

L^p -operator algebras in the case $p = 1$

Matthew Daws

Lancaster

UK Operator Algebras Conference
Newcastle, June 2024

Group algebras

Consider a locally compact group G and the left-regular representation of G on $L^2(G)$,

$$(\lambda_s \xi)(t) = \xi(s^{-1}t) \quad (s, t \in G, \xi \in L^2(G)).$$

Integrating gives a contractive algebra homomorphism

$$\lambda: L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)), \quad \lambda(f)(\xi) = f * \xi.$$

The norm closure of the image is the (reduced) group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$, and the weak*-closure is the group von Neumann algebra $VN(G)$.

Varying p

There is nothing special about $p = 2$ here. The norm closure of the image of $\lambda_p: L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^p(G))$ has long been studied, and is the algebra of p -pseudo functions, $PF_p(G)$ or $F_\lambda^p(G)$.

The weak*-closure is $PM_p(G)$ the algebra of p -pseudo measures.

- At least if $1 < p < \infty$ of course, so that $L^p(G)$ is reflexive, with dual space $L^{p'}(G)$ for $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.
- There is a natural pairing between $\mathcal{B}(L^p)$ and $\mathcal{N}(L^p)$ the *nuclear operators* on L^p , which turns $\mathcal{B}(L^p)$ into a dual Banach algebra.
- Indeed, $\mathcal{N}(L^p)$ is the dual of the compact operators $\mathcal{K}(L^p)$.
- Reminder: T is *nuclear* where there are (f_n) in $L^p(G)$ and (g_n) in $L^{p'}(G)$ with

$$\sum_n \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_{p'} < \infty, \quad T(f) = \sum_n \langle g_n, f \rangle f_n \quad (f \in L^p(G)).$$

Commutants

We think of $PM_p(G)$ as being like $VN(G)$.

- We also have the right-regular representation ρ_p of G on $L^p(G)$, which leads to $PM_p^{\rho}(G)$ say.
- Thinking about von Neumann's bicommutant theorem, we could also define

$$CV_p(G) = \lambda_p(G)'' , \quad CV_p^{\rho}(G) = \rho_p(G)'' ,$$

the algebras of p -convolvers. These are weak*-closed.

- [D.-Spronk; folklore] we have $PM_p(G)' = CV_p^{\rho}(G)$ and $CV_p(G) = CV_p^{\rho}(G)'$ (and so forth).
- But... do we have $PM_p(G) = PM_p(G)'' (= CV_p(G))$? von Neumann's result uses *projections*.
- [Cowling; D.-Spronk] when G has the approximation property (e.g. G is amenable, weakly-amenable, etc.) then $PM_p(G) = CV_p(G)$. Unknown in general.

Cuntz algebras; Cohn algebras; Leavitt algebras

The *Cohn algebra* C_2 has generators s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 subject to the relations

$$t_1 s_1 = t_2 s_2 = 1, \quad t_1 s_2 = t_2 s_1 = 0.$$

If we impose the further relation

$$s_1 t_1 + s_2 t_2 = 1,$$

we obtain the *Leavitt algebra* L_2 .

Of course, if we consider the C^* -algebra with $t_i = s_i^*$ then we obtain the *Cuntz algebra* \mathcal{O}_2 .

As L^p -operator algebras

Consider $\ell^p = \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, thought of as sequences $x = (x_n)$ with

$$\|x\|_p^p = \sum_n |x_n|^p < \infty.$$

Define $S_i, T_i: \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ by

$$S_1(x) = (x_1, 0, x_2, 0, \dots), \quad S_2(x) = (0, x_1, 0, x_2, 0, \dots)$$

$$T_1(x) = (x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7, \dots), \quad T_2(x) = (x_2, x_4, x_6, x_8, \dots).$$

Then S_1, S_2 are isometries, T_1, T_2 are contractions, and $S_1 T_1, S_2 T_2$ are contractive idempotents. We check the relations:

$$T_1 S_1 = T_2 S_2 = 1, \quad T_1 S_2 = T_2 S_1 = 0, \quad S_1 T_1 + S_2 T_2 = 1.$$

So we obtain a representation of L_2 ; this is faithful.

Denote by \mathcal{O}_2^p the closure of L_2 in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^p)$. [Phillips]

Spatial partial isometries

Question

To what extent is \mathcal{O}_2^p unique, for $p \neq 2$?

Fix $p \neq 2$. There are not many isometries on an $L^p(\Omega)$ space:

- We can form a composition operator (“Koopman operator”) with a measure-preserving transformation;
- Or more generally allow a change-of-density;
- We can multiply by $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ where $|f(\omega)| = 1$ a.e.

Lamperti’s Theorem states that this is it.

We obtain a notion of a “spatial partial isometry” $L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega')$ given by an isometry $L^p(E) \rightarrow L^p(E')$ where $E \subseteq \Omega$ and $E' \subseteq \Omega'$.

Notice that each S_i, T_i is a spatial partial isometry on ℓ^p .

Matrix algebras; isometric representations

Notice that $e_{ij} = s_i t_j \in L_2$ form a copy of the matrix units of \mathbb{M}_2 . We can identify $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^p)$ with \mathbb{M}_2 which gives the L^p -operator algebra M_2^p .

Theorem (Phillips)

Any contractive representation $\rho: M_2^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^p)$ is automatically isometric, and $\rho(e_{ij})$ is a spatial partial isometry for each i, j .

Theorem (Phillips)

Let $\rho: L_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^p)$ be a representation which is contractive on M_2^p and with $\rho(t_i), \rho(s_i)$ contractions for $i = 1, 2$. Then ρ is “spatial” and the identification of $L_2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2^p$ and $\rho: L_2 \rightarrow \overline{\rho(L_2)}$ extends to an isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_2^p \rightarrow \overline{\rho(L_2)}$.

Thus, \mathcal{O}_2^p is unique, for contractive homomorphisms.

Contractive representations

These ideas of “contractive (often) implies isometric” and “there are not many isometries” can be further exploited.

Theorem (Gardella–Thiel)

For $p \neq 2$, and G, H locally compact groups, we have that $PM_p(G)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $PM_p(H)$ if and only if G and H are isomorphic. Similarly for PF_p and CV_p .

This fails when $p = 2$.

Question

Should we be more interested in the isomorphic theory?

Are we looking for our keys under the lamppost, or being guided by (non-selfadjoint) operator algebra theory?

The non-reflexive case

Much of the theory works when $p = 1$, but a little care is needed.

- $\mathcal{B}(L^1)$ is not naturally a dual Banach algebra (unless the L^1 space is finite-dimensional).
- $\mathcal{B}(\ell^1)$ is a one-sided dual Banach algebra, for the predual given by the nuclear operators on c_0 .

So it's not clear what $PM_1(G)$ should be, and $CV_1(G)$ need not be weak*-closed, at least from general theory.

Result

For any G , the left-regular representation $\lambda: L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^1(G))$ is an isometry. Thus $PF_1(G) = L^1(G)$ canonically and isometrically.

Result

For discrete G , we have $CV_1(G)' = \rho(\ell^1(G))$ and $CV_1^\rho(G)' = \lambda(\ell^1(G))$. In particular, $PF_1(G) = PM_1(G) = CV_1(G)$.

Idea: what's the ℓ^1 -Leavitt/Cuntz algebra?

We can of course consider $\mathcal{O}_2^1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^1)$, but this is a “concrete” algebra, which seems very different to $\ell^1(G)$ in construction.

Instead, let's start by looking at the “Cuntz semigroup” (monoid) which is a semigroup with zero, which we denote \diamond , with presentation

$$Cu_2 = \langle s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 : t_1 s_1 = t_2 s_2 = 1, t_1 s_2 = t_2 s_1 = \diamond \rangle.$$

(This is actually an involutive semigroup, if we define $s_i^* = t_i$. Our representations won't have any star structures however.)

We consider the Banach algebra $\ell^1(Cu_2)$. I will abuse notation, and for $s \in Cu_2$ write $s \in \ell^1(Cu_2)$ for the point-mass at s .

Identifying zeroes

We'd like to identify the semigroup zero and the algebra 0 . At present, $\diamond \neq 0$ as of course $\|\diamond\| = 1$.

- Notice that $\mathbb{C}\diamond$ is a (two-sided) ideal in $\ell^1(Cu_2)$.
- So we can quotient by it, leading to $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(Cu_2)/\mathbb{C}\diamond$.
- Slightly informally, this is just the same as setting $\diamond = 0$.
- Nothing strange happens with the norm.

Indeed, we could instead take the Cohn algebra C_2 , thought of as \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of words in s_i, t_i . Define a norm by taking the ℓ^1 -sum of the coefficients of these words. Then \mathcal{A} is the completion.

[Dales, Laustsen, Read, 2003] studied \mathcal{A} .

To Leavitt algebras

We now further quotient $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(Cu_2)/\mathbb{C}\diamond$ by the relation

$$s_1 t_1 + s_2 t_2 = 1.$$

That is, we consider the closed two-sided ideal \mathcal{J} generated by the element $f_0 = s_1 t_1 + s_2 t_2 - 1$ and quotient by this ideal.

- Both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} are extremely agreeable to combinatorial study.
- We can combinatorially characterise when $f \in \mathcal{J}$.

I want to argue that \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} is an “ ℓ^1 -completion of L_2 ”.

- It seems rare in the Banach algebra world to study quotients of semigroup algebras.

Purely infinite algebras

Theorem (D.–Horvath)

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \mathcal{J}$;
- 2 f has zero sums at every $v \in Cu_2 \setminus \{\diamond\}$ without symmetric core;
- 3 there are no $g, h \in \mathcal{A}$ with $gfh = 1$.

Corollary

\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} is purely infinite: for each $x \neq 0$ there are y, z with $yxz = 1$.

This is perhaps not the usual C^* -algebraic meaning of “purely infinite”, but it is equivalent to it.

Phillips showed that \mathcal{O}_2^p is purely infinite.

Our motivation: ultrapowers

Recall the ultrapower construction for a Banach algebra A . We first consider $\ell^\infty(A)$, the algebra of all bounded sequences (x_n) in A . Let \mathcal{U} be a countably-incomplete ultrafilter. Then

$$\{(x_n) \in \ell^\infty(A) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|x_n\| = 0\}$$

is a closed ideal in $\ell^\infty(A)$; we denote the quotient algebra by $(A)_{\mathcal{U}}$ the *ultra-power* of A .

We were seeking a counter-example to the claim that a purely infinite Banach algebra has purely infinite ultrapowers. (This holds for C^* -algebras.)

Theorem (D.–Horvath)

$(A/\mathcal{I})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is not simple, so certainly not purely infinite.

(non-)Isomorphisms and questions

The natural representation $L_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell^1)$ which defines \mathcal{O}_2^1 gives an injective representation $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2^1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^1)$ but one can show that it is not bounded below.

Theorem (D.–Horvath)

There are no non-zero bounded traces on \mathcal{O}_2^1 , but there are on \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} . Thus \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} is not isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_2^1 in any way.

Question

Does \mathcal{O}_2^1 have purely infinite ultrapowers?

Question

Is \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} amenable?

Phillips showed, using ideas from the C^* -world, that \mathcal{O}_2^1 is amenable, but the norm estimates cannot work for \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} .

Coxeter groups

As a second example, I will consider Coxeter groups.

A Coxeter group W has generators $s \in S$ subject to relations of the form

$$s^2 = 1 \quad (s \in S), \quad (st)^{m_{s,t}} = 1,$$

where $m_{s,t} = m_{t,s} \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$ gives the order of the element st for $s \neq t$.

Example

Take $S = \{s, t\}$ with $m_{s,t} = 3$, so

$$W = \langle s, t : s^2 = t^2 = 1, ststst = 1 \rangle.$$

This is isomorphic to S_3 , via $s \mapsto (12), t \mapsto (23)$.

Generic algebras

Let $(a_s), (b_s)$ be scalars indexed by the generators S , with the only constraint that if s, t are conjugate in W , then $a_s = a_t$ and $b_s = b_t$.

Definition

The *generic algebra* $\mathbb{C}_{a,b}[W]$ is generated by elements $\{T_s : s \in S\}$ with the relations

$$T_s^2 = a_s T_s + b_s T_1, \quad \underbrace{T_s T_t T_s \cdots}_{m_{s,t} \text{ times}} = \underbrace{T_t T_s T_t \cdots}_{m_{s,t} \text{ times}}$$

- Although not clear in this presentation, the T_s act like generators of the group algebra, except in the case when cancellation occurs in the combinatorics of W .
- If $a_s = 0, b_s = 1$ then we obtain exactly the group algebra.

Weighted semigroup algebras

Given a reduced word $w = s_1 \cdots s_n$, we (well-)define

$$\omega(w) = \prod_{j=1}^n \max(1, |a_{s_j}| + |b_{s_j}|).$$

Let \mathbb{S}_S be the free monoid on generators S , and define ω on \mathbb{S}_S in the same way. Consider the weighted semigroup algebra

$$\ell^1(\mathbb{S}_S, \omega) = \left\{ (a_u)_{u \in \mathbb{S}_S} : \sum_u \omega(u) |a_u| < \infty \right\}.$$

Denote by δ_u the point mass at $u \in \mathbb{S}_S$.

Let I be the ideal in $\ell^1(\mathbb{S}_S, \omega)$ generated by the relations in $\mathbb{C}_{a,b}[W]$,

$$\delta_s^2 = a_s \delta_s + b_s \delta_1, \quad \underbrace{\delta_s \delta_t \delta_s \cdots}_{m_{s,t} \text{ times}} = \underbrace{\delta_t \delta_s \delta_t \cdots}_{m_{s,t} \text{ times}}$$

An ℓ^1 -generic algebra

There is a natural map $\mathbb{S}_S \rightarrow W$: send a word $u \in \mathbb{S}_S$ to the element of W it defines. This induces a Banach space isometric isomorphism

$$\ell^1(\mathbb{S}_S, \omega)/I \rightarrow \ell^1(W, \omega).$$

The LHS is an algebra, so we have effectively defined a “twisted” product on $\ell^1(W, \omega)$. Indeed, the natural map

$$\mathbb{C}_{a,b}[W] \rightarrow \ell^1(W, \omega); \quad T_s \mapsto \delta_s,$$

is an injective homomorphism. We think of $\ell^1(W, \omega)$ with this product as being the ℓ^1 version of the Generic algebra.

Again, there is a natural action of $\mathbb{C}_{a,b}[W]$ on $\ell^1(W)$, leading to $F_{a,b}^1(W)$ say. [Ruam–Skalski]

This extends to a contraction $\ell^1(W, \omega) \rightarrow F_{a,b}^1(W)$, but again this is rarely bounded below.

Final question

I deliberately ellided the difference between C^* -algebras and non-self-adjoint operator algebras when motivating L^p -operator algebras.

Question

The examples here all seem more “ C^* -algebra like” than “non-self-adjoint”, although there was no involution.

Is there some sense in which an L^p -operator algebra can be considered “self-adjoint”.

I mean to ask this by analogy.

Thanks to the organisers!

And let's hope for a repeat of this conference in the future.