum_{s \in G} \lambda_s s \right) \left(\sum_{t \in G} \mu_t t \right) = \sum_{s, t} \lambda_s \mu_t st = \sum_s \left(\sum_r \lambda_r \mu_{r^{-1}s} \right) s.$$

Endow $\mathbb{C}[G]$ with the usual inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_s \lambda_s s, \sum_t \mu_t t \right\rangle = \sum_s \lambda_s \overline{\mu_s}.$$

We write $\ell^2(G)$ for the resulting (finite dimensional) Hilbert space. Then $\mathbb{C}[G]$ acts on $\ell^2(G)$ by left multiplication (again, convolution). Notice that the action of $s \in G$ gives a surjective isometry on $\ell^2(G)$: so is a *unitary* map. So this is a *unitary representation* of the group G .

Group algebras

Let G be a finite group, and consider the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. That is, G forms a basis for a \mathbb{C} vector space, with convolution as the product:

$$\left(\sum_{s \in G} \lambda_s s \right) \left(\sum_{t \in G} \mu_t t \right) = \sum_{s, t} \lambda_s \mu_t st = \sum_s \left(\sum_r \lambda_r \mu_{r^{-1}s} \right) s.$$

Endow $\mathbb{C}[G]$ with the usual inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_s \lambda_s s, \sum_t \mu_t t \right\rangle = \sum_s \lambda_s \overline{\mu_s}.$$

We write $\ell^2(G)$ for the resulting (finite dimensional) Hilbert space. Then $\mathbb{C}[G]$ acts on $\ell^2(G)$ by left multiplication (again, convolution). Notice that the action of $s \in G$ gives a surjective isometry on $\ell^2(G)$: so is a *unitary* map. So this is a *unitary representation* of the group G .

Group algebras

Let G be a finite group, and consider the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. That is, G forms a basis for a \mathbb{C} vector space, with convolution as the product:

$$\left(\sum_{s \in G} \lambda_s s \right) \left(\sum_{t \in G} \mu_t t \right) = \sum_{s, t} \lambda_s \mu_t st = \sum_s \left(\sum_r \lambda_r \mu_{r^{-1}s} \right) s.$$

Endow $\mathbb{C}[G]$ with the usual inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_s \lambda_s s, \sum_t \mu_t t \right\rangle = \sum_s \lambda_s \overline{\mu_s}.$$

We write $\ell^2(G)$ for the resulting (finite dimensional) Hilbert space. Then $\mathbb{C}[G]$ acts on $\ell^2(G)$ by left multiplication (again, convolution). Notice that the action of $s \in G$ gives a surjective isometry on $\ell^2(G)$: so is a *unitary* map. So this is a *unitary representation* of the group G .

C^* -algebras

We can identify $\mathbb{C}[G]$ as an algebra of linear maps on $\ell^2(G)$ (so, if we like, $G \times G$ matrices). This induces the operator norm on $\mathbb{C}[G]$:

$$\|x\| = \sup \{ \|x\xi\| = (x\xi|x\xi)^{1/2} : \xi \in \ell^2(G), \|\xi\| \leq 1 \}.$$

As we're acting on a Hilbert space, an operator has an adjoint which satisfies $(x\xi|\eta) = (\xi|x^*\eta)$. (Thinking of x as a matrix, x^* is the hermitian transpose). Then it's possible to show that $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\|$: this is the C^* -condition.

For us,

$$x = \sum_s \lambda_s s \implies x^* = \sum_s \overline{\lambda_s} s^{-1}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is closed under the adjoint, and so we get a C^* -algebra, denoted by $C_r^*(G)$.

C^* -algebras

We can identify $\mathbb{C}[G]$ as an algebra of linear maps on $\ell^2(G)$ (so, if we like, $G \times G$ matrices). This induces the operator norm on $\mathbb{C}[G]$:

$$\|x\| = \sup \{ \|x\xi\| = (x\xi|x\xi)^{1/2} : \xi \in \ell^2(G), \|\xi\| \leq 1 \}.$$

As we're acting on a Hilbert space, an operator has an adjoint which satisfies $(x\xi|\eta) = (\xi|x^*\eta)$. (Thinking of x as a matrix, x^* is the hermitian transpose). Then it's possible to show that $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\|$: this is the C^* -condition.

For us,

$$x = \sum_s \lambda_s s \implies x^* = \sum_s \overline{\lambda_s} s^{-1}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is closed under the adjoint, and so we get a C^* -algebra, denoted by $C_r^*(G)$.

C^* -algebras

We can identify $\mathbb{C}[G]$ as an algebra of linear maps on $\ell^2(G)$ (so, if we like, $G \times G$ matrices). This induces the operator norm on $\mathbb{C}[G]$:

$$\|x\| = \sup \{ \|x\xi\| = (x\xi|x\xi)^{1/2} : \xi \in \ell^2(G), \|\xi\| \leq 1 \}.$$

As we're acting on a Hilbert space, an operator has an adjoint which satisfies $(x\xi|\eta) = (\xi|x^*\eta)$. (Thinking of x as a matrix, x^* is the hermitian transpose). Then it's possible to show that $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\|$: this is the C^* -condition.

For us,

$$x = \sum_s \lambda_s s \implies x^* = \sum_s \overline{\lambda_s} s^{-1}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is closed under the adjoint, and so we get a C^* -algebra, denoted by $C_r^*(G)$.

Dual spaces

Fix $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$. We can define a linear functional

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad \omega(x) = (x\xi | \eta).$$

Let $\xi = \sum_s \xi_s s$ and $\eta = \sum_t \eta_t t$. Then

$$\omega(r) = (r\xi | \eta) = \sum_{s,t} \xi_s \overline{\eta_t} (rs | t) = \sum_s \xi_s \overline{\eta_{rs}}.$$

As $\mathbb{C}[G]$, and hence $C_r^*(G)$, are the span of G , it follows that $\{\omega(r) : r \in G\}$ determines ω . So we can think of ω as being a function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The *Fourier algebra* $A(G)$ is the subset of \mathbb{C}^G formed by $\{\omega_{\xi, \eta} : \xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)\}$.

Dual spaces

Fix $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$. We can define a linear functional

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad \omega(x) = (x\xi | \eta).$$

Let $\xi = \sum_s \xi_s s$ and $\eta = \sum_t \eta_t t$. Then

$$\omega(r) = (r\xi | \eta) = \sum_{s,t} \xi_s \overline{\eta_t} (rs | t) = \sum_s \xi_s \overline{\eta_{rs}}.$$

As $\mathbb{C}[G]$, and hence $C_r^*(G)$, are the span of G , it follows that $\{\omega(r) : r \in G\}$ determines ω . So we can think of ω as being a function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The *Fourier algebra* $A(G)$ is the subset of \mathbb{C}^G formed by $\{\omega_{\xi, \eta} : \xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)\}$.

Dual spaces

Fix $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$. We can define a linear functional

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad \omega(x) = (x\xi | \eta).$$

Let $\xi = \sum_s \xi_s s$ and $\eta = \sum_t \eta_t t$. Then

$$\omega(r) = (r\xi | \eta) = \sum_{s,t} \xi_s \overline{\eta_t} (rs | t) = \sum_s \xi_s \overline{\eta_{rs}}.$$

As $\mathbb{C}[G]$, and hence $C_r^*(G)$, are the span of G , it follows that $\{\omega(r) : r \in G\}$ determines ω . So we can think of ω as being a function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The *Fourier algebra* $A(G)$ is the subset of \mathbb{C}^G formed by $\{\omega_{\xi, \eta} : \xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)\}$.

Dual spaces

Fix $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$. We can define a linear functional

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad \omega(x) = (x\xi | \eta).$$

Let $\xi = \sum_s \xi_s s$ and $\eta = \sum_t \eta_t t$. Then

$$\omega(r) = (r\xi | \eta) = \sum_{s,t} \xi_s \overline{\eta_t} (rs | t) = \sum_s \xi_s \overline{\eta_{rs}}.$$

As $\mathbb{C}[G]$, and hence $C_r^*(G)$, are the span of G , it follows that $\{\omega(r) : r \in G\}$ determines ω . So we can think of ω as being a function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The *Fourier algebra* $A(G)$ is the subset of \mathbb{C}^G formed by $\{\omega_{\xi, \eta} : \xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)\}$.

Why an algebra?

So why is $A(G)$ an algebra? I want to build a bit of theory here.

Define a map $\Delta : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] = \mathbb{C}[G \times G]$ by

$$\Delta(s) = s \otimes s,$$

and extend by linearity. Then Δ is a homomorphism, and also

$(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$, so Δ is *co-associative*.

Actually Δ gives a isometry $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G \times G)$. (This is automatic by some C^* -algebra theory, but...) Define $W : \ell^2(G \times G) \rightarrow \ell^2(G \times G)$ by

$$W(s \otimes t) = t^{-1}s \otimes t.$$

This is just a permutation of the basis elements, so is a unitary map.

Then a calculation shows that

$$\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W.$$

Why an algebra?

So why is $A(G)$ an algebra? I want to build a bit of theory here. Define a map $\Delta : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] = \mathbb{C}[G \times G]$ by

$$\Delta(s) = s \otimes s,$$

and extend by linearity. Then Δ is a homomorphism, and also $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$, so Δ is *co-associative*.

Actually Δ gives a isometry $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G \times G)$. (This is automatic by some C^* -algebra theory, but...) Define $W : \ell^2(G \times G) \rightarrow \ell^2(G \times G)$ by

$$W(s \otimes t) = t^{-1}s \otimes t.$$

This is just a permutation of the basis elements, so is a unitary map. Then a calculation shows that

$$\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W.$$

Why an algebra?

So why is $A(G)$ an algebra? I want to build a bit of theory here. Define a map $\Delta : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] = \mathbb{C}[G \times G]$ by

$$\Delta(s) = s \otimes s,$$

and extend by linearity. Then Δ is a homomorphism, and also $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$, so Δ is *co-associative*.

Actually Δ gives a isometry $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G \times G)$. (This is automatic by some C^* -algebra theory, but...) Define $W : \ell^2(G \times G) \rightarrow \ell^2(G \times G)$ by

$$W(s \otimes t) = t^{-1}s \otimes t.$$

This is just a permutation of the basis elements, so is a unitary map. Then a calculation shows that

$$\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W.$$

Why an algebra?

So why is $A(G)$ an algebra? I want to build a bit of theory here. Define a map $\Delta : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] = \mathbb{C}[G \times G]$ by

$$\Delta(s) = s \otimes s,$$

and extend by linearity. Then Δ is a homomorphism, and also $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$, so Δ is *co-associative*.

Actually Δ gives a isometry $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G \times G)$. (This is automatic by some C^* -algebra theory, but...) Define $W : \ell^2(G \times G) \rightarrow \ell^2(G \times G)$ by

$$W(s \otimes t) = t^{-1}s \otimes t.$$

This is just a permutation of the basis elements, so is a unitary map. Then a calculation shows that

$$\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W.$$

The dual becomes an algebra

Let $C_r^*(G)^*$ be the space of all linear functionals $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then Δ induces an algebra product on $C_r^*(G)^*$ by

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(x) = (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2)\Delta(x) \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2 \in C_r^*(G)^*, x \in C_r^*(G)).$$

Every member of $C_r^*(G)^*$ arises as $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for some $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$.
So $A(G) = C_r^*(G)^*$. The product is then

$$(\omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \cdot \omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2})(s) = (\omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \otimes \omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2})\Delta(s) = \omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(s)\omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2}(s),$$

so we do just get the pointwise product.
(Mention Hopf algebras).

The dual becomes an algebra

Let $C_r^*(G)^*$ be the space of all linear functionals $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then Δ induces an algebra product on $C_r^*(G)^*$ by

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(x) = (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2)\Delta(x) \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2 \in C_r^*(G)^*, x \in C_r^*(G)).$$

Every member of $C_r^*(G)^*$ arises as $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for some $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$.

So $A(G) = C_r^*(G)^*$. The product is then

$$(\omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \cdot \omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2})(s) = (\omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \otimes \omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2})\Delta(s) = \omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(s)\omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2}(s),$$

so we do just get the pointwise product.

(Mention Hopf algebras).

The dual becomes an algebra

Let $C_r^*(G)^*$ be the space of all linear functionals $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then Δ induces an algebra product on $C_r^*(G)^*$ by

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(x) = (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2)\Delta(x) \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2 \in C_r^*(G)^*, x \in C_r^*(G)).$$

Every member of $C_r^*(G)^*$ arises as $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for some $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$.
So $A(G) = C_r^*(G)^*$. The product is then

$$(\omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \cdot \omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2})(s) = (\omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \otimes \omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2})\Delta(s) = \omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(s)\omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2}(s),$$

so we do just get the pointwise product.

(Mention Hopf algebras).

The dual becomes an algebra

Let $C_r^*(G)^*$ be the space of all linear functionals $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then Δ induces an algebra product on $C_r^*(G)^*$ by

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(x) = (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2)\Delta(x) \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2 \in C_r^*(G)^*, x \in C_r^*(G)).$$

Every member of $C_r^*(G)^*$ arises as $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for some $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$. So $A(G) = C_r^*(G)^*$. The product is then

$$(\omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \cdot \omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2})(s) = (\omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \otimes \omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2})\Delta(s) = \omega_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(s)\omega_{\xi_2, \eta_2}(s),$$

so we do just get the pointwise product.
(Mention Hopf algebras).

Norms

- Actually, as G is finite, really $A(G) = \mathbb{C}^G$.
- However, $A(G)$ carries a natural norm as the dual of $C_r^*(G)$.
- The previous construction shows that this norm is an algebra norm: $\|\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2\| \leq \|\omega_1\| \|\omega_2\|$.

To get a handle on this norm, let's look at some representation theory.

Norms

- Actually, as G is finite, really $A(G) = \mathbb{C}^G$.
- However, $A(G)$ carries a natural norm as the dual of $C_r^*(G)$.
- The previous construction shows that this norm is an algebra norm: $\|\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2\| \leq \|\omega_1\| \|\omega_2\|$.

To get a handle on this norm, let's look at some representation theory.

Norms

- Actually, as G is finite, really $A(G) = \mathbb{C}^G$.
- However, $A(G)$ carries a natural norm as the dual of $C_r^*(G)$.
- The previous construction shows that this norm is an algebra norm: $\|\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2\| \leq \|\omega_1\| \|\omega_2\|$.

To get a handle on this norm, let's look at some representation theory.

Norms

- Actually, as G is finite, really $A(G) = \mathbb{C}^G$.
- However, $A(G)$ carries a natural norm as the dual of $C_r^*(G)$.
- The previous construction shows that this norm is an algebra norm: $\|\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2\| \leq \|\omega_1\| \|\omega_2\|$.

To get a handle on this norm, let's look at some representation theory.

Abelian case

Firstly, what if G is abelian? Then every irreducible representation is one dimensional, and the collection of irreps forms a group: the *dual group* of G :

$$\hat{G} = \{ \chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ is a homomorphism} \}.$$

We also have the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \ell^2(\hat{G}); \quad s \mapsto \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(s) \chi.$$

We can also interpret this as a map $\mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\hat{G}}$; then we get an isometry from $C_r^*(G)$ to $C(\hat{G})$, the space of continuous functions of \hat{G} with the supremum (maximum) norm.

Abelian case

Firstly, what if G is abelian? Then every irreducible representation is one dimensional, and the collection of irreps forms a group: the *dual group* of G :

$$\hat{G} = \{ \chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ is a homomorphism} \}.$$

We also have the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \ell^2(\hat{G}); \quad \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(\mathbf{s}) \chi.$$

We can also interpret this as a map $\mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\hat{G}}$; then we get an isometry from $C_r^*(G)$ to $C(\hat{G})$, the space of continuous functions of \hat{G} with the supremum (maximum) norm.

Abelian case

Firstly, what if G is abelian? Then every irreducible representation is one dimensional, and the collection of irreps forms a group: the *dual group* of G :

$$\hat{G} = \{ \chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ is a homomorphism} \}.$$

We also have the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \ell^2(\hat{G}); \quad \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(\mathbf{s}) \chi.$$

We can also interpret this as a map $\mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\hat{G}}$; then we get an isometry from $C_r^*(G)$ to $C(\hat{G})$, the space of continuous functions of \hat{G} with the supremum (maximum) norm.

Abelian case cont.

So if $C_r^*(G) \cong C(\hat{G})$, then the duals are also isometric

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong C(\hat{G})^*.$$

What is the dual of $C(\hat{G})$? As \hat{G} is finite, it is just functions $\hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the 1-norm:

$$\left\| \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \lambda_\chi \chi \right\|_1 = \sum_{\chi} |\lambda_\chi|.$$

We denote this normed space by $\ell^1(\hat{G})$.

We can identify $\ell^1(\hat{G})$ with $\mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$; then the 1-norm is an algebra norm.

So the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the convolution algebra $\mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$, with the 1-norm.

Abelian case cont.

So if $C_r^*(G) \cong C(\hat{G})$, then the duals are also isometric

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong C(\hat{G})^*.$$

What is the dual of $C(\hat{G})$? As \hat{G} is finite, it is just functions $\hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the 1-norm:

$$\left\| \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \lambda_\chi \chi \right\|_1 = \sum_{\chi} |\lambda_\chi|.$$

We denote this normed space by $\ell^1(\hat{G})$.

We can identify $\ell^1(\hat{G})$ with $\mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$; then the 1-norm is an algebra norm. So the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the convolution algebra $\mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$, with the 1-norm.

Abelian case cont.

So if $C_r^*(G) \cong C(\hat{G})$, then the duals are also isometric

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong C(\hat{G})^*.$$

What is the dual of $C(\hat{G})$? As \hat{G} is finite, it is just functions $\hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the 1-norm:

$$\left\| \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \lambda_\chi \chi \right\|_1 = \sum_{\chi} |\lambda_\chi|.$$

We denote this normed space by $\ell^1(\hat{G})$.

We can identify $\ell^1(\hat{G})$ with $\mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$; then the 1-norm is an algebra norm.

So the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the convolution algebra $\mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$, with the 1-norm.

Abelian case cont.

So if $C_r^*(G) \cong C(\hat{G})$, then the duals are also isometric

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong C(\hat{G})^*.$$

What is the dual of $C(\hat{G})$? As \hat{G} is finite, it is just functions $\hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the 1-norm:

$$\left\| \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \lambda_\chi \chi \right\|_1 = \sum_{\chi} |\lambda_\chi|.$$

We denote this normed space by $\ell^1(\hat{G})$.

We can identify $\ell^1(\hat{G})$ with $\mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$; then the 1-norm is an algebra norm.

So the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the convolution algebra $\mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$, with the 1-norm.

General case

Now let \hat{G} be the collection of (isomorphism classes) of irreducible representations of G ; this is no longer a group in general.

- We have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_{\pi} \pi,$$

- Here π is a representation of G on a finite dimensional Hilbert space H_{π} , and the notation $n_{\pi} \pi$ means that π occurs with multiplicity $n_{\pi} := \dim(H_{\pi})$.
- So we find that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_{\pi}}.$$

(The multiplicity does not affect the norm, so we ignore it).

General case

Now let \hat{G} be the collection of (isomorphism classes) of irreducible representations of G ; this is no longer a group in general.

- We have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_{\pi} \pi,$$

- Here π is a representation of G on a finite dimensional Hilbert space H_{π} , and the notation $n_{\pi} \pi$ means that π occurs with multiplicity $n_{\pi} := \dim(H_{\pi})$.
- So we find that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_{\pi}}.$$

(The multiplicity does not affect the norm, so we ignore it).

General case

Now let \hat{G} be the collection of (isomorphism classes) of irreducible representations of G ; this is no longer a group in general.

- We have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_{\pi} \pi,$$

- Here π is a representation of G on a finite dimensional Hilbert space H_{π} , and the notation $n_{\pi} \pi$ means that π occurs with multiplicity $n_{\pi} := \dim(H_{\pi})$.
- So we find that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_{\pi}}.$$

(The multiplicity does not affect the norm, so we ignore it).

General case

Now let \hat{G} be the collection of (isomorphism classes) of irreducible representations of G ; this is no longer a group in general.

- We have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_{\pi} \pi,$$

- Here π is a representation of G on a finite dimensional Hilbert space H_{π} , and the notation $n_{\pi} \pi$ means that π occurs with multiplicity $n_{\pi} := \dim(H_{\pi})$.
- So we find that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_{\pi}}.$$

(The multiplicity does not affect the norm, so we ignore it).

Interlude: Dual spaces of matrices

We define a (bilinear) dual pairing between \mathbb{M}_n and \mathbb{M}_n by “trace duality”:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(xy) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n).$$

- So $\mathbb{M}_n^* \cong \mathbb{M}_n$.
- We give \mathbb{M}_n the operator norm: $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\|$. Then x^*x is positive (semi) definite, so it has positive eigenvalues, and so

$$\|x\| = \|x^*x\|^{1/2} = \max \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } x^*x \}.$$

- It turns out that the dual norm induced on \mathbb{M}_n is

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|^* &:= \sup \{ \text{Tr}(xy) : \|x\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sum \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } y^*y \}. \end{aligned}$$

- Write \mathbb{T}_n for \mathbb{M}_n with this norm: the “trace class” norm.

Interlude: Dual spaces of matrices

We define a (bilinear) dual pairing between \mathbb{M}_n and \mathbb{M}_n by “trace duality”:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(xy) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n).$$

- So $\mathbb{M}_n^* \cong \mathbb{M}_n$.
- We give \mathbb{M}_n the operator norm: $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\|$. Then x^*x is positive (semi) definite, so it has positive eigenvalues, and so

$$\|x\| = \|x^*x\|^{1/2} = \max \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } x^*x \}.$$

- It turns out that the dual norm induced on \mathbb{M}_n is

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|^* &:= \sup \{ \text{Tr}(xy) : \|x\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sum \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } y^*y \}. \end{aligned}$$

- Write \mathbb{T}_n for \mathbb{M}_n with this norm: the “trace class” norm.

Interlude: Dual spaces of matrices

We define a (bilinear) dual pairing between \mathbb{M}_n and \mathbb{M}_n by “trace duality”:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(xy) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n).$$

- So $\mathbb{M}_n^* \cong \mathbb{M}_n$.
- We give \mathbb{M}_n the operator norm: $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\|$. Then x^*x is positive (semi) definite, so it has positive eigenvalues, and so

$$\|x\| = \|x^*x\|^{1/2} = \max \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } x^*x \}.$$

- It turns out that the dual norm induced on \mathbb{M}_n is

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|^* &:= \sup \{ \text{Tr}(xy) : \|x\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sum \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } y^*y \}. \end{aligned}$$

- Write \mathbb{T}_n for \mathbb{M}_n with this norm: the “trace class” norm.

Interlude: Dual spaces of matrices

We define a (bilinear) dual pairing between \mathbb{M}_n and \mathbb{M}_n by “trace duality”:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(xy) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n).$$

- So $\mathbb{M}_n^* \cong \mathbb{M}_n$.
- We give \mathbb{M}_n the operator norm: $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\|$. Then x^*x is positive (semi) definite, so it has positive eigenvalues, and so

$$\|x\| = \|x^*x\|^{1/2} = \max \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } x^*x \}.$$

- It turns out that the dual norm induced on \mathbb{M}_n is

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|^* &:= \sup \{ \text{Tr}(xy) : \|x\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sum \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } y^*y \}. \end{aligned}$$

- Write \mathbb{T}_n for \mathbb{M}_n with this norm: the “trace class” norm.

Interlude: Dual spaces of matrices

We define a (bilinear) dual pairing between \mathbb{M}_n and \mathbb{M}_n by “trace duality”:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(xy) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n).$$

- So $\mathbb{M}_n^* \cong \mathbb{M}_n$.
- We give \mathbb{M}_n the operator norm: $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\|$. Then x^*x is positive (semi) definite, so it has positive eigenvalues, and so

$$\|x\| = \|x^*x\|^{1/2} = \max \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } x^*x \}.$$

- It turns out that the dual norm induced on \mathbb{M}_n is

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|^* &:= \sup \{ \text{Tr}(xy) : \|x\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sum \{ \lambda^{1/2} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } y^*y \}. \end{aligned}$$

- Write \mathbb{T}_n for \mathbb{M}_n with this norm: the “trace class” norm.

Fourier algebra

We saw that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}.$$

- Thus

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_\pi \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Notice that here we *do* need to worry about the multiplicities, as we have a “sum” norm, not a “max” norm.
- To be exact, $n\mathbb{T}_n$ is the space \mathbb{T}_n , but with the norm multiplied by n .
- But what’s the product on $A(G)$ in this picture?

Fourier algebra

We saw that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}.$$

• Thus

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_\pi \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Notice that here we *do* need to worry about the multiplicities, as we have a “sum” norm, not a “max” norm.
- To be exact, $n\mathbb{T}_n$ is the space \mathbb{T}_n , but with the norm multiplied by n .
- But what’s the product on $A(G)$ in this picture?

Fourier algebra

We saw that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}.$$

- Thus

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_\pi \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Notice that here we *do* need to worry about the multiplicities, as we have a “sum” norm, not a “max” norm.
- To be exact, $n\mathbb{T}_n$ is the space \mathbb{T}_n , but with the norm multiplied by n .
- But what’s the product on $A(G)$ in this picture?

Fourier algebra

We saw that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}.$$

- Thus

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_\pi \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Notice that here we *do* need to worry about the multiplicities, as we have a “sum” norm, not a “max” norm.
- To be exact, $n\mathbb{T}_n$ is the space \mathbb{T}_n , but with the norm multiplied by n .
- But what's the product on $A(G)$ in this picture?

Fourier algebra

We saw that

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}.$$

- Thus

$$A(G) = C_r^*(G)^* \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} n_\pi \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Notice that here we *do* need to worry about the multiplicities, as we have a “sum” norm, not a “max” norm.
- To be exact, $n\mathbb{T}_n$ is the space \mathbb{T}_n , but with the norm multiplied by n .
- But what’s the product on $A(G)$ in this picture?

The product

Suppose $\omega_1 \in A(G)$ is given by a single irreducible $\pi_1 \in \hat{G}$, say

$$\omega_1(\mathbf{s}) = (\pi_1(\mathbf{s})\xi_1|\eta_1) \quad (\mathbf{s} \in G, \xi_1, \eta_1 \in H_{\pi_1}).$$

Similarly π_2 .

- Then

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(\mathbf{s}) = \omega_1(\mathbf{s})\omega_2(\mathbf{s}) = ((\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(\mathbf{s})\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2|\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2).$$

- So to understand the product $\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2$, we need to understand how to write $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ as a sum of irreducibles. This can be done using fusion rules etc.
- This is obviously complicated: I generally tend to think of $A(G)$ as being a certain commutative algebra, and do not use the representation theory picture.

The product

Suppose $\omega_1 \in A(G)$ is given by a single irreducible $\pi_1 \in \hat{G}$, say

$$\omega_1(\mathbf{s}) = (\pi_1(\mathbf{s})\xi_1 | \eta_1) \quad (\mathbf{s} \in G, \xi_1, \eta_1 \in H_{\pi_1}).$$

Similarly π_2 .

- Then

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(\mathbf{s}) = \omega_1(\mathbf{s})\omega_2(\mathbf{s}) = ((\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(\mathbf{s})\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 | \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2).$$

- So to understand the product $\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2$, we need to understand how to write $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ as a sum of irreducibles. This can be done using fusion rules etc.
- This is obviously complicated: I generally tend to think of $A(G)$ as being a certain commutative algebra, and do not use the representation theory picture.

The product

Suppose $\omega_1 \in A(G)$ is given by a single irreducible $\pi_1 \in \hat{G}$, say

$$\omega_1(\mathbf{s}) = (\pi_1(\mathbf{s})\xi_1 | \eta_1) \quad (\mathbf{s} \in G, \xi_1, \eta_1 \in H_{\pi_1}).$$

Similarly π_2 .

- Then

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(\mathbf{s}) = \omega_1(\mathbf{s})\omega_2(\mathbf{s}) = ((\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(\mathbf{s})\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 | \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2).$$

- So to understand the product $\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2$, we need to understand how to write $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ as a sum of irreducibles. This can be done using fusion rules etc.
- This is obviously complicated: I generally tend to think of $A(G)$ as being a certain commutative algebra, and do not use the representation theory picture.

The product

Suppose $\omega_1 \in A(G)$ is given by a single irreducible $\pi_1 \in \hat{G}$, say

$$\omega_1(\mathbf{s}) = (\pi_1(\mathbf{s})\xi_1 | \eta_1) \quad (\mathbf{s} \in G, \xi_1, \eta_1 \in H_{\pi_1}).$$

Similarly π_2 .

- Then

$$(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(\mathbf{s}) = \omega_1(\mathbf{s})\omega_2(\mathbf{s}) = ((\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(\mathbf{s})\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 | \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2).$$

- So to understand the product $\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2$, we need to understand how to write $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ as a sum of irreducibles. This can be done using fusion rules etc.
- This is obviously complicated: I generally tend to think of $A(G)$ as being a certain commutative algebra, and do not use the representation theory picture.

Locally compact groups

A *locally compact group* is a locally compact (Hausdorff) topological space which is also a group, and with the group operations being continuous.

Locally compact groups are essentially characterised as being those groups which admit an invariant measure: the Haar measure:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(sA) \quad (s \in G, A \subseteq G).$$

- Any group with the discrete topology, and the counting measure.
- Any compact group: \mathbb{T} , $SU(n)$, $O(n)$ etc. Haar measure is a probability measure.
- Any Lie group: \mathbb{R} , $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ etc.
- Not the unitary group of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Locally compact groups

A *locally compact group* is a locally compact (Hausdorff) topological space which is also a group, and with the group operations being continuous.

Locally compact groups are essentially characterised as being those groups which admit an invariant measure: the Haar measure:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(sA) \quad (s \in G, A \subseteq G).$$

- Any group with the discrete topology, and the counting measure.
- Any compact group: \mathbb{T} , $SU(n)$, $O(n)$ etc. Haar measure is a probability measure.
- Any Lie group: \mathbb{R} , $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ etc.
- Not the unitary group of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Locally compact groups

A *locally compact group* is a locally compact (Hausdorff) topological space which is also a group, and with the group operations being continuous.

Locally compact groups are essentially characterised as being those groups which admit an invariant measure: the Haar measure:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(sA) \quad (s \in G, A \subseteq G).$$

- Any group with the discrete topology, and the counting measure.
- Any compact group: \mathbb{T} , $SU(n)$, $O(n)$ etc. Haar measure is a probability measure.
- Any Lie group: \mathbb{R} , $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ etc.
- Not the unitary group of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Locally compact groups

A *locally compact group* is a locally compact (Hausdorff) topological space which is also a group, and with the group operations being continuous.

Locally compact groups are essentially characterised as being those groups which admit an invariant measure: the Haar measure:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(sA) \quad (s \in G, A \subseteq G).$$

- Any group with the discrete topology, and the counting measure.
- Any compact group: \mathbb{T} , $SU(n)$, $O(n)$ etc. Haar measure is a probability measure.
- Any Lie group: \mathbb{R} , $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ etc.
- Not the unitary group of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Locally compact groups

A *locally compact group* is a locally compact (Hausdorff) topological space which is also a group, and with the group operations being continuous.

Locally compact groups are essentially characterised as being those groups which admit an invariant measure: the Haar measure:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(sA) \quad (s \in G, A \subseteq G).$$

- Any group with the discrete topology, and the counting measure.
- Any compact group: \mathbb{T} , $SU(n)$, $O(n)$ etc. Haar measure is a probability measure.
- Any Lie group: \mathbb{R} , $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ etc.
- Not the unitary group of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Locally compact groups

A *locally compact group* is a locally compact (Hausdorff) topological space which is also a group, and with the group operations being continuous.

Locally compact groups are essentially characterised as being those groups which admit an invariant measure: the Haar measure:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(sA) \quad (s \in G, A \subseteq G).$$

- Any group with the discrete topology, and the counting measure.
- Any compact group: \mathbb{T} , $SU(n)$, $O(n)$ etc. Haar measure is a probability measure.
- Any Lie group: \mathbb{R} , $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ etc.
- Not the unitary group of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Various group algebras

Let $L^1(G)$ be the (equivalence classes) of integrable functions on G , which becomes a Banach algebra for the convolution product:

$$f * g(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt \quad (f, g \in L^1(G), s \in G).$$

- There is a natural representation of $L^1(G)$ on $L^2(G)$ given by left convolution: the norm closure of the image is $C_r^*(G)$, the (reduced) group C^* -algebra.
- To look at $C_r^*(G)^*$ would give too large an algebra.
- Instead, we take the *weak operator topology* closure of $L^1(G)$ acting on $L^2(G)$ — this gives $VN(G)$ the group von Neumann algebra.
- Write $\lambda(s)$ for the left translation operator given by $s \in G$.
- Then $\lambda(s) \in VN(G)$, but $\lambda(s) \in C_r^*(G)$ only for discrete groups.

Various group algebras

Let $L^1(G)$ be the (equivalence classes) of integrable functions on G , which becomes a Banach algebra for the convolution product:

$$f * g(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt \quad (f, g \in L^1(G), s \in G).$$

- There is a natural representation of $L^1(G)$ on $L^2(G)$ given by left convolution: the norm closure of the image is $C_r^*(G)$, the (reduced) group C^* -algebra.
- To look at $C_r^*(G)^*$ would give too large an algebra.
- Instead, we take the *weak operator topology* closure of $L^1(G)$ acting on $L^2(G)$ — this gives $VN(G)$ the group von Neumann algebra.
- Write $\lambda(s)$ for the left translation operator given by $s \in G$.
- Then $\lambda(s) \in VN(G)$, but $\lambda(s) \in C_r^*(G)$ only for discrete groups.

Various group algebras

Let $L^1(G)$ be the (equivalence classes) of integrable functions on G , which becomes a Banach algebra for the convolution product:

$$f * g(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt \quad (f, g \in L^1(G), s \in G).$$

- There is a natural representation of $L^1(G)$ on $L^2(G)$ given by left convolution: the norm closure of the image is $C_r^*(G)$, the (reduced) group C^* -algebra.
- To look at $C_r^*(G)^*$ would give too large an algebra.
- Instead, we take the *weak operator topology* closure of $L^1(G)$ acting on $L^2(G)$ — this gives $VN(G)$ the group von Neumann algebra.
- Write $\lambda(s)$ for the left translation operator given by $s \in G$.
- Then $\lambda(s) \in VN(G)$, but $\lambda(s) \in C_r^*(G)$ only for discrete groups.

Various group algebras

Let $L^1(G)$ be the (equivalence classes) of integrable functions on G , which becomes a Banach algebra for the convolution product:

$$f * g(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt \quad (f, g \in L^1(G), s \in G).$$

- There is a natural representation of $L^1(G)$ on $L^2(G)$ given by left convolution: the norm closure of the image is $C_r^*(G)$, the (reduced) group C^* -algebra.
- To look at $C_r^*(G)^*$ would give too large an algebra.
- Instead, we take the *weak operator topology* closure of $L^1(G)$ acting on $L^2(G)$ — this gives $VN(G)$ the group von Neumann algebra.
- Write $\lambda(s)$ for the left translation operator given by $s \in G$.
- Then $\lambda(s) \in VN(G)$, but $\lambda(s) \in C_r^*(G)$ only for discrete groups.

Various group algebras

Let $L^1(G)$ be the (equivalence classes) of integrable functions on G , which becomes a Banach algebra for the convolution product:

$$f * g(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt \quad (f, g \in L^1(G), s \in G).$$

- There is a natural representation of $L^1(G)$ on $L^2(G)$ given by left convolution: the norm closure of the image is $C_r^*(G)$, the (reduced) group C^* -algebra.
- To look at $C_r^*(G)^*$ would give too large an algebra.
- Instead, we take the *weak operator topology* closure of $L^1(G)$ acting on $L^2(G)$ — this gives $VN(G)$ the group von Neumann algebra.
- Write $\lambda(s)$ for the left translation operator given by $s \in G$.
- Then $\lambda(s) \in VN(G)$, but $\lambda(s) \in C_r^*(G)$ only for discrete groups.

Various group algebras

Let $L^1(G)$ be the (equivalence classes) of integrable functions on G , which becomes a Banach algebra for the convolution product:

$$f * g(s) = \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s) dt \quad (f, g \in L^1(G), s \in G).$$

- There is a natural representation of $L^1(G)$ on $L^2(G)$ given by left convolution: the norm closure of the image is $C_r^*(G)$, the (reduced) group C^* -algebra.
- To look at $C_r^*(G)^*$ would give too large an algebra.
- Instead, we take the *weak operator topology* closure of $L^1(G)$ acting on $L^2(G)$ — this gives $VN(G)$ the group von Neumann algebra.
- Write $\lambda(s)$ for the left translation operator given by $s \in G$.
- Then $\lambda(s) \in VN(G)$, but $\lambda(s) \in C_r^*(G)$ only for discrete groups.

The Fourier algebra

- We now restrict attention to $VN(G)_*$, the functionals on $VN(G)$ which are weak operator topology continuous. So we set $A(G) = VN(G)_*$.
- The operators $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generate $VN(G)$ for the weak operator topology. So for $\omega \in A(G)$, the values

$$\omega(s) = \langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle \quad (s \in G),$$

completely determine ω . Hence we can think of $A(G)$ as a space of functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

- As before, we have $\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G \times G)$ given by $\lambda(s) \mapsto \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s)$.
- The (pre)adjoint induces an associative algebra product on $A(G)$.
- As a space of functions, this is just the pointwise product. So $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra.

The Fourier algebra

- We now restrict attention to $VN(G)_*$, the functionals on $VN(G)$ which are weak operator topology continuous. So we set $A(G) = VN(G)_*$.
- The operators $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generate $VN(G)$ for the weak operator topology. So for $\omega \in A(G)$, the values

$$\omega(s) = \langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle \quad (s \in G),$$

completely determine ω . Hence we can think of $A(G)$ as a space of functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

- As before, we have $\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G \times G)$ given by $\lambda(s) \mapsto \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s)$.
- The (pre)adjoint induces an associative algebra product on $A(G)$.
- As a space of functions, this is just the pointwise product. So $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra.

The Fourier algebra

- We now restrict attention to $VN(G)_*$, the functionals on $VN(G)$ which are weak operator topology continuous. So we set $A(G) = VN(G)_*$.
- The operators $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generate $VN(G)$ for the weak operator topology. So for $\omega \in A(G)$, the values

$$\omega(s) = \langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle \quad (s \in G),$$

completely determine ω . Hence we can think of $A(G)$ as a space of functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

- As before, we have $\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G \times G)$ given by $\lambda(s) \mapsto \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s)$.
- The (pre)adjoint induces an associative algebra product on $A(G)$.
- As a space of functions, this is just the pointwise product. So $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra.

The Fourier algebra

- We now restrict attention to $VN(G)_*$, the functionals on $VN(G)$ which are weak operator topology continuous. So we set $A(G) = VN(G)_*$.
- The operators $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generate $VN(G)$ for the weak operator topology. So for $\omega \in A(G)$, the values

$$\omega(s) = \langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle \quad (s \in G),$$

completely determine ω . Hence we can think of $A(G)$ as a space of functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

- As before, we have $\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G \times G)$ given by $\lambda(s) \mapsto \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s)$.
- The (pre)adjoint induces an associative algebra product on $A(G)$.
- As a space of functions, this is just the pointwise product. So $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra.

The Fourier algebra

- We now restrict attention to $VN(G)_*$, the functionals on $VN(G)$ which are weak operator topology continuous. So we set $A(G) = VN(G)_*$.
- The operators $\{\lambda(s) : s \in G\}$ generate $VN(G)$ for the weak operator topology. So for $\omega \in A(G)$, the values

$$\omega(s) = \langle \lambda(s), \omega \rangle \quad (s \in G),$$

completely determine ω . Hence we can think of $A(G)$ as a space of functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

- As before, we have $\Delta : VN(G) \rightarrow VN(G \times G)$ given by $\lambda(s) \mapsto \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s)$.
- The (pre)adjoint induces an associative algebra product on $A(G)$.
- As a space of functions, this is just the pointwise product. So $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra.

The Fourier algebra: which functions?

A bit of machinery shows that each $\omega \in A(G)$ is of the form

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : \quad x \mapsto (x\xi | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G)),$$

for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$. (Not obvious why we don't need linear combinations etc.)

- For $s \in G$ we calculate

$$\omega(s) = (\lambda(s)\xi | \eta) = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t)\overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \overline{\eta(t)}\check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s),$$

where $\check{\xi}(s) = \xi(s^{-1})$.

- So each member of $A(G)$ is the convolution of an L^2 function with a “checked” L^2 function.
- In particular, each member of $A(G)$ is continuous, and vanishes at infinity: $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$.
- Unless G is finite, we don't get all of $C_0(G)$.

The Fourier algebra: which functions?

A bit of machinery shows that each $\omega \in A(G)$ is of the form

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : \quad x \mapsto (x\xi | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G)),$$

for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$. (Not obvious why we don't need linear combinations etc.)

- For $s \in G$ we calculate

$$\omega(s) = (\lambda(s)\xi | \eta) = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t)\overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \overline{\eta(t)}\check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s),$$

where $\check{\xi}(s) = \xi(s^{-1})$.

- So each member of $A(G)$ is the convolution of an L^2 function with a “checked” L^2 function.
- In particular, each member of $A(G)$ is continuous, and vanishes at infinity: $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$.
- Unless G is finite, we don't get all of $C_0(G)$.

The Fourier algebra: which functions?

A bit of machinery shows that each $\omega \in A(G)$ is of the form

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : \quad x \mapsto (x\xi | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G)),$$

for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$. (Not obvious why we don't need linear combinations etc.)

- For $s \in G$ we calculate

$$\omega(s) = (\lambda(s)\xi | \eta) = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t)\overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \overline{\eta(t)}\check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s),$$

where $\check{\xi}(s) = \xi(s^{-1})$.

- So each member of $A(G)$ is the convolution of an L^2 function with a “checked” L^2 function.
- In particular, each member of $A(G)$ is continuous, and vanishes at infinity: $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$.
- Unless G is finite, we don't get all of $C_0(G)$.

The Fourier algebra: which functions?

A bit of machinery shows that each $\omega \in A(G)$ is of the form

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : \quad x \mapsto (x\xi | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G)),$$

for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$. (Not obvious why we don't need linear combinations etc.)

- For $s \in G$ we calculate

$$\omega(s) = (\lambda(s)\xi | \eta) = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t)\overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \overline{\eta(t)}\check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s),$$

where $\check{\xi}(s) = \xi(s^{-1})$.

- So each member of $A(G)$ is the convolution of an L^2 function with a “checked” L^2 function.
- In particular, each member of $A(G)$ is continuous, and vanishes at infinity: $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$.
- Unless G is finite, we don't get all of $C_0(G)$.

The Fourier algebra: which functions?

A bit of machinery shows that each $\omega \in A(G)$ is of the form

$$\omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta} : \quad x \mapsto (x\xi | \eta) \quad (x \in VN(G)),$$

for some $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$. (Not obvious why we don't need linear combinations etc.)

- For $s \in G$ we calculate

$$\omega(s) = (\lambda(s)\xi | \eta) = \int_G \xi(s^{-1}t) \overline{\eta(t)} dt = \int_G \overline{\eta(t)} \check{\xi}(t^{-1}s) dt = (\overline{\eta} * \check{\xi})(s),$$

where $\check{\xi}(s) = \xi(s^{-1})$.

- So each member of $A(G)$ is the convolution of an L^2 function with a “checked” L^2 function.
- In particular, each member of $A(G)$ is continuous, and vanishes at infinity: $A(G) \subseteq C_0(G)$.
- Unless G is finite, we don't get all of $C_0(G)$.

Abelian case revisited

If G is abelian, then we have a dual group \hat{G} and the generalised Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}).$$

- Any member of $L^1(G)$ is the pointwise product of two L^2 functions, and \mathcal{F} turns the pointwise product into the convolution product.
- So $A(\hat{G})$ is precisely $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$.
- Or $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$, as $\hat{\hat{G}} \cong G$.
- In particular,

$$A(\mathbb{Z}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{T}), \quad A(\mathbb{T}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{Z}), \quad A(\mathbb{R}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Abelian case revisited

If G is abelian, then we have a dual group \hat{G} and the generalised Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}).$$

- Any member of $L^1(G)$ is the pointwise product of two L^2 functions, and \mathcal{F} turns the pointwise product into the convolution product.
- So $A(\hat{G})$ is precisely $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$.
- Or $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$, as $\hat{\hat{G}} \cong G$.
- In particular,

$$A(\mathbb{Z}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{T}), \quad A(\mathbb{T}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{Z}), \quad A(\mathbb{R}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Abelian case revisited

If G is abelian, then we have a dual group \hat{G} and the generalised Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}).$$

- Any member of $L^1(G)$ is the pointwise product of two L^2 functions, and \mathcal{F} turns the pointwise product into the convolution product.
- So $A(\hat{G})$ is precisely $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$.
- Or $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$, as $\hat{\hat{G}} \cong G$.
- In particular,

$$A(\mathbb{Z}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{T}), \quad A(\mathbb{T}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{Z}), \quad A(\mathbb{R}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Abelian case revisited

If G is abelian, then we have a dual group \hat{G} and the generalised Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}).$$

- Any member of $L^1(G)$ is the pointwise product of two L^2 functions, and \mathcal{F} turns the pointwise product into the convolution product.
- So $A(\hat{G})$ is precisely $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$.
- Or $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$, as $\hat{\hat{G}} \cong G$.
- In particular,

$$A(\mathbb{Z}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{T}), \quad A(\mathbb{T}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{Z}), \quad A(\mathbb{R}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Abelian case revisited

If G is abelian, then we have a dual group \hat{G} and the generalised Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow C_0(\hat{G}).$$

- Any member of $L^1(G)$ is the pointwise product of two L^2 functions, and \mathcal{F} turns the pointwise product into the convolution product.
- So $A(\hat{G})$ is precisely $\mathcal{F}(L^1(G))$.
- Or $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$, as $\hat{\hat{G}} \cong G$.
- In particular,

$$A(\mathbb{Z}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{T}), \quad A(\mathbb{T}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{Z}), \quad A(\mathbb{R}) \cong L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Compact case

Remember that the representation theory of compact groups is very similar to that for finite groups.

- Each irreducible representation is finite dimensional, and we get the isomorphisms

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}, \quad VN(G) \cong \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}, \quad A(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Again, the multiplication comes from tensoring irreps.
- You can do similar things for, say, semisimple Lie groups, but *usually* this is not productive (but see recent work of Losert on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$).

Compact case

Remember that the representation theory of compact groups is very similar to that for finite groups.

- Each irreducible representation is finite dimensional, and we get the isomorphisms

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}, \quad VN(G) \cong \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M_{n_\pi}, \quad A(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Again, the multiplication comes from tensoring irreps.
- You can do similar things for, say, semisimple Lie groups, but *usually* this is not productive (but see recent work of Losert on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$).

Compact case

Remember that the representation theory of compact groups is very similar to that for finite groups.

- Each irreducible representation is finite dimensional, and we get the isomorphisms

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}, \quad VN(G) \cong \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}, \quad A(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Again, the multiplication comes from tensoring irreps.
- You can do similar things for, say, semisimple Lie groups, but *usually* this is not productive (but see recent work of Losert on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$).

Compact case

Remember that the representation theory of compact groups is very similar to that for finite groups.

- Each irreducible representation is finite dimensional, and we get the isomorphisms

$$C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}, \quad VN(G) \cong \prod_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}, \quad A(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{T}_{n_\pi}.$$

- Again, the multiplication comes from tensoring irreps.
- You can do similar things for, say, semisimple Lie groups, but *usually* this is not productive (but see recent work of Losert on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$).

Homomorphisms

- Let G and H be finite groups. When are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ isomorphism algebras? Well, $A(G) \cong \mathbb{C}^G$ and $A(H) \cong \mathbb{C}^H$, so $A(G) \cong A(H)$ if and only if $|G| = |H|$.
- For infinite G , there are topological obstructions. As $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra, it has a character space. Eymard showed that this is precisely G .
- So if $A(G) \cong A(H)$, then $G \cong H$ as topological spaces.
- Let's not forget the norm— so ask: when are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ *isometrically* isomorphic?
- Any bijective algebra homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$ is of the form

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \omega(\tau(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

where $h : H \rightarrow G$ is continuous (Gelfand theory).

Homomorphisms

- Let G and H be finite groups. When are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ isomorphism algebras? Well, $A(G) \cong \mathbb{C}^G$ and $A(H) \cong \mathbb{C}^H$, so $A(G) \cong A(H)$ if and only if $|G| = |H|$.
- For infinite G , there are topological obstructions. As $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra, it has a character space. Eymard showed that this is precisely G .
- So if $A(G) \cong A(H)$, then $G \cong H$ as topological spaces.
- Let's not forget the norm— so ask: when are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ *isometrically* isomorphic?
- Any bijective algebra homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$ is of the form

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \omega(\tau(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

where $h : H \rightarrow G$ is continuous (Gelfand theory).

Homomorphisms

- Let G and H be finite groups. When are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ isomorphism algebras? Well, $A(G) \cong \mathbb{C}^G$ and $A(H) \cong \mathbb{C}^H$, so $A(G) \cong A(H)$ if and only if $|G| = |H|$.
- For infinite G , there are topological obstructions. As $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra, it has a character space. Eymard showed that this is precisely G .
- So if $A(G) \cong A(H)$, then $G \cong H$ as topological spaces.
- Let's not forget the norm— so ask: when are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ *isometrically* isomorphic?
- Any bijective algebra homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$ is of the form

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \omega(\tau(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

where $h : H \rightarrow G$ is continuous (Gelfand theory).

Homomorphisms

- Let G and H be finite groups. When are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ isomorphism algebras? Well, $A(G) \cong \mathbb{C}^G$ and $A(H) \cong \mathbb{C}^H$, so $A(G) \cong A(H)$ if and only if $|G| = |H|$.
- For infinite G , there are topological obstructions. As $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra, it has a character space. Eymard showed that this is precisely G .
- So if $A(G) \cong A(H)$, then $G \cong H$ as topological spaces.
- Let's not forget the norm— so ask: when are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ *isometrically* isomorphic?

- Any bijective algebra homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$ is of the form

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \omega(\tau(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

where $h : H \rightarrow G$ is continuous (Gelfand theory).

Homomorphisms

- Let G and H be finite groups. When are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ isomorphism algebras? Well, $A(G) \cong \mathbb{C}^G$ and $A(H) \cong \mathbb{C}^H$, so $A(G) \cong A(H)$ if and only if $|G| = |H|$.
- For infinite G , there are topological obstructions. As $A(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra, it has a character space. Eymard showed that this is precisely G .
- So if $A(G) \cong A(H)$, then $G \cong H$ as topological spaces.
- Let's not forget the norm— so ask: when are $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ *isometrically* isomorphic?
- Any bijective algebra homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$ is of the form

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \omega(\tau(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

where $h : H \rightarrow G$ is continuous (Gelfand theory).

Homomorphisms cont.

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \omega(\tau(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

- Walter (1972) proved that if θ is also an isometry, then τ is of the form

$$\tau(h) = g_1 \phi(h) \quad (h \in H),$$

where $g_1 \in G$ and $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ is a group (anti)homomorphism.

- Le Pham (2010) extended this in various ways. For example, if $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$ is a *contractive* homomorphism, then

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \begin{cases} \omega(g_1 \phi(h_1 h)) & : h_1 h \in \Omega, \\ 0 & : h_1 h \notin \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $\Omega \subseteq H$ is an open subgroup, $g_1 \in G$, $h_1 \in H$, and again $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow G$ is a group (anti)homomorphism.

Homomorphisms cont.

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \omega(\tau(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

- Walter (1972) proved that if θ is also an isometry, then τ is of the form

$$\tau(h) = g_1 \phi(h) \quad (h \in H),$$

where $g_1 \in G$ and $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ is a group (anti)homomorphism.

- Le Pham (2010) extended this in various ways. For example, if $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$ is a *contractive* homomorphism, then

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \begin{cases} \omega(g_1 \phi(h_1 h)) & : h_1 h \in \Omega, \\ 0 & : h_1 h \notin \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $\Omega \subseteq H$ is an open subgroup, $g_1 \in G$, $h_1 \in H$, and again $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow G$ is a group (anti)homomorphism.

Homomorphisms cont.

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \omega(\tau(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

- Walter (1972) proved that if θ is also an isometry, then τ is of the form

$$\tau(h) = g_1 \phi(h) \quad (h \in H),$$

where $g_1 \in G$ and $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ is a group (anti)homomorphism.

- Le Pham (2010) extended this in various ways. For example, if $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$ is a *contractive* homomorphism, then

$$\theta(\omega)(h) = \begin{cases} \omega(g_1 \phi(h_1 h)) & : h_1 h \in \Omega, \\ 0 & : h_1 h \notin \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $\Omega \subseteq H$ is an open subgroup, $g_1 \in G$, $h_1 \in H$, and again $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow G$ is a group (anti)homomorphism.

Annoying anti-homomorphisms

To remove the possibility of an anti-homomorphism, we need a more “rigid” sense of isometry.

- Given a bounded linear map $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$, the adjoint gives a map $\theta^* : VN(H) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- We identify $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ with $n \times n$ matrices of elements of $VN(H)$. This acts naturally on $L^2(H) \oplus \dots \oplus L^2(H)$ (n times) and so $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ is again a C^* -algebra.
- So we can ask about the norm of

$$(\theta^*)_n := \iota \otimes \theta^* : \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(G).$$

- We say that θ is *completely bounded* if $\sup_n \|(\theta^*)_n\| < \infty$.
- This rules out the anti-homomorphism case.

Annoying anti-homomorphisms

To remove the possibility of an anti-homomorphism, we need a more “rigid” sense of isometry.

- Given a bounded linear map $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$, the adjoint gives a map $\theta^* : VN(H) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- We identify $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ with $n \times n$ matrices of elements of $VN(H)$. This acts naturally on $L^2(H) \oplus \dots \oplus L^2(H)$ (n times) and so $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ is again a C^* -algebra.
- So we can ask about the norm of

$$(\theta^*)_n := \iota \otimes \theta^* : \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(G).$$

- We say that θ is *completely bounded* if $\sup_n \|(\theta^*)_n\| < \infty$.
- This rules out the anti-homomorphism case.

Annoying anti-homomorphisms

To remove the possibility of an anti-homomorphism, we need a more “rigid” sense of isometry.

- Given a bounded linear map $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$, the adjoint gives a map $\theta^* : VN(H) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- We identify $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ with $n \times n$ matrices of elements of $VN(H)$. This acts naturally on $L^2(H) \oplus \dots \oplus L^2(H)$ (n times) and so $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ is again a C^* -algebra.
- So we can ask about the norm of

$$(\theta^*)_n := \iota \otimes \theta^* : \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(G).$$

- We say that θ is *completely bounded* if $\sup_n \|(\theta^*)_n\| < \infty$.
- This rules out the anti-homomorphism case.

Annoying anti-homomorphisms

To remove the possibility of an anti-homomorphism, we need a more “rigid” sense of isometry.

- Given a bounded linear map $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$, the adjoint gives a map $\theta^* : VN(H) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- We identify $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ with $n \times n$ matrices of elements of $VN(H)$. This acts naturally on $L^2(H) \oplus \dots \oplus L^2(H)$ (n times) and so $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ is again a C^* -algebra.
- So we can ask about the norm of

$$(\theta^*)_n := \iota \otimes \theta^* : \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(G).$$

- We say that θ is *completely bounded* if $\sup_n \|(\theta^*)_n\| < \infty$.
- This rules out the anti-homomorphism case.

Annoying anti-homomorphisms

To remove the possibility of an anti-homomorphism, we need a more “rigid” sense of isometry.

- Given a bounded linear map $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$, the adjoint gives a map $\theta^* : VN(H) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- We identify $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ with $n \times n$ matrices of elements of $VN(H)$. This acts naturally on $L^2(H) \oplus \dots \oplus L^2(H)$ (n times) and so $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ is again a C^* -algebra.
- So we can ask about the norm of

$$(\theta^*)_n := \iota \otimes \theta^* : \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(G).$$

- We say that θ is *completely bounded* if $\sup_n \|(\theta^*)_n\| < \infty$.
- This rules out the anti-homomorphism case.

Annoying anti-homomorphisms

To remove the possibility of an anti-homomorphism, we need a more “rigid” sense of isometry.

- Given a bounded linear map $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$, the adjoint gives a map $\theta^* : VN(H) \rightarrow VN(G)$.
- We identify $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ with $n \times n$ matrices of elements of $VN(H)$. This acts naturally on $L^2(H) \oplus \dots \oplus L^2(H)$ (n times) and so $\mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H)$ is again a C^* -algebra.
- So we can ask about the norm of

$$(\theta^*)_n := \iota \otimes \theta^* : \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes VN(G).$$

- We say that θ is *completely bounded* if $\sup_n \|(\theta^*)_n\| < \infty$.
- This rules out the anti-homomorphism case.

A little bit of philosophy

- Recall that if G is abelian, then $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$.
- So even if G is not abelian, we can still think of $A(G)$ as being the algebra $L^1(\hat{G})$, even though \hat{G} doesn't exist. (And we saw that in the compact case, this is not insane).
- An interesting thing to do with $L^1(G)$ is to study homomorphisms $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the algebra of operators on a Hilbert space H .
- There is a one-one correspondence between such (non-degenerate) homomorphisms and representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where

$$\theta(f) = \int_G f(s)\pi(s) ds.$$

A little bit of philosophy

- Recall that if G is abelian, then $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$.
- So even if G is not abelian, we can still think of $A(G)$ as being the algebra $L^1(\hat{G})$, even though \hat{G} doesn't exist. (And we saw that in the compact case, this is not insane).
- An interesting thing to do with $L^1(G)$ is to study homomorphisms $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the algebra of operators on a Hilbert space H .
- There is a one-one correspondence between such (non-degenerate) homomorphisms and representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where

$$\theta(f) = \int_G f(s)\pi(s) ds.$$

A little bit of philosophy

- Recall that if G is abelian, then $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$.
- So even if G is not abelian, we can still think of $A(G)$ as being the algebra $L^1(\hat{G})$, even though \hat{G} doesn't exist. (And we saw that in the compact case, this is not insane).
- An interesting thing to do with $L^1(G)$ is to study homomorphisms $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the algebra of operators on a Hilbert space H .
- There is a one-one correspondence between such (non-degenerate) homomorphisms and representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where

$$\theta(f) = \int_G f(s)\pi(s) ds.$$

A little bit of philosophy

- Recall that if G is abelian, then $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$.
- So even if G is not abelian, we can still think of $A(G)$ as being the algebra $L^1(\hat{G})$, even though \hat{G} doesn't exist. (And we saw that in the compact case, this is not insane).
- An interesting thing to do with $L^1(G)$ is to study homomorphisms $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the algebra of operators on a Hilbert space H .
- There is a one-one correspondence between such (non-degenerate) homomorphisms and representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where

$$\theta(f) = \int_G f(s)\pi(s) ds.$$

A little bit of philosophy

- Recall that if G is abelian, then $A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G})$.
- So even if G is not abelian, we can still think of $A(G)$ as being the algebra $L^1(\hat{G})$, even though \hat{G} doesn't exist. (And we saw that in the compact case, this is not insane).
- An interesting thing to do with $L^1(G)$ is to study homomorphisms $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the algebra of operators on a Hilbert space H .
- There is a one-one correspondence between such (non-degenerate) homomorphisms and representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where

$$\theta(f) = \int_G f(s)\pi(s) ds.$$

Representations on a Hilbert space

- An even more interesting thing to study is $*$ -homomorphisms $L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$; these correspond to looking at unitary representations of G .
- If G is finite, then given any representation of G on H , we can always choose an invariant inner-product making the representation unitary.
- This corresponds to the following: if $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a homomorphism, then there is an invertible $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with

$$L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H); \quad f \mapsto T^{-1}\theta(f)T$$

being a $*$ -homomorphism.

- For general G , we can do this if (and only if?) G is amenable.

Representations on a Hilbert space

- An even more interesting thing to study is $*$ -homomorphisms $L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$; these correspond to looking at unitary representations of G .
- If G is finite, then given any representation of G on H , we can always choose an invariant inner-product making the representation unitary.
- This corresponds to the following: if $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a homomorphism, then there is an invertible $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with

$$L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H); \quad f \mapsto T^{-1}\theta(f)T$$

being a $*$ -homomorphism.

- For general G , we can do this if (and only if?) G is amenable.

Representations on a Hilbert space

- An even more interesting thing to study is $*$ -homomorphisms $L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$; these correspond to looking at unitary representations of G .
- If G is finite, then given any representation of G on H , we can always choose an invariant inner-product making the representation unitary.
- This corresponds to the following: if $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a homomorphism, then there is an invertible $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with

$$L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H); \quad f \mapsto T^{-1}\theta(f)T$$

being a $*$ -homomorphism.

- For general G , we can do this if (and only if?) G is amenable.

Representations on a Hilbert space

- An even more interesting thing to study is $*$ -homomorphisms $L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$; these correspond to looking at unitary representations of G .
- If G is finite, then given any representation of G on H , we can always choose an invariant inner-product making the representation unitary.
- This corresponds to the following: if $\theta : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a homomorphism, then there is an invertible $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with

$$L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H); \quad f \mapsto T^{-1}\theta(f)T$$

being a $*$ -homomorphism.

- For general G , we can do this if (and only if?) G is amenable.

For the Fourier algebra

So, we might look at homomorphisms $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- The involution on $A(G)$ is just pointwise conjugation of functions.
- If $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, then you can continuously extend it to a $*$ -homomorphism $C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, and such things are well-understood.
- So, we ask again: when is $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ similar to a $*$ -homomorphism? This seems hopeless...
- Instead, we restrict again to those θ such that the dilations

$$\iota \otimes \theta : \mathbb{T}_n \otimes A(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(H)$$

are uniformly bounded in n . We say that θ is *completely bounded*.

For the Fourier algebra

So, we might look at homomorphisms $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- The involution on $A(G)$ is just pointwise conjugation of functions.
- If $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, then you can continuously extend it to a $*$ -homomorphism $C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, and such things are well-understood.
- So, we ask again: when is $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ similar to a $*$ -homomorphism? This seems hopeless...
- Instead, we restrict again to those θ such that the dilations

$$\iota \otimes \theta : \mathbb{T}_n \otimes A(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(H)$$

are uniformly bounded in n . We say that θ is *completely bounded*.

For the Fourier algebra

So, we might look at homomorphisms $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- The involution on $A(G)$ is just pointwise conjugation of functions.
- If $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, then you can continuously extend it to a $*$ -homomorphism $C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, and such things are well-understood.
- So, we ask again: when is $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ similar to a $*$ -homomorphism? This seems hopeless...
- Instead, we restrict again to those θ such that the dilations

$$\iota \otimes \theta : \mathbb{T}_n \otimes A(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(H)$$

are uniformly bounded in n . We say that θ is *completely bounded*.

For the Fourier algebra

So, we might look at homomorphisms $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- The involution on $A(G)$ is just pointwise conjugation of functions.
- If $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, then you can continuously extend it to a $*$ -homomorphism $C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, and such things are well-understood.
- So, we ask again: when is $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ similar to a $*$ -homomorphism? This seems hopeless...
- Instead, we restrict again to those θ such that the dilations

$$\iota \otimes \theta : \mathbb{T}_n \otimes A(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(H)$$

are uniformly bounded in n . We say that θ is *completely bounded*.

For the Fourier algebra

So, we might look at homomorphisms $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- The involution on $A(G)$ is just pointwise conjugation of functions.
- If $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, then you can continuously extend it to a $*$ -homomorphism $C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, and such things are well-understood.
- So, we ask again: when is $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ similar to a $*$ -homomorphism? This seems hopeless...
- Instead, we restrict again to those θ such that the dilations

$$\iota \otimes \theta : \mathbb{T}_n \otimes A(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(H)$$

are uniformly bounded in n . We say that θ is *completely bounded*.

For the Fourier algebra cont.

Still looking at a homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- For technical reasons, introduce $\check{\theta} : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by $\check{\theta}(\omega) = \theta(\check{\omega})$. (Remember that $\check{\omega}(s) = \omega(s^{-1})$).
- Brannan and Samei (2010) showed that θ is similar to a $*$ -homomorphism if, and only if, both θ and $\check{\theta}$ are completely bounded.
- Furthermore, if G is discrete (or more generally a SIN group) then you don't need to consider $\check{\theta}$.
- Conjecture: this is true for all G .

For the Fourier algebra cont.

Still looking at a homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- For technical reasons, introduce $\check{\theta} : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by $\check{\theta}(\omega) = \theta(\check{\omega})$. (Remember that $\check{\omega}(s) = \omega(s^{-1})$).
- Brannan and Samei (2010) showed that θ is similar to a $*$ -homomorphism if, and only if, both θ and $\check{\theta}$ are completely bounded.
- Furthermore, if G is discrete (or more generally a SIN group) then you don't need to consider $\check{\theta}$.
- Conjecture: this is true for all G .

For the Fourier algebra cont.

Still looking at a homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- For technical reasons, introduce $\check{\theta} : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by $\check{\theta}(\omega) = \theta(\check{\omega})$. (Remember that $\check{\omega}(s) = \omega(s^{-1})$).
- Brannan and Samei (2010) showed that θ is similar to a $*$ -homomorphism if, and only if, both θ and $\check{\theta}$ are completely bounded.
- Furthermore, if G is discrete (or more generally a SIN group) then you don't need to consider $\check{\theta}$.
- Conjecture: this is true for all G .

For the Fourier algebra cont.

Still looking at a homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- For technical reasons, introduce $\check{\theta} : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by $\check{\theta}(\omega) = \theta(\check{\omega})$. (Remember that $\check{\omega}(s) = \omega(s^{-1})$).
- Brannan and Samei (2010) showed that θ is similar to a $*$ -homomorphism if, and only if, both θ and $\check{\theta}$ are completely bounded.
- Furthermore, if G is discrete (or more generally a SIN group) then you don't need to consider $\check{\theta}$.
- Conjecture: this is true for all G .

For the Fourier algebra cont.

Still looking at a homomorphism $\theta : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

- For technical reasons, introduce $\check{\theta} : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by $\check{\theta}(\omega) = \theta(\check{\omega})$. (Remember that $\check{\omega}(s) = \omega(s^{-1})$).
- Brannan and Samei (2010) showed that θ is similar to a $*$ -homomorphism if, and only if, both θ and $\check{\theta}$ are completely bounded.
- Furthermore, if G is discrete (or more generally a SIN group) then you don't need to consider $\check{\theta}$.
- Conjecture: this is true for all G .