

# Quantum graphs and homomorphisms

Matthew Daws

UCLan

Mittag-Leffler Institute, June 2023

# Graphs

A graph consists of a (finite) set of *vertices*  $V$  and a collection of *edges*  $E \subseteq V \times V$ .

- A graph is *undirected* if  $(x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in E$ .
- We allow *self-loops*, that is, allow  $(x, x) \in E$ .

Notice that a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is exactly a *relation* on the set  $V$ . An undirected graph gives a symmetric relation; having a loop on each vertex gives a reflexive relation.

# Quantum relations, a la Weaver, Kuperberg

## Definition

Let  $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra. A *quantum relation* on  $M$  is a weak\*-closed subspace  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $M'SM' \subseteq S$ .

The relation is:

- 1 *reflexive* if  $M' \subseteq S$  ( $\Leftrightarrow 1 \in S$ );
- 2 *symmetric* if  $S^* = S$  where  $S^* = \{x^* : x \in S\}$ ;
- 3 *transitive* if  $S^2 \subseteq S$  where  $S^2 = \overline{\text{lin}}^{w^*} \{xy : x, y \in S\}$ .

- Why a bimodule over  $M'$  and not  $M$ ?
- There is a dependence on the embedding  $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H) \dots$
- but as  $S$  is a bimodule over  $M'$ , given a new embedding  $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_0)$  we get a canonical order preserving bijection between quantum relations in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  and those in  $\mathcal{B}(H_0)$ .

Weaver also has an “intrinsic” characterisation.

# Quantum relations over a commutative algebra

## Definition

A *quantum relation* on  $M$  is a weak\*-closed subspace  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $M'SM' \subseteq S$ .

Take  $M = \ell^\infty(X) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(X))$  so  $M' = M$ .

- Think of  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(X))$  as  $X \times X$  matrices.
- Any  $\ell^\infty(X)$  bimodule is spanned (weak\*) by the matrix units it contains.

So we obtain a bijection between the usual meaning of “relation” on  $X$  and quantum relations on  $M$ , given by

$$S = \overline{\text{lin}}^{w*} \{e_{x,y} : x \sim y\},$$
$$\{(x, y) : x \sim y\} = \{(x, y) : e_{x,y} \in S\}$$

## Quantum graphs

As a graph on a (finite) vertex set  $V$  is simply a relation, and

- undirected graph corresponds to a symmetric relation;
- a reflexive relation corresponds to having a “loop” at every vertex.

### Definition (Weaver)

A *quantum graph* on a von Neumann algebra  $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a reflexive, symmetric quantum relation. That is, a unital, self-adjoint, weak\*-closed subspace  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ , which is an  $M'$ -bimodule ( $M'SM' \subseteq S$ ).

If  $M = \mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $H$  finite-dimensional, then as  $M' = \mathbb{C}$ , a quantum graph is just an operator system: this was also explored by [Duan, Severini, Winter; Stahlke].

# Adjacency matrices

Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  consider the  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued matrix  $A$  with

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & : (i, j) \in E, \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

the *adjacency matrix* of  $G$ .

- $A$  is idempotent for the *Schur product*;
- $G$  is undirected if and only if  $A$  is self-adjoint;
- $A$  has 1s down the diagonal when  $G$  has a loop at every vertex.

We can think of  $A$  as an operator on  $\ell^2(V)$ . This is the GNS space for the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\ell^\infty(V)$  for the state induced by the uniform measure.

## General $C^*$ -algebras

Let  $B$  be a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $\varphi$  be a faithful state on  $B$ , with GNS space  $L^2(B)$ . Thus  $B$  bijects with  $L^2(B)$  as a vector space, and so we get:

- The multiplication on  $B$  induces a map  $m : L^2(B) \otimes L^2(B) \rightarrow L^2(B)$ ;
- Using the inner product on  $L^2(B)$  we can form  $m^*$ , and then interpret this as a map  $B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ ;
- The unit in  $B$  induces a map  $\eta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L^2(B)$ ;
- Again form  $\eta^*$ , but notice this is just  $\varphi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

We get an analogue of the Schur product:

$$x \bullet y = m(x \otimes y)m^* \quad (x, y \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(B))).$$

# Quantum adjacency matrix

## Definition (Many authors)

A *quantum adjacency matrix* is a self-adjoint  $A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(B))$  with:

- 1  $m(A \otimes A)m^* = A$  (so Schur product idempotent);
- 2  $(1 \otimes \eta^* m)(1 \otimes A \otimes 1)(m^* \eta \otimes 1) = A$ ;
- 3  $m(A \otimes 1)m^* = \text{id}$  (a “loop at every vertex”);

The middle axiom is a little mysterious: it roughly corresponds to “undirected”.

I want to sketch why this definition is equivalent to the previous notion of a “quantum graph”.



## Subspaces to projections

Fix a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra (von Neumann algebra)  $M$ . Start with  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a bimodule over  $M'$ . As  $H$  is finite-dimensional,  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is a Hilbert space for

$$(x|y) = \text{tr}(x^*y).$$

Then  $M \otimes M^{\text{op}}$  is represented on  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  via

$$\pi : M \otimes M^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}(H)); \quad \pi(x \otimes y) : T \mapsto xTy.$$

- The commutant of  $\pi(M \otimes M^{\text{op}})$  is naturally  $M' \otimes (M')^{\text{op}}$ .
- So an  $M'$ -bimodule of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  corresponds to an  $M' \otimes (M')^{\text{op}}$ -invariant subspace of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ;
- Which corresponds to a *projection* in  $M \otimes M^{\text{op}}$ .

## Operators to algebras

So how can we relate:

Operators  $A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(M))$  with Projections in  $M \otimes M^{\text{op}}$ ?

Recall the GNS construction for a (faithful) *tracial* state  $\psi$  on  $M$ :

$$\Lambda : M \rightarrow L^2(M); \quad (\Lambda(x)|\Lambda(y)) = \psi(x^*y).$$

As  $L^2(M)$  is finite-dimensional,  $\Lambda$  is bijective, and every operator on  $L^2(M)$  is a linear combination of rank-one operators of the form

$$\theta_{\Lambda(a),\Lambda(b)} : \xi \mapsto (\Lambda(a)|\xi)\Lambda(b) \quad (\xi \in L^2(M)).$$

Define a bijection

$$\Psi : \mathcal{B}(L^2(M)) \rightarrow M \otimes M^{\text{op}}; \quad \theta_{\Lambda(a),\Lambda(b)} = b \otimes a^*,$$

and extend by linearity!

## Operators to algebras 2

$$\Psi : \mathcal{B}(L^2(M)) \rightarrow M \otimes M^{\text{op}}; \quad \theta_{\wedge(a), \wedge(b)} = b \otimes a^*,$$

- $\Psi$  is a homomorphism for the “Schur product” on  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(M))$ , recall  $A_1 \bullet A_2 = m(A_1 \otimes A_2)m^*$ ;
- $A \mapsto (1 \otimes \eta^* m)(1 \otimes A \otimes 1)(m^* \eta \otimes 1)$  transformed by  $\Psi$  to the anti-homomorphism  $\sigma : a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$ ;
- $A \mapsto A^*$  corresponds to  $e \mapsto \sigma(e)^*$ .

Let  $A$  be a quantum adjacency matrix, and set  $e = \Psi(A)$ . Then:

$$e^2 = e, \quad \sigma(e) = e, \quad e = \sigma(e)^*$$

So  $e$  is a projection with  $e = \sigma(e)$ . BUT: There is no clean one-to-one correspondence between the axioms.

## Non-tracial case

Some partial references: [Musto, Reutter, Verdon], [Gromada], [Chirvasitu, Wasilewski], [Matsuda], [BCEHPSM].

If the functional  $\psi$  on  $M$  is not tracial, then this correspondence fails. (But see [Matsuda].)

However:

### Theorem (D.)

*There is a bijection between:*

- “Schur idempotent”, self-adjoint operators  $A$  on  $L^2(M)$ ;
- $e \in M \otimes M^{\text{op}}$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $e = \sigma(e)^*$ ;
- self-adjoint  $M'$ -bimodules  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  such that there is another self-adjoint  $M'$ -bimodule  $S_0$  with  $S \oplus S_0 = \mathcal{B}(H)$

## KMS States

Any faithful state  $\psi$  is KMS: there is an automorphism  $\sigma'$  of  $M$  with

$$\psi(ab) = \psi(b\sigma'(a)) \quad (a, b \in M).$$

Indeed, there is  $Q \in M$  positive and invertible with

$$\psi(a) = \text{tr}(Qa) \quad \sigma'(a) = QaQ^{-1}.$$

### Theorem (D.)

*Twisting our bijection  $\Psi$  using  $\sigma'$  allows us to establish a bijection between:*

- $A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(M))$  self-adjoint with axioms (1) and (2);
- projections  $e \in M \otimes M^{\text{op}}$  with  $e = \sigma(e)$  and  $(\sigma' \otimes \sigma')(e) = e$ ;
- self-adjoint  $M'$ -bimodules  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $QSQ^{-1} = S$ .

So this is *more restrictive* than the tracial case.

# Complete positivity and reality

Following [Chirvasitu, Wasilewski].

## Definition (Matsuda)

Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(M))$  be interpreted as the linear map  $A_0 : M \rightarrow M$ . We say that  $A$  is *real* when  $A_0(x^*) = A_0(x)^*$  for  $x \in M$ .

## Theorem (D.)

*A bijection similar to  $\Psi$ , again twisting by KMS  $\frac{1}{2}$ -automorphism, gives a bijection between:*

- $A_0$  being completely positive with  $m(A \otimes A)m^* = A$ ;
- $A$  being real with  $m(A \otimes A)m^* = A$ .

Similarly, we can look at  $A$  being self-adjoint and with axiom (2). Arguably, this “reality” condition is more natural than being self-adjoint and satisfying axiom (2).

## Pullbacks

Let  $\theta : M \rightarrow N$  be a normal CP map between von Neumann algebras  $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_M)$  and  $N \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_N)$ . The Stinespring dilation takes a special form:

- there is  $K$  and  $U : H_N \rightarrow H_M \otimes K$ ;
- $\theta(x) = U^*(x \otimes 1)U$  for  $x \in M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_M)$ ;
- there is a normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho : N' \rightarrow H_M \otimes K$  with  $Ux' = \rho(x')U$  for  $x' \in N'$ .

Given  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_M)$  a Quantum (Graph/Relation) over  $M$ , define

$$\overleftarrow{S} = \text{weak}^*\text{-closure}\{U^*xU : x \in S \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)\}.$$

Use of  $\rho$  shows that  $\overleftarrow{S}$  is a Quantum (Graph/Relation) over  $N$ , the “pullback”. [Weaver; D.]

## Pullbacks: Kraus forms; Pushforwards

When  $M, N$  are finite-dimensional,  $\theta : M \rightarrow N$  has a Kraus form

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^* x b_i.$$

(Notice I have swapped to considering UCP maps, not TPCP maps.)

Then we recover Weaver's original definition  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_M)$

$$\overleftarrow{S} = \text{lin}\{b_i^* x b_j : x \in S_1\}.$$

Given  $S_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_N)$  a quantum relation over  $N$ , also

$$\overrightarrow{S_2} = \text{lin}\{b_i x b_j^* : x \in S_2\}$$

is a quantum relation over  $M$ , the “pushforward”.



# Homomorphisms

[Stahle] defines  $\theta : M \rightarrow N$  to be a *homomorphism* between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  when  $\overrightarrow{S_2} \subseteq S_1$ . [Weaver] calls this a *CP-morphism*.

## Theorem (Stahle)

Let  $\theta : C(V_H) \rightarrow C(V_G)$  be a UCP map giving a homomorphism  $G$  to  $H$  (that is, with  $\overrightarrow{S_G} \subseteq S_H$ ). Then there is some map  $f : V_G \rightarrow V_H$  which is a (classical) homomorphism.

- In general  $\theta$  need not be directly related to  $f$ .
- However, often we just care about the *existence* of a homomorphism.
- E.g. a  $k$ -colouring of  $G$  corresponds to some homomorphism  $G \rightarrow K_k$ , the complete graph.

## Questions

Take  $S = M'$  and  $\theta : M \rightarrow N$  and form the pullback  $\overleftarrow{S}$ , a quantum graph over  $N$ .

- Which quantum graphs can so arise?
- [Duan] shows that for  $N = \mathbb{M}_n$  all quantum graphs arise in this way.

[Brannan, Ganesan, Harris] consider a “quantum to classical” game which ends up with a stronger notion of “homomorphism”.

Here we have worked exclusively with the operator bimodule picture of Quantum Graphs.

- Can we say something useful about homomorphisms and “adjacency matrices”?

M. Daws, “Quantum graphs: different perspectives, homomorphisms and quantum automorphisms”, arXiv:2203.08716 [math.OA].