

Some operator algebra problems from quantum
computing
Or... What are some nice maps between matrices?

Matthew Daws

Leeds

November 2010

Matrices

Throughout, \mathbb{M}_n means $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, that is, $n \times n$ complex matrices.

I will consider \mathbb{M}_n as acting on \mathbb{C}^n , the latter coming equipped with the usual Euclidean inner product:

$$(\xi|\eta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\xi}_j \eta_j = \bar{\xi}^t \eta$$

if we think of ξ and η as column vectors. (Here I use Physics notation). Then \mathbb{M}_n has the *operator norm*:

$$\|x\| = \sup \{ \|x\xi\| : \|\xi\| \leq 1 \} = \sup \{ ((\bar{\xi}^t x^* x \xi)^{1/2} : \|\xi\| \leq 1 \}.$$

Here $x^* = \bar{x}^t$ and $\|\xi\|^2 = \bar{\xi}^t \xi$.

As x^*x is hermitian, we can find a new orthonormal basis such that x^*x becomes diagonal: the entries being the eigenvalues. A little thought then shows that

$$\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\| = \max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \text{ an eigenvalue of } x^*x \}.$$

Remember that $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$.

Maps between matrices

Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be finite sets in \mathbb{M}_n . We can then define a linear map $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ by

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_j u_j x w_j.$$

What is the norm of φ ? That is, compute $\|\varphi\| = \sup \{\|\varphi(x)\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$. The triangle inequality shows trivially that

$$\|\varphi\| \leq \sum_j \|u_j\| \|w_j\|.$$

Identify $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ with \mathbb{C}^{nk} . In \mathbb{C}^k , let $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k\}$ be the standard basis. Then we can define maps

$$u, w : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^k; \quad u(\xi) = \sum_j u_j^*(\xi) \otimes \delta_j, \quad w(\xi) = \sum_j w_j(\xi) \otimes \delta_j.$$

Then

$$\varphi(x) = u^*(x \otimes I)w \implies \|\varphi\| \leq \|u^*\| \|w\| = \left\| \sum_j u_j u_j^* \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_j w_j^* w_j \right\|^{1/2}.$$

Norms

This is a better estimate, but not tight. Indeed, identify $\mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$ with \mathbb{M}_{mn} . Then we can consider

$$\iota_m \otimes \varphi : \mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n.$$

However, now we have

$$(\iota_m \otimes \varphi)(x) = (I \otimes u)^*(x \otimes I)(I \otimes w) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}.$$

Thus also

$$\|\iota_m \otimes \varphi\| \leq \|I \otimes u\| \|I \otimes w\| \leq \left\| \sum_j u_j u_j^* \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_j w_j^* w_j \right\|^{1/2}.$$

It turns out that

$$\sup_m \|\iota_m \otimes \varphi\| = \|\iota_n \otimes \varphi\| = \inf \left\| \sum_j u_j u_j^* \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_j w_j^* w_j \right\|^{1/2}.$$

We call this quantity the *completely bounded norm* of φ .

Positivity

For me, a *positive* matrix means a semi-definite positive matrix, that is

$$\bar{\xi}^t x \xi \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

- A matrix x is positive if and only if $x = y^* y$ for some matrix y .
- For $x \in \mathbb{M}_n$, we write $x \geq 0$ to mean that x is positive.
- A matrix a is hermitian, $a^* = a$, if and only if $a = x - y$ for $x, y \geq 0$.
- Hence we can define a partial order on the hermitians by $a \geq b$ if and only if $a - b \geq 0$.
- This order is tightly linked to the norm structure: for a hermitian matrix a , we have that $\|a\| \leq 1$ if and only if $-I \leq a \leq I$.
- All this can be proved easily by diagonalisation.

Maps which respect positivity

- A map $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ is *positive* if it send positive matrices to positive matrices.
- Notice that then $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$.
- We say that φ is *m-positive* if $\iota_m \otimes \varphi : \mathbb{M}_{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{mn}$ is positive.
- Finally, φ is *completely positive* if φ is *m-positive* for all *m*. Again, enough to check the case *m* = *n*.
- The canonical example of a positive, not completely positive map is the transpose map $\varphi(x) = x^t$:

$$\iota_2 \otimes \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Not obvious what the bound of a completely positive map is.

Maps and functionals

There is a bijection between linear maps $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ and linear functionals $\hat{\varphi} : \mathbb{M}_{n^2} = \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\hat{\varphi}(a \otimes e_{ij}) = \varphi(a)_{ij}.$$

Here $e_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_n$ is the obvious elementary matrix which has 1 in the (i, j) position, and 0 elsewhere.

Theorem (Choi, 1975)

The map φ is completely positive if and only if $\hat{\varphi}$ is positive.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Let $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^n$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^n , and let $\xi_0 = \sum_i \delta_i \otimes \delta_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$. Then

$$(\xi_0 | (\iota_n \otimes \varphi)(e_{ij} \otimes a) \xi_0) = \sum_{s,t} (\delta_s \otimes \delta_s | (e_{ij} \otimes \varphi(a)) \delta_t \otimes \delta_t) = (\delta_i | \varphi(a) \delta_j) = \varphi(a)_{ij}.$$

Thus $\hat{\varphi}(x) = (\xi_0 | (\iota_n \otimes \varphi)(x) \xi_0)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}$, so $\hat{\varphi}$ is positive. \square

More on positive functionals on matrices

We identify the dual space of \mathbb{M}_m with \mathbb{M}_m via *trace duality*:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(xy).$$

Here I write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for a *bilinear* pairing between vector spaces.

- If we give \mathbb{M}_m the operator norm, then the dual space gets the *trace class norm*

$$\|y\|_1 = \sup \{ |\text{Tr}(xy)| : \|x\| \leq 1 \} = \sum \{ \lambda : \lambda^2 \text{ an eigenvalue of } y^*y \}.$$

- If $y \in \mathbb{M}_m$ is positive, then the functional $x \mapsto \text{Tr}(xy)$ is positive. Indeed, we can write $y = u^*u$, and then

$$\text{Tr}(z^*zy) = \text{Tr}(z^*zu^*u) = \text{Tr}(uz^*zu^*) = \text{Tr}((zu^*)^*zu^*) \geq 0.$$

- If the functional $x \mapsto \text{Tr}(xy)$ is positive, then for $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^m$, let $x = \xi\xi^t \in \mathbb{M}_m$, so that $x \geq 0$, and hence

$$0 \leq \text{Tr}(xy) = \text{Tr}(\xi\xi^t y) = \text{Tr}(\xi^t y\xi) = \xi^t y\xi.$$

Thus y is positive.

Towards the converse

Recall: $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ and $\hat{\varphi} : \mathbb{M}_{n^2} = \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ linked by

$$\hat{\varphi}(a \otimes e_{ij}) = \varphi(a)_{ij}.$$

So $\hat{\varphi}$ is identified with $x \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}$. If this is positive, then diagonalise, and pick the unique positive square-root, say $y \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}$. Let

$$y = \sum_{s,t} y_{st} \otimes e_{st}.$$

As y is positive, also $y^* = y$, so $y_{st} = y_{ts}^*$ for all s, t .

Then, for $a \in \mathbb{M}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta_j | \varphi(a) \delta_i) &= \varphi(a)_{ji} = \hat{\varphi}(a \otimes e_{ij}) = \text{Tr}(x(a \otimes e_{ij})) = \text{Tr}(y(a \otimes e_{ij})y) \\ &= \sum_{s,t,r,u} \text{Tr}(y_{st} a y_{ru}) \text{Tr}(e_{st} e_{ji} e_{ru}) = \sum_s \text{Tr}(y_{sj} a y_{is}) \\ &= \sum_s \text{Tr}(y_{js}^* a y_{is}).\end{aligned}$$

Link with completely bounded norms

So we have

$$(\delta_j | \varphi(a) \delta_i) = \sum_s \operatorname{Tr}(y_{js}^* a y_{is}).$$

Let the k th row of y_{is} be $\xi_{i,s,k}$, and define

$$v : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n; \quad \delta_i \mapsto \sum_{s,k} \delta_k \otimes \delta_s \otimes \xi_{i,s,k}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_j | v^*(e_{ab} \otimes I) v \delta_i) &= \sum_{s,r,k,l} (\delta_k \otimes \delta_s \otimes \xi_{j,s,k} | e_{ab} \delta_l \otimes \delta_r \otimes \xi_{i,r,l}) \\ &= \sum_{s,r} (\delta_s \otimes \xi_{j,s,a} | \delta_r \otimes \xi_{i,r,b}) = \sum_s (\xi_{j,s,a} | \xi_{i,s,b}) \\ &= \sum_s \xi_{i,s,b} \overline{\xi_{j,s,a}} = \sum_s (y_{is} y_{js}^*)_{ba} = \sum_s \operatorname{Tr}(e_{ab} y_{is} y_{js}^*) = (\delta_j | \varphi(e_{ab}) \delta_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi(x) = v^*(x \otimes I)v$ for any $x \in \mathbb{M}_n$. Thus φ is certainly completely positive.

Stinespring Theorem and links to completely bounded norms

Theorem (Stinespring, 1955)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ be a completely positive map. There exists an inner-product space K , of dimension at most n^2 , and a linear map $v : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes K$, such that $\varphi(x) = v^*(x \otimes I)v$.

If we pick an orthonormal basis (e_j) for K , then we can find matrices (v_j) with $v(\xi) = \sum_j v_j(\xi) \otimes e_j$, and so

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_j v_j^* x v_j.$$

This result is also attributed to Choi and Kraus. Hence

$$\|\varphi\|_{cb} \leq \left\| \sum_j v_j^* v_j \right\| = \|\varphi(I)\|.$$

Actually we have equality throughout.

Spans of completely positive maps

Remember from before that to compute the completely bounded norm of $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$, we looked at maps $u, w : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ with

$$\varphi(x) = u^*(x \otimes I)w \quad (x \in \mathbb{M}_n).$$

Now we know that φ is completely positive if and only if we can choose $u = w$. However, polarisation gives

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k (u + i^k w)^*(x \otimes I)(u + i^k w).$$

Thus any linear map $\mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ is a linear combination of 4 completely positive maps.

Quantum channels

I am far from an expert here!

- In quantum information theory, a *quantum channel* is a mathematical model of the evolution of an “open” quantum system.
- This is a trace preserving, completely positive map $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$.
- The trace is used to evaluate the probability of quantum states occurring, and so trace preservation reflects conservation of probability.
- Complete positivity is required to allow tensoring with other quantum systems without losing positivity.
- Given $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ we can define $\varphi^\dagger : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ by using trace-duality: $\text{Tr}(\varphi^\dagger(x)y) = \text{Tr}(x\varphi(y))$. This operation preserves complete positivity, but φ is trace preserving if and only if φ^\dagger is unital: $\varphi^\dagger(I) = I$.
- This swaps between the Schrödinger and Heisenberg pictures.

Some open problems

We focus on completely positive unital maps $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$, say with

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_i v_i^* x v_i.$$

- The collection of such maps, say UCP_n , is a bounded, convex subset of the collection of linear maps $\mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$. So we might ask what the extreme points are (recall that a theorem of Minkowski shows that then UCP_n is the convex hull of its extreme points).
- Choi showed that φ is extreme if and only if we can choose the matrices (v_i) with $\{v_i^* v_j\}$ a linearly independent set.
- The closure of the set of extreme points in UCP_n is those φ which admit a representation as above, with at most n matrices v_i .
- There seems to be considerable interest in “characterising” or “classifying” the closure of the extreme points in UCP_n .

For example, when $n = 2$

Ruskai, Szarek, Wener showed that a ucp map $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2$ which is in the closure of the extreme points is of the following form:

$$\varphi(x) = u_1^* x u_1 + u_2^* x u_2,$$

where

$$u_1 = \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j \xi_j \overline{\eta_j^t}, \quad u_2 = \sum_{j=1}^2 \sqrt{1 - \alpha_j^2} \rho_j \overline{\eta_j^t}$$

where $\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}, \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}, \{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ are three orthonormal bases of \mathbb{C}^2 , and $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 1$.

Apparently (caveat emptor!) there is nothing known for $\mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ for $n > 2$.

More on convexity

- Any $\varphi \in UCP_n$ can be written as a convex combination of extreme points. But how many?
- As before, we allow ourselves also to work with the closure of the extreme points. This is the maps of the form $\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^* x u_i$.
- Conjecture: Any $\varphi \in UCP_n$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j,$$

where each ϕ_j is in the closure of the extreme points.

- You can restate this in terms of matrices: suppose $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{M}_n)$ is positive. Conjecture: there are n matrices $B_k = (b_{ij}^{(k)})$, each of rank at most n , with

$$A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_k B_k, \quad \sum_j b_{jj}^{(k)} = \sum_j a_{jj} \text{ for each } k.$$

Entropy problem

- A *density matrix* is a positive matrix $x \in \mathbb{M}_n$ with $\text{Tr}(x) = 1$.
- The *von Neumann entropy* of a density matrix x is $S(x) = -\text{Tr}(x \log(x))$.
- Let $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ be a completely positive, trace-preserving map. The *minimal entropy* of φ is

$$S_{\min}(\varphi) = \inf \{ S(\varphi(x)) : x \text{ a density matrix} \}.$$

- Is the following additivity conjecture true?

$$S_{\min}(\varphi \otimes \phi) = S_{\min}(\varphi) + S_{\min}(\phi).$$

Back to algebra

Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{M}_n$ be (unital) algebras. Then $\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes B$ induces $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ by

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_i a_i x b_i.$$

The completely bounded norm is estimated by

$$\|\varphi\|_{cb} \leq \left\| \sum_i a_i a_i^* \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_i b_i^* b_i \right\|^{1/2}.$$

The infimum of the RHS is the *Haagerup tensor norm* on $A \otimes B$ (and is $\|\varphi\|_{cb}$). Conversely, suppose that $\varphi : \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ is a linear map which is a left A' -module homomorphism, and a right B' -module homomorphism. Here $A' = \{x \in \mathbb{M}_n : xa = ax \ (a \in A)\}$ the *commutant* of A , and similarly for B' . Then we have that

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_i a_i x b_i \quad \text{where } (a_i) \subseteq A'', (b_i) \subseteq B''.$$

We can still compute $\|\varphi\|_{cb}$ by just considering these special forms.

Application to Hopf algebras

Recall that a Hopf $*$ -algebra is, for me, a hermitian algebra $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}_m$ (so $a \in A \implies a^* \in A$) which admits a *coproduct* $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$: that is, Δ is an algebra homomorphism, and $(\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta = (\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta$.

It's usual to either specify a counit and antipode, or to require some generalised cancellation rule which implies the existence of a counit and an antipode. But for me, a coalgebra is enough.

The dual space $A^\dagger = \text{hom}(A, \mathbb{C})$ becomes a hermitian algebra for the product

$$\langle \mu\lambda, a \rangle = \langle \mu \otimes \lambda, \Delta(a) \rangle \quad (a \in A, \mu, \lambda \in A^\dagger),$$

and the $*$ -operation

$$\langle \mu^*, a \rangle = \overline{\langle \mu, a^* \rangle} \quad (a \in A, \mu \in A^\dagger).$$

A *representation* of A^\dagger is an algebra homomorphism $\pi : A^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ which preserves the $*$ operation.

Coefficients

Fix a representation $\pi : A^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$, and pick $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. These induce the coefficient $a_{\xi, \eta} \in A$, which satisfies

$$\langle \mu, a_{\xi, \eta} \rangle = \overline{\xi^t} \pi(\mu) \eta \quad (\mu \in A^\dagger).$$

With the usual orthonormal basis (δ_j) for \mathbb{C}^n , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu \otimes \lambda, \Delta(a_{\xi, \eta}) \rangle &= \overline{\xi^t} \pi(\mu \lambda) \eta = \overline{\xi^t} \pi(\mu) \pi(\lambda) \eta \\ &= \sum_j \overline{\xi^t} \pi(\mu) \delta_j \overline{\delta_j^t} \pi(\lambda) \eta = \sum_j \langle \mu \otimes \lambda, a_{\xi, \delta_j} \otimes a_{\delta_j, \eta} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\Delta(a_{\xi, \eta}) = \sum_j a_{\xi, \delta_j} \otimes a_{\delta_j, \eta}.$$

Where the Haagerup norm comes in

$$\Delta(a_{\xi,\eta}) = \sum_j a_{\xi,\delta_j} \otimes a_{\delta_j,\eta}.$$

We can check that $a_{\xi,\eta}^* = a_{\eta,\xi}$. It's a bit tedious to show, but there is an absolute constant K depending only on π such that






$$\left\| \sum_j a_{\delta_j,\eta}^* a_{\delta_j,\eta} \right\| = \left\| \sum_j a_{\eta,\delta_j} a_{\delta_j,\eta} \right\| \leq K \|\eta\|^2.$$

Hence we see that

$$\|\Delta(a_{\xi,\eta})\|_{\text{Haagerup}} \leq K \|\xi\| \|\eta\|.$$

Consequently, studying these coefficients will have something to do with studying completely bounded, $A = A''$ bimodule maps $\mathbb{M}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_m$. This is what I'm interested in.

References

-  M.-D. Choi, “Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices”, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* 10 (1975) 285–290.
-  D. Kribs, “A quantum computing primer for operator theorists”, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* 400 (2005) 147–167.
-  N. Johnston, D. Kribs, V. Paulsen, “Computing stabilized norms for quantum operations via the theory of completely bounded maps.” *Quantum Inf. Comput.* 9 (2009) 16–35.
-  M. B. Ruskai, “Some Open Problems in Quantum Information Theory”, see <http://robson.birs.ca/~07w5119/0openProb5x.pdf>
-  M. B. Ruskai, S. Szarek, E. Werner, “An analysis of completely positive trace-preserving maps on M_2 ”, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 347 (2002) 159–187.